

Public (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 962

DATED 5-9-96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording Minutes by H.M's re: the
procedure to be observed in
con: with the appointments of
statutory Civilians -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency the Governor.

Read

Yours regarding the procedure to be adopted in the appointment of statutory civilians.

Order No 962. D 5th Sept 1896

Recorded.

CS.
27.9.96

(Office) H.E.

Give me a copy of this order & will please

CS.
2/16

Office Order No 26

The following procedure will be observed in future as regards the appointments of Statutory civilians and members of the Provincial Civil Service to posts mentioned in the schedule to 24 & 25 Vict. Cap 54:—

(1) if the appointment is a listed appointment, the orders of H.E. the Governor will be obtained by the Chief Secy. who will, after receiving the same, submit to His Excellency through the Private Secretary, a Minute of Appointment. This

Minute

Minute of Appointment, after signature by His Excellency, will be circulated to Hon'ble Members. If Hon'ble Members initial the Minute of Appt., the sanction of the Govt. of India will, if necessary, be applied for.

(ii) If the appointment is not listed, the papers will be circulated to Hon'ble Members also before a Minute of Appt. is drawn up in view to their recording their views as to whether the nominee of H. E. the Governor is of such merit and ability as to justify his promotion under the provisions of sections 3 & 4 of 26 and 27 of the Act.

C.S.
31.7.96

31/8/96

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

Chief Secy

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

To

Hon'ble Mr. B. C. 28.

Dated 31/7/1896.

May I separate your Minute of Spencer & Rajaappa's cases into two - one dealing with Spencer & the other with Rajaappa's case. The apps are not connected & the Minute should have to be brought on separate proceedings in each case. Papers will be to 3m.

C.S.
31.7.96.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Number.

To				From	
(Person)				(Office)	
Chief Secy.				Hon. Secy. B. G. L.	
Yes, you may separate them. 31/7/6.					
Recd. from		LN.	Sent to		LN.
Hrs.		Mts. by	At		Hrs. Mts. by
Q. P. No. 8 T-32 4 1/2-18, 20, 191.					

Telegraph Master.

FOR ORDERS

89 Mr. J. K. Batten,

Ag. Sub-Collector of Madura, recently asked for two months' privilege leave. A reference was, under orders in Council made to the Collector whether, in view of the recent Kullar business, ^{Mr} Batten could be spared. The Collector does not object to the leave being granted provided that a substitute is found. (The D.O. from Mr. Batten and ^{from} to and from the Collector are at present with the Private Secy.). It will be seen from the telegrams from the Private Secy. in the file that H.E. the Governor is willing to grant Mr. Batten leave and proposes to nominate Mr. P. Rajagopalachariyar to act as Sub-Collector of Madura on relief at Erode by Mr. T. M. Swaminatha Aiyar. ^{The latter} ~~who~~ will shortly be relieved of the charge of the Dt. Court of Trichinopoly by Mr. L. J. Miller. The sanction of the Govt. of India will be required to Mr. P. Rajagopalachariyar's appointment as Sub-Collector of Madura and, if Hon'ble Members approve, a telegram in the usual form will be sent to that Govt. In the cases of the Statutories Messrs. Muhammad Raza Khan and Swaminatha Aiyar, the Govt. of India has granted a general sanction to their employment as Ag. Sub-Collectors and, ^{in order} to save further correspondence

I think it is to be regretted that Mr. Spencer is not to be allowed to go back to the Godavari Dist. He has been doing good work as special agent & as the division is a peculiar one it wd. seem to be better that he should be left there. He was offered a transfer once before, I remember (to Orissa and), but begged to be left where he was. I don't know if he has now expressed a wish to move -

Remove -
As for Rajagopala Chari going
to Sindigul, that is one of the best
stations in the Pres^y & promising suit-
able to a European & unsuitable to
a native because of its inclisrip
the whole of the Palani & Simmlai
Hills - Its unsuitability to a native
was proved before when Rameshchandra
was proved ag. But Col^r & 5th best
my collection greatly improved his
work on the Hills - I don't think
that India's consent should be
asked to Rajagopala Chari going
appt. as Dist Col^r of Madras - It
is also for consideration whether, with
the Kallar business still on hand,
it is wise to send a native there - I
should have put this consideration in
the first place.

Page

28/5/95

28/7/96

correspondence on the point, perhaps this general sanction may now be applied for in the case of Mr. P. Rajagopala Chariyer. A telegram has been sent to Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar asking when he expects to be relieved by Mr. Miller in order to fix the date from which Mr. Batten can be granted leave.

2. The telegrams in the file also contain I.E.'s proposal that Mr. S.G. Spencer, who was to revert to Polavaram, should go to Hospet as Ag. Asst. of Bellary. A minute of appointment as regards this is being sent to I.E. the Governor in the first instance. The above appointment cancels Mr. Hughes' move from Polavaram to Bellary and a draft order carrying this out is submitted.

C.B.
27.7.96

M.H.
28.7.96

and I have signed the minute of it.

In the case of Mr. Rajagopalchari, the matter has been also carefully considered, and I have to my nomination.

Minute

I am unable to say that Mr. Spencer is unfit for the office to which it is proposed to transfer him and I am therefore not bound to withhold the instruction of the C. of Directors, in withholding my consent. I have therefore initialed the minute of app't. But I feel bound to place on record my opinion that it is contrary to the interests of the public service.

As regards Mr. E's proposal to appoint Mr. Rajagopalchari as Ag.

Mr. Batten's Leave.

A reply has been received (C. NO. 2448) of Mr. Miller from Trichy on the 22.7.96. Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar should be able to relieve Mr. Rajagopala Chari's leave in time to admit of his joining Dindigul by the 15th August from which date Mr. Batten's leave might be granted.

(FOR ORDERS) leave might be granted

I.E. & the Hon'ble Mr. Grose, C.I.E.

Submitted with R. the Governor with reference to the notes of Hon'ble Members

C.B.
28.7.96

M.H. 28.7.96

Resubmitted to Hon'ble Members with reference to Mr. the Governor's note of the 29th. The minute of app't. of Mr. Spencer is in issue this date.

C.B.
30.7.96

M.H. 30.7.96

in the absence of any info as to Mr. E's reasons for proposing his transfer,

C 28/17 | C 28/17
28/17 | 28/17

that I must withhold my concurrence. The appointments is one to which a native gentleman is unsuited, on two grounds, one permanent, the other temporary. The temporary reason against such an app't. is that the Sub-Div. is at present in a disturbed state in consequence of the Anti-Kallar agitation, which may at any moment lead to serious rioting such as would be best dealt with by a European division officer. The permanent reason is that the subdivision includes large tracts of lofty hills, with ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~unfavourable~~ climate which, to a native of the country, may be described as rigorous, so that a native officer is likely, as previous experience has, I believe, proved, to neglect his duties of inspection therein. For these reasons I cannot join in moving the Govt. of India to sanction Mr. Rajagopala Chari's app't. to this Sub-Division. I shall have pleasure in concurring in his app't. to some other Sub-Division to which the above objections ~~do~~ do not apply.

In this case, as in that of Mr. Spencer, I labour under the disadvantage of not being acquainted with Mr. E's reasons for his nomination.

H. W. V. S.

July 30, 1896

I agree about Mr. Rajagopala Chari's going to Dindigul. I think it will be best to send him to some other Sub-Division where he can do some other work.

JG
30/7/96

In the case of Mr. Spencer, the Officer expressed
hope that, if he had to return to the Godavari Dist.,
it might be found possible to move him before
long, and he proceeded to remark on the hard ship
of a long stay in a malarious climate. On
these representations, I reminded that Mr.

Spencer's return from there, offered a good oppor-
tunity for conveying with his wishes for a move.
I attach Mr. Spencer's letter ^{marked A} for the information
of the Hon. Mr. Patten.

It regards Mr. Rajagopal Chari's nomination,
and with reference to the Hon. Mr. Patten's letter
of the 30th July 1896, and the ~~Hon. Mr. Patten's~~
Note of the same date, it may be well to state the
circumstances which led to the nomination.

Mr. Patten, in his letter of the 5th July, asked for
two months' mileage leave. Mr. Trigg, his collector,
was asked whether, as the Kallur business was
still going on, Mr. Patten could safely be spared.
Mr. Trigg replied that there was no reason to
doubt Mr. Patten's leave, provided his place
was filled. It was then proposed to give Mr. Patten
leave, and the intention was to send Mr. Spencer to
act in his stead. Mr. Andrew, meanwhile, withdrew his
objection for leave, and the arrangements hurried
forward. Mr. Patten's place fell through, with the result
that Mr. Rajagopal Chari will shortly have to
resume his acting post at Gude for Mr. Sumanatha
Swami. Mr. Rajagopal Chari would naturally

8
Notes of Hon. Mr. Members
and
Minutes of Hon. Mr. Mr. B. S. S.

He went to the Head Post Office at Alledura, and
then to the Head Post Office at Alledura, and
temporarily vacant would, in the ordinary course,
be nominated to act in that office. This
arrangement was accepted by me, and I
expressed my intention of nominating Mr. Raja-
gopal Chari. The Hon. Mr. Patten, with his
concurrence with his appointment on the ground
that Mr. Rajagopal Chari is a native of this
country, but because he is a native of this country.
The reason that leads the Hon. Mr. Patten to
think that a native gentleman is not
suited for this post one two is number, one
temporary and the other permanent. The
temporary reason is that the anti-Kallur
agitation which is now going on in the
sub-division, may at any moment lead to
serious rioting, which, in Mr. Patten's opinion,
would be best dealt with by a European Officer.
The Hon. Mr. Patten does not, however, say
that Mr. Rajagopal Chari is unfit to deal
with a disturbance of this character. The one
fact that, in his opinion, some one else
would be better fitted for the appointment

not, as I read the instructions of the Council of Directors
which still govern such cases as this, a sufficient
ground for refusing his concurrence. Mr. Rajagopal
Chari has been suggested for the post of Division of
Gode, and in this connection, very favourable
reports have been received of him, from Messrs.
Presley and Triggmaster whom he has served. On
the other hand, I believe that he was blamed some
years ago, for his conduct in connection with a
riot at Palghat. I understand, however, that
Mr. Patten objects to any native for the post of Sub-
Division of Alledura, and I cannot find that he
makes any complaint against Mr. Rajagopal
Chari in particular.

3. The second and permanent cause of objection
against Mr. Rajagopal Chari, raised by the Hon.
Mr. Patten, is that the Division in which Mr. Raja-
gopal Chari will have to serve, if appointed as
proposed, includes large tracts of lofty hills,
with a climate which, to a native of the country,
may be described as rigorous, so that a native
Officer is likely... to neglect his duties of
inspection therein. With reference to this reason,
I would observe that the proposed appointment
is for about two months only, and that owing to
the anti-Kallur agitation, the Divisional Officer

will be compelled to remain in the plains for the present. This indeed is one necessary step. Butten must leave. I may add, also, that all the subordinate Officers employed in these hills are Natives, and that it ought to be quite possible for the Collector to see that the Divisional Officer, if a native, spends sufficient time on the hills, just as it is possible for him to insist that this Officer, when a European, does not spend more than sufficient time on the hills.

4. I attach the usual minute of appointment, and I venture to hope that my Hon. Colleagues, on consideration of the full statement I have given, will be able to see sufficient reason for not withholding their concurrence to the appointment. At any rate, I hope I shall have satisfied them that I did not make the nomination without careful thought of the merits of the case.

694
18.96

As regards Mr. Spencer, I am much obliged to H.E. for the information he has now given which entirely alters the case. I regret that I was not in possession of it before.

As regards Mr. Rajagopal^{Chari}, I intended by my former remarks to imply that he was not fit for the Madras Sub Collector. I had forgotten for the moment that on a previous occasion his conduct was called in question in regard to a riot. I have a very indistinct recollection of the matter, but if it be the case, as I say, that he was then blamed for his behaviour, an additional reason is applied for not appointing him to a

ED SEN
10 SQUA

Public Department

Read the following:-

Minute by H.E. the President of
29th July 1896.

Mr. Charles Gordon Spencer to be Assistant and to act as Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of the District, Bellary.
(Signed) A. E. Harbuck

Minute by the Hon'ble Mr.
H.D. Blin C. S.E., of 30th July 1896.

I am unable to say that Mr. Spencer is unfit for the office to which it is proposed to transfer him and I am therefore not warranted, under the instructions of the late Govt of Madras, in withholding my concurrence. I have therefore initialed the minute of appointment. But, in the absence of any suggestion as to His Excellency's reasons for proposing his transfer, I feel bound to place on record my opinion that it is contrary to the interests of the public service.

Order
P.S.

Recorded

C.S.
31.7.96

h2
31/7/96

Atts. The appt. will be gazetted

31/7/96

Mr. Spenceri Appt

Draft Order

EAST INDIA UNITED SERVICE CLUB
ST JAMES'S SQUARE, S.W.

July 2nd
1896

Dear Mr Price,

My privilege leave
comes to an end on the
evening of July 28th, and
the P. & O. steamer the
Sutlej by which I shall
return will probably arrive
in Bombay on July 25th
or 26th. Would you
be kind enough to let
me know by letter or wire

whether I am to return
to Rajahmundry, so that
I may know before starting
from Bombay.

I have no objection to holding
the office of Special Assistant
Agent for a short time
more if you wish me
to do so, but I hope that
it may be found possible
to move me before long;
for I have now nearly
completed three years
service in the Godavari Agency
and although liking the
work and taking an interest

in the division, I am aware
that a long stay in a
malarious climate like that
tells in the end on one's
health, and two or three
years are about as much
as most men can stand
of it. In January 1895
when it was proposed (I
think by H. E. Lord Venlock's
wish) to transfer me to be
Head Assistant of the Nilgiris,
I asked to be allowed to
remain till the close of the
official year in Godavari,
thinking it would be better
for myself and the division
to remain a little longer
in a place where I was

knows the work and
the country. At the same
time, as Mr. H. E. Lord Worsley
Private Secretary, wrote to
me that my wishes to
let the Ordnamund Head
Quarters's appointment
would be borne in mind if
the appointment should
again become vacant during
Mr. Galleney's tenure of
Office. I therefore hope that
in the event of my going
on now holds the post being
transferred elsewhere or going
on leave, I may be considered
to have some claim to the
appointment on account of
seniority, long residence in
a malabar division, and
the offer previously made to
me.

Believe me
Yours sincerely,
Charles G. Miller



July 23rd

6

My Dear Sir,

Please see the enclosed
which was delivered with my private
letter and which I therefore
did not at all after my
return from office.

I sent to Mr. Worsley a note
in which it was suggested
that Spencer should act

Butter.

If He approves this. would have
kindly either write to Thomas to let
him know or to Brandel who
is looking after Margaret from
me to let S know.

Yours sincerely
Richard Lee

— 000 —

Indication in which it is known
that a serious riot may at any
moment occur. This is apart
from the question of sending any
native to that Sub-Division, as to
which I am bound to say that I think
it would be inadvisable to do so.

As regards the Minute of
appt. which H.E. has signed,
I beg to point out that it is
premature, since Mr. Raja-
gopala Chari cannot, as I un-
derstand the matter, be appointed
to a Sub-Division until the
Sanction of the Govt of India (and
I think, of the Secy of State) has
been obtained.

I should like to see the papers
regarding Mr. Rajagopala Chari's
conduct in connection with
the riot mentioned by H.E.

RS
3/8/96

I agree that under present
circumstances a European
super to be sent to Dindigul.
This opinion ~~would~~ would do
not justify me in recommending
Rajagopala Chari unfit, but
it, and the fact that the number
of listed Sub-Divisions is
already ^{that they} ~~are~~ already filled,
will prevent me from recommending
the Govt of India to sanction
his appointment. Without that
Sanction it cannot be made.

RS
3/8/96

These papers have now been sent
me. Being glad to find that on the oc-
casion in question no very serious blame
was imputed to Mr. Rajagopala Chari.
But this fact does not affect my view
that his appointment to the
Madras Sub-Division is that no
increase in the number of Sub-Divisions as
referred to Statutory Prov. Airline should
be made ~~on~~ after full discussion
of the grave case.

RS
4/8/96

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon'ble Mr. Bliss, C. I. E., has recorded a minute in regard to Mr. Spencer's appt. and this has been included in the draft order. As the appts. of Messrs Spencer & Rajagopala Chariyar are distinct and as the minutes will have to be brought on separate proceedings, the Hon'ble Member consent to his minute being divided into two has been obtained. Mr. Spencer, who was last heard of as at Madras, has been informed by telegram of his appt. to Hooper.

2. The Hon'ble Mr. Bliss C. I. E. has also recorded a minute of dissent in regard to the nomination of Mr. P. Rajagopala Chariyar to act as Sub-Collector of Madras. The Hon'ble Mr. Bliss, C. I. E., has in a note expressed

Dept. Letter No 2194 of 10 Nov 1892 - * G.O. 377
16.12.92 Public

please see also the case of Mr. Muth?

Raza Khani transfer from the Sub.

Collectorate of Nellore to that of S. Arcot

in G.O. 378/1 and 457 Public -
30.5.94 6.7.94

and the appl. no. have to be reported

to the Secy. of State under para 1 of

Mr. Hewell's letter on page 2 of G.O.

No. 680 Public of 14. Sept 1894 - Only

two Sub. Collectorates have been listed in

this Presidency and the removal of

Mr. Srammudha Aiyar to Erode and

Mr. Muth? Raza Khani tenure of

the Sub. Collectorate of S. Arcot will

thrive two posts - The appl. of another

Statutory as Ag. Sub. Col. would

therefore have to be made under

the provisions of sec 3 & 4 of 24 & 25

Vic. Cap 54.

C.S.
31.7.96

31/7/96

Steps of the process
Rajagopal Chari

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR. (From the P.S.)

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

My copy of the Handbook of Procedure & Rules
sent to the office today for correction, as I cannot
refer to it. I send
Page 516, Handbook of Procedure & Rules, but however cannot
refer to it. I send
more illustrations
than two to Statutory & Prov. Civil Service
without full discussion of grave cases as
signed. This is a matter to be disposed of
by the circulation of a minute of application
taining a proposal by H.E.

3/8/96

Ootacamund, July 1896.

The President proposes the following appointment:--

Mr. P. Rajagopala Chariar, B.A.,
B.L., to act as Sub-Collector and
Joint Magistrate, Madurai, during the
absence of Mr. Patten on leave of Mr.
until further orders.

This appl. is subject to the sanction of the

Secy. of State.

H. E. Governor

Submitted to

- (1) The Honble Mr. Bliss C.J.S
- (2) The Honble Mr. Gore C.J.S

hd
3/8/86

The paper referred to by the Honble
Mr. Bliss with regard to Mr.
Rajagopalachari and

Submitted to
hd
4/8/86.

Resubmitted to H.E. The Govt.
with reference to the order
of Mr. dated 3.2.24
hd
4/8/86.



26 for the Chief Secretary

31 July 1916

Dear Mr. Price,

His Excellency does not understand why his proposals regarding Messrs Spencer and Rajagopala Chari were submitted, with a note, for the orders of Hon. Members. It was probably owing to your being away, but the course was altogether in accordance with the office rule. The paper which it was intended to submit for the approval of Hon. Members was a draft order cancelling Mr. Hughes' appointment to Nelson and this, it was thought, was made clear in the paragraph of the office rule referred to above. The Ag. Commr. Secy. apparently viewed the matter in this light and he sent two slips into the office, & spoke to the undersigned, about the necessity for the cancellation. His Excellency having practically directed the cancellation, the draft order was submitted to Hon. Members in accordance with rule 4(V) of the Rules for the conduct of business and also because it involved the setting aside of an appointment to which Hon. Members had agreed & which had been already gazetted. The question of Mr. Spencer's appointment and the cancellation of Mr. Hughes' posting were expressly separated in order to save time and to admit of Mr. Hughes being informed that the more, for which he must have been making preparations, was cancelled. His Excellency was on leave at the time & it was thought that the quickest course was to be adopted.

Yours faithfully,
J. S. S. S.

draft order to Hon'ble Members.

With reference to Mr.

Rajagopala Chari's appointment, the sanction of the Govt. of India was necessary and it has always been customary to obtain the previous approval of Hon'ble Members to telegrams to India proposing the app't of Statutories.

The two questions could not, as it is said, ^{have} been well submitted to Hon'ble Members separately as the telegrams in the file dealt with both and Hon'ble Members would not have been able to follow matters if any other course had been adopted.

The undersigned very much regrets that he should have been responsible for Mr. E. The former concerning the procedure adopted as most irregular but trusts that under the above explanation he may be acquitted of blame in the matter.

C.S.
2.8.96

Mr. in the CS



7th Aug. 1896

Dear Mr. P. R.

I have read the Note to His Excellency who sees that in the matter of Rajagopala Chari's appointment the course followed was according to precedent. The question of changing this procedure has been raised on another paper.

Yours sincerely
H. Alcock

Note to the Chief Secretary

Case subsequent to the issue of the order in G.O. No. 877 Public of 18th December 1892 (Flagged A) are:-
I Mr. Srammadha Aiyar's promotion to act as Sub. Collector. Please see telegram to India No. 216 of 2.5.93 (Flagged E) and its reply C. No. 1344 (Flagged G) in G.O. No. 288 Pub. of 12.5.93. ~~non-institution~~ India considered its sanction necessary (it gave such sanction), although it stated at the same time that the duties of a Sub. Col. did not differ from ^{those} that of an Asst. [Mr. Srammadha was promoted to permanent Asst. on 2nd Feb 93 (page 126 of History of Services)] and thus brought the matter within the ~~competence~~ authority of the local Govt. - vide para 2 of Home Dept. No. 2194 of 10. Nov 1892 in A which states that promotions from which do not involve a change in duties and responsibilities ~~can~~ be made by the local Govt on its own motion.

II Mr. Mudd. Raja Khans transfer from the Sub. Collectorate of Nellore to that of P. Arcot.

N^o. 379 Pub. 230 May 1894 (Flagged B) and in reply (Flagged C) in No. 489 76. July 1894. In this case a letter of a transfer in the same capacity from one district to another. This first relied on the India's construction of its own rules but the A.S. objected. India was addressed and sanctioned Mr. Indra. Raja Khan's employment as a Sub. Collector anywhere.

III Mr. Srammadua Ayar's charges of the Collectorate in Commission for 8 days in January 1894. Here India was the inconvenience of having to address India on every ^{such} occasion was pointed out ^{to that fact} but it refused to move in this matter - vide Govt 165/6 7.8.94 (Flagged E) + 240 11.4.94 ()

C.S.
B.S. 95

The remark of the Hon. Mr. Bliss that the Minute of Appointment signed by me is premature, since Mr. Rajagopala Chari's appointment requires the sanction of the Secretary of State or of the Government of India, raises an important question, which it is desirable to settle. I am of opinion that the view of the Hon. Mr. Bliss is incorrect, for the patronage of the Government is vested in the Governor, subject to a limited power of control by the Members of Council. If the course advocated by the Hon. Mr. Bliss were adopted, the Members of Council would have the same voice in regard to these particular appointments as they possess in respect of matters other than patronage. It seems to me, therefore, to be quite clear that the proper course is for the Governor to make his proposals for these posts in the way adopted in the case of all other appointments, i.e. by recording a Minute of Appointment. If Hon. Members consider that

the person proposed is un-
fit they can withhold
their concurrence. If they
do not do this, the sanction
of the Secretary of State
or the Government of
India, as the case may
be, will be applied for.

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 AUG 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

25 A.
1,000-21546

Public DEPARTMENT

Two cases seem to me to be involved in H.E.'s note of the 14th inst. The first is that of FOR ORDERS.

arising occurring in an appl. which has already been transferred to the Statutory Comm. - Prov. C.S. In that case, the question for consideration would be what particular person should be appd to fill the vacancy, & the procedure proposed by H.E., viz. that the first app. to be taken should be the case of a kind of appl. already signed by him, would certainly be correct. The other case is that of a vacancy occurring in an appl. which has already been transferred to the Statutory Comm. - Prov. C.S. In that case, before the question of appd any particular person to fill the vacancy could be entertained, it would be necessary to decide whether the appl. should or should not be transferred to the Statutory Comm. - Prov. C.S. Reports of this kind might in that doubt be brought forward by any member of the Comm. in any way trenching on H.E.'s prerogative in regard to patronage; and indeed in necessity in any way involve the consideration of the particular person to be appd to fill the vacancy, in the event of the transfer being decided on. Reports of this kind should evidently be accompanied by a statement of the reasons which led to their being made, because, otherwise it would be impossible for anyone to decide whether to approve them or not; and it would not be often in the case

that the principal reason for the notes for orders for a particular person would be that it was necessary, or advisable, to find a place for a particular person. This is the position at present with regard to the

A note by H.E. the Governor of the 14th instant regarding the procedure to be followed in the case of proposals in connection with the promotion of Statutory civilians is submitted. The procedure hitherto has been, to circulate to Hon'ble Members the notes regarding these appls (with or without draft telegram to India according as the importance of the case) before the Minutes of Appl. are drawn up. The procedure which H.E. the Governor wishes to be followed would, it is subd, regularize matters, as if Hon'ble Members wished to minute they would have H.E.'s minute to act on. In the case of the Mr. Raja Gopal Chari's appl. the Hon'ble Mr. Bliss had to base his minute on and the latter could ~~afford~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~of~~ ~~course~~ find no place in the proceedings of the Govt.

The Hon'ble Mr. Bliss

15/8/96.
C.R.
14.8.96
to a Sub-Collectorate. But the principles
on which such a matter should be dealt
with are not affected by the fact that it
would frequently be known before hand
that, in the event of the transfer being
decided on, G.E. would propose to prefer
a particular person to the vacancy. When
the transfer was decided on, the course
to be followed would be that ~~such~~ ad-
vacated by G.E.

Not listed, it has first to be considered whether the nominee is of such proved merit & ability as to justify the promotion, and also the members of Council can record their views on this point.

Mr. Rainford's views have seemed to me to come under this Statute.

894 20.8.0

R 2571

FOR
I have nothing to add to what I
wrote before. Except for the Hon. Mr.
Dress' slip of the pen, remarked on
by H.L., it seems to me that there is
substantial accord as to the course
that should be followed under the
different circumstances which may occur.
Just, I expect that the matter may
be brought up in Council.

This cellway is right. I made a mistake
in referring to 33 West Cap's Lte 6

8/30.7.96
 died with white tape

No. I am sorry I forgot
to tell this before.

Ms
267875/6

Submitted to Hon'ble Members
with reference to H.L. the Governor's
note of 20th August.

The Hon'ble Mr. Blom's instructions
: him are solicited as to whether he
desires his minutes regarding the
appointments of Messrs Rajagopala
Chari & Spencer to stand as, if so,
they will have, ~~to~~ under the rules, to
be printed and brought formally on
to the proceedings of Govt.

25.5 96

25/8/86

authd P

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.