

Public (1896) Department.

G.O. No.

G.O., No. 95 .

Dated 20th Jan. 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

CONDUCT OF PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Intimating to Mr. Mahavedan Raja that the sanction of Government is not necessary for certain investments made by his wife of her paternal property.

From K.C. Vanavegan Raja

To The Chief Secretary to

The Government

Of Madras

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 DEC 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

I beg to report for the information of Government, that my wife intends to obtain on lease a small piece of ~~vi~~ house site ground in Calicut & to build a house thereon for our son who is residing in a school in Calicut & is therefore obliged to reside there. The money required to acquire the lease - about 1000 - is her own Tarwad property. The money required to build the house will ^{be} granted by me. I may say that the ground in question is ~~in~~ ^{of} Tarwad ~~land~~ ^{land}. I am not sure this transaction requires the sanction of Government. I however report the matter for their information.

2 My wife is the only child of her parents. Her uncle died in December 94; her mother is old & incapable of managing Tarwad property; She is thus obliged to renew old debt deeds, invest monies recovered in fresh lands in fact transact all business, which a Tarwad manager has to transact - all in her name. I have no concern with these transactions, in fact in most cases they are conducted without my knowledge. I beg leave to enquire whether Government sanction is required for all these transactions. When my own Tarwad borrows & lends, buys or sells lands, on a large scale, I respectfully beg to submit, that it is clearly unfair, to prohibit my wife from doing the same, with her Tarwad property. I presume that the prohibition is not intended to apply to property with which the husband has no concern. I request the favour of early ~~reply~~ ^{decision}.

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient servant

Manmadh Subba

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
✓							

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sub. No. 91 556-295 M.
Conduct of Pub. Servants

From

K. C. Manavedan Raja
Esq.

M.

G.O. No. 95 d/20/11/1896
The Govt does not
consider that in this
case its sanction is
necessary.

Dated 17.12.95
Recd 20/12
Rep 21/12

35B
14/1/96.

22
15/1/96.

K.C. Manavedan Raja Esq. d/21/1/96

Subject

Enquiring whether previous
Sanction should be obtained
from Govt in respect of
certain transactions ^{by his}
~~by his~~ ^{wife} in connection with
the ~~para~~ obtaining on lease
a small piece of house site
ground in Calicut & of building
a house thereon.

WITH ORDER

[5]
300-5-10-95

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

4319.

FOR ORDERS.

The first question is Is the lady
his wife? I should suggest.
If yes, I presume she should
be under the same restriction
as to dealing with land and other
property as he is -

To the particular transaction
mentioned there can be no
objection

BS
6/1/96

Circ:-

I don't think Govt
Sanction is necessary

22
10/1/96

I do not think we need raise the
question as to Matrimony. The lady is
I presume on the same footing as
regards matrimonial relations as
other West Coast women - and I
w^d. simply reply that Govt does
not consider that in this case, its
sanction is necessary.

W
13 Jan

It is submitted that
the case is altogether
exceptional and that
sanction need not be
insisted on. Mr. M. R.
asks for early orders.

35B
21.12.95

21/12/95

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.