

Public (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1174

DATED 6.9.97

INDEXED.

W.2. 8.9.97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Venkataramana Aiyar
of Vedaraniem that it is open
to him to sue the manager of
the Vedaraniem Temple in Civil
Courts for certain alleged mis-
appropriation of temple property.

1/9/97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Pub.]
29.
2,000-5-3-97.

Public (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

Venkataramana Ayyar

Vedaramiam.

No. Tanjore D.

To Orders

It is not understood
how far can interfere.

~~But perhaps the current~~
may be considered
with the general subject
of the endowment of
temples?

Dated

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

14
18 } 8-97
19

CS
C/o For order the
3/9/97 (i) This petⁿ should be

referred to a Civil Court
(see 14 of Act XX of 1863) &
(ii) This petition may be
transferred to the Collector
of Tanjore for disposal

Let him go to
a Civil Court: there
1.0 reason why
he should be kept from
with it
3/9/97
as

Stating that Swami-
madha Pandaram, the
managing head of the
Vedaramiam temple has
constructed a ^{private} house with
the temple income.

AK.

3/9/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHIEF SECRETARY
18. AUG. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To the Secretary, Government of Madras.
The recent construction of a building in the mentioned main street at a cost of Rs 25000 by the managing head of Vedaswami temple (Devasthanam) named Paraminatha Pandita for the private use of himself & some of his relatives.

2 That the present head of Vedaswami temple has been in appointment for 8 years past and that within this period he has taken loans on behalf of the temple to the value of Rs 30000.

3 That this temple has an annual income of Rs 40000 and has had an average expenditure of Rs 30000 and that thus there ought to be every year a deficit of Rs 10000 as annual net-income.

4 That this temple expends at present Rs 8000 every year for the maintenance

4 of its establishment including servants & other
clerks & etc; but was expending in the day
of the predecessor of the present head Rs 2000
for a year for the same purpose and that
thus an increased expenditure of Rs 6000 is
vainly (increased) incurred

5 That the service & other religious ~~acts~~ of
the temple are not conducted half as
splendidly as it was done in the days of
his predecessor

6 That as such the head of the temple maintains
an unnecessary & enormous establishment
of fawning parasites causing thus a
heavy financial drain off on the temple
revenue.

7 That in a statement rendered to the
Deputy Thasildar of Bedaranim on 14/8/87
the managing head of the temple gives out
that the present edifice alluded to in
para (1) is the property of temple and
not any way belongs to him.

8 But that in a notice subsequently circulated
here inviting the attendance among the
residents of Bedaranim at the in-
auguration ceremony of the building
it is explicitly stated that it was built
by him at his own expense and as
such was his self-earned property.
In conclusion therefore the memoralist
begs to ~~state~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~estate~~ of the
temple is in a very precarious & ill-
managed state & that the property

left under the management of the
present head for holy use is squandered
away for objectionable purposes—one
conspicuous instance of which is the
building in question. — that Your
Excellency will kindly issue orders
to enquire into the purpose of the
erection of the said building & to
require from the temple-head an
explanation for the suspicious
ways of unwarranted expenditure
noted above.

For this act of charity the
residents of Bedaranim shall as
on duty bound ever pray.

Your Excellency's
Most humble
Memoralist
Bedaranim } ~~and long~~ ~~new~~
14-8-87 }

Papers to be read in proceedings:--

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 3109 of 1899, dated 14/8, from Venkatarama Iyer
Tanjore DT.
" " " " " "
" " " " " "

✓ ORDER. W. 1174 & 5 to 8 p 1/57
Miss

The petitioners will be
informed that ~~the same~~
it is open to him to
sue the ~~managers~~ of the
temple before the Civil
Courts under Section
XIV of Act XX of 1863
(from C.S. order)

CW - 6/9/57
6/9/57

Patt (2)

When given for copying 87
When copied 8
When examined 9 1897
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.