

Public (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1325

DATED 17-12-96

(RECEIVED.)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering that Assistant Surgeons
M. Parasarama Naicker and Peter
Muniswami Pillai be retired with
effect from 1st April 1897.

Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2935

7/1225

G.O.	No.	Department.
	no 392	of 1897

Order of 1867.

Returned to the Surgeon General for report whether he recommends the retention in service of Assistant Surgeons M. Parasurama Nayar and P. Muthuswami Pillai till 31st March 1898.

From

The Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.



Dated 8th September 1896.

Received to do

Registered 11th do

Enclosures 1

Spare copies —

/by order/

W. B. Phillips
Ag. Asst. Secretary

2013
14.9.16
C. O. T. C. M. of
17. Sept. 1896

SUBJECT.

Forwarding List of Gazette officers employed under the Madras Government who will attain the age of 50 years or whose extensions of service after attainment of that age will expire during the next official year 1897-98.

we will expire before

EXTENSION

1325

List of Non-Commissioned officers employed under the

attainmen

Name of Office.

Name of Incumbent.

No. 416

OFFICE OF THE SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 8th September 1896.

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.

Forwarded.

P. J. J. J. J.

Ag. Surgeon-General.
with the Government of Madras.


Public
2935

Public
Judicial

(1896)

⁶
¹³²³
Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Surgeon-General with the
Government of Madras.

Order

No. 4403

Dated 24th September 1896.

Received 26th

Registered 28th

Enclosures 1. Pub. C. No. 2935 with
Spare copies enc. ref.

SUBJECT.

Stating his inability to recommend
Assistant Surgeons Parasarama Naicker
and Munisawmy Pillai for any exten-
-sion.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[1]

No. 4444

7/1325

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, the 24th September 1896.

From Surgeon-Colonel D.F. Bateman,
~~SURGEON-MAJOR GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.~~
Acting SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In returning your endorsement No. 180 dated the 17th September 1896, I have the honor to state that considering the present block of promotion in the Department I am unable to recommend Assistant Surgeons Parasarama Naicker and Munisawmy Pillai for any extension of service, more especially as there is nothing in the way either of them has performed his duties which would render his retention in service of any benefit to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D.F. Bateman

Surgeon-Colonel,
Acting Surgeon-General with the
Government of Madras.

awp

8/1325

Offl. Memo 71201

With reference
to his No. 444 of 24.9.6,
the attention of the Ag
Surgn. Genl. is invited
to article 503 C.S. Regs.
a block of promotion in the rank is given
for reasons given for
insufficient reason for the compulsory retire-
ment of the two Asst
Surgeons. ~~do not appear~~
~~to be sufficient to justify~~
by the additional charge
on acct of their pensions.
It is requested that this
reference may be held
over for reply by the
permanent Surgeon
Genl, who should state
whether the two Asst
Surgeons are ~~not~~ competent
to discharge their duties
efficiently; and, if not,
his reasons for that
opinion.

7513
30.9.6. H.A. 7.10 2/6

The Surgeon Genl (memo only)

Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 3849



Order

From

Surgeon Major General H. Pitt Rivers
F.R.C.P.S.

Surgeon General with Genl. of Madras

No. 41

Dated 14 November 1896

Received 16
Registered 16 { 1896.

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Reporting for reasons stated
that Asst. Surgeons Paramaras
Naicker and Pelt, humianmy shall
not be retained in the service after
completing 55 years of age.

BACK

RS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G. 73]
300-39-7-96.

10/1925

NOTE FOR THE Chief Secretary

With reference to his Excellency's note attention is solicited to para 1 of the surgeon general's letter of the 14th Novr. wherein it is stated that these two Asst. Surgeons are "past work"

If the Chief Secy. considers that a further reference should be made the following is submitted for approval:-

Memo. No. 2558
4-12-96

with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter no of 13 14 Nov 1896 the surgeon general is requested to state ^{precisely definitely} ~~whether~~ ^{whether} Asst. Surgeons. Parasarama Naicker and Peter Muniyasaami Pillai ~~are~~ ^{not} inefficient, for ~~further service~~

1.

The block of promotion argument was Dr. Patel's.

Submitted that the following may be added to the order already passed.

2. With refce. to letter

no 444 of 24. Sep. 1896 from the of S.G. with the Govt. of Madras the attention of the S.G. will be drawn to the provisions of the C.S. R. sec. 503 and that compliance with the provisions of 55 is compulsory in the promotion of inspectors alone. Block in promotion is not a sufficient ground


30/11/96

3/12

2.12.96 Surg Genl. D. S. S. S.

Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 4156



From Surgeon General
with the spot of Madras
Madras

Order

N.B.
The note is
at the end of
the note for orders

No. 92

Dated 11 December 1896

Received 12 " "

Registered 12 " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

States that he is decidedly
of opinion that both Asst
Surgeons Paraduramaiah
& Paraduramaiah are
inefficient & past work.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G.O.]
800-8-10-96.

215/12
R16/12

17/1325 Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2935, 3153, 3849 and 4156.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HONBLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.~~

~~THE HONBLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Case of two Assistant Surgeons
attaining the age of 55 years.

FOR ORDERS.

cm. 4156 is submitted to His
Majesty the Governor with
reference to his note flagged A.
From this current it will be
seen that the Surgeon General
considers Assistant Surgeons
Parasarama Naicker and Peter
Muniswami Pillai both
inefficient and past work
orders are solicited whether
the draft order at flag A may
now issue

Yes.
2.5h
18.12.96

5073
12/11/96

Submitted that the order
at 2. may now issue
A.L.K.
12/12/96 RA 14.12.96

G.
100-3-10-96.

C. No. 2965, 3152, + 3009.

CHIEF SECRETARY
28 NOV 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
SUBJECT.

2. H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

1. THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

Two Assistant Surgeons who will
attain 55 years of age.

FOR ORDERS.

On the recommendation
of Mr. S. G. himself in his
letter 446 of 18th Oct 1895
in the file of that GO.
NO

vide 111-18/10/95

in G.O. 803-

2nd 11/11/95. 893 of 29th
Oct 1895.

The above papers relate to the
cases of two assistant surgeons
of whom will attain the age of 55 years
in February next. Their retirement
in service until 31st March 1897
was sanctioned in G.O. no 893 Pub
A 29 Oct 1895. The Surgeon
General now reports that both
the Assistant Surgeons
should be retired on their
attaining the age of 55 years
in February 1897. This would
require the cancellation of G.O.
no 893 Pub A 29 Oct 1895. It
is submitted that it ^{will} be
^{sufficient} if they are
retired from the 1st April
1897. The following draft
order is submitted

for

Order No 1325-217-12-96

Assistant Surgeons M
annanama Naicker and
the ~~Assistant Surgeon~~ Pilla
will be retired with effect
from the 1st April 1897.

I think it should be pointed out to the Surgeon General that the rule permits recourse to compulsory retirement at the age of 55 on the ground of inefficiency alone, and that the ground of releasing a doctor from service is not sufficient. He is of the belief that these officers are not efficient. But, if they are inefficient, he should remove them.

67-46

2014 07-11-2014
- 8-11-2014

Public Ent. '94. 4156

14/10/2014

No. 98

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 14th November 1896.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL F. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum
No. 100 Public dated the 5th October 1896, I have
the honor to state for the information of
Government that in my opinion both the
Assistant Surgeons referred to therein are
past work and should not be granted an extension
of service on completion of 55 years of age in
February 1897.

2. Assistant Surgeon Parasarama Nicker
of Chingleput is stated to be suffering from
diabetes which has undermined his constitution.
His digestion is impaired as he has lost all the
teeth in his upper jaw and wears false ones. His
hand is very tremulous and shaky thereby unfitting
him for the performance of operations requiring
skill. For these reasons his general health
is necessarily below par.

3. As regards Assistant Surgeon Peter Annisawmy of Trichinopoly, he is a man of very delicate constitution and poor health and not able to do his work effectively. Moreover he is excitable in temperament, somewhat old fashioned in his views of professional subjects and inclined to eccentricity in his general character. These qualities render him unsafe as a medical man.

I have the honor to

Your most obedient servant

C. Sibthorpe

Surgeon Major General

Surgeon General with Govt of Mad.

[2]

CONFIDENTIAL.

No.

992

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 11 December 1896.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.L.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your Memo-
-randum No. 2558 Public dated the
4th December 1896, I have the honor to
state that in paragraph 1 of my
letter to C/S dated the 14 November
1896, I have, early, given my opinion
regarding the two Assistant Surgeons
I again state for the information of
Government that I decidedly consider
|| them both inefficient and past work

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your obedient servant

C. Sibthorpe.

Surgeon Major General
Surgeon General with the
Government of Madras.