

Public (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 716

DATED 29th Aug: 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

VACCINATION AT BANGALORE.

Requ^{ir}ing the Resident in Mysore to favour this Government with his opinion as to whether it is within the province of the Sanitary Commissioner for Madras to inspect the civil population of Bangalore as to the condition of vaccination.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3156		95				

ca

La says that this
very question why we
shd provide vaccinators
for the Singapore Canton
ment is just now before
the H. of C. also that it
would perhaps be
as well to let this
lie over till that
question is settled

C.W.
17.7.85

Wm. J. /

Public L. No. 2039/95

The old papers received from Madras only show when the Sanitary Commissioner ceased to have Military duties to perform and became a purely Civil officer and do not in any way help us to determine the question whether the Bangalore Station falls within his jurisdiction. It may, however, be noted that in 1869 after that officer ^{had been} transferred to the Civil Dept. he was requested ^{at the instance of the Military authorities} by the Public Dept. to visit the Cantonment of Bangalore and suggest the necessary Sanitary measures for the improvement of the place.

Provision is made annually in the Medical Budget for 2 Vaccinators for the Bangalore Cantonment from which it would appear that this Govt is in some way responsible for the Vaccination work of the Station (Page 22. Final go. 2/12-12-94, No. 1096). But this in itself ^{does} not afford sufficient proof that it is the duty of the Sanitary Commissioner of the

(With Encl.)

me un-
re as to the
condition

Local & Municipal Dept.

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT.

From

W. G. King
SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. J. A. LAING,
SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary*
to Government
Local & Municipal Dept.

No. 1024
S

Dated *29th May*
Despatched *8th June* 1895
Received 10 JUN 95

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

*Requesting to know
whether the British Civil
Station of Bangalore is within
the limits of jurisdiction of
the Sanitary Commission?*

Public Dept

No. 873

Transferred to the Public Department

C. Goddard
Ag. Secy to Govt
24.11.95.

18/6/95
26th June 1895
Regd 28.

No. 1024
S.

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER,

Local 29th May 1898

From

SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,

By SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Dept.

Enclosure.

SIR,

I have the honor to request information as to whether the British Civil Station of Bangalore is within the limits of jurisdiction of this office. I would point out that during the period this office possessed military duties the Cantonment of Bangalore was regularly inspected by the Sanitary Commissioner of the period. Since the severance of the office from the Military Department, this however has not been done; but, the budget allotment for vaccination continues to be provided by the Madras Government, and is submitted through this office for sanction. Under these circumstances, it seems to me that whilst no sanitary inspection is now demanded, it would be within the province of this office to inspect the civil population of the civil and military station of Bangalore as to the condition.

Condition of Vaccination.

2. The question of jurisdiction with respect to Rule 5, Chapter 2, Vol I, Part I of the ^{Code} Civil Account, was referred to the Accountant-General for opinion, but he was unable to give a decided answer on the subject.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. H. King

Surgeon Lt Col,

Sanitary Commissioner
for Madras.

[47]
[25]
100-23-7-95

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2039

FOR ORDERS.

* vide P. M. G. No. 1370 d/23/4/64

In the resolution of the Govt of India, Finance Dept with reference to the formation of Sanitary Commissions for the Presidency Towns in India, it was laid down that as they were primarily intended for the Army, the cost of the establishment should be a military charge; and that such expenditure as might be incurred in the improvement of Towns should be a municipal charge, provided from local funds.

But owing to the difficulty experienced by the military authorities in enforcing on civilian residents of mil. Cantonments

observance of Conservancy Rules, and the correspondence with India thereon, it was in 1869 resolved that, from the 1st April of that year, the Sanitary Commissioners should be considered under the orders of the Govt in the Civil (Public) Dept, and all expenses connected with the appointment transferred to the Civil Budget.

This, however, was not effected till the next year in accordance with Govt of India Resolution No. 212 d/17-2-69.

D. King the Sanitary Commissioner, states that up to the time of this transfer the Cantonment of Bangalore was regularly inspected by the Sanitary Commissioners, but that this has not been done since.

In November of 1869, however, D. Ranking the then Sanitary Commissioner was directed to visit

FOR ORDERS.

* P.M.S. No 398 d/27th Jan 1869

* I don't think that this has anything to do with the question. It was quite a separate matter.

* vide P.M.S. 3789 d/29th Oct 69
+ the order thereon G.O. No 1489 d/1-11-69
* G.O. Financial No 1096 d/12-12-94

* and current 2039 has been awaiting the Govt's orders in regard to the debit of the cost of the two vaccinators at B.
I can't see how - many notes in fl. 546 7/2
I stated "very much" against this point, i.e.
8 have matters - one
RR

Bangalore and, "in connection with the Military and Medical authorities, to investigate and report on the causes which had led to an outbreak of Typhoid at that Station. From the Budget Estimate for 1895-96 it will be seen that a sum of Rs 336 continues to be provided under the head "Vaccination" for the Bangalore Cantonment.

In connection with this Budget the Honorable Member asked "Why should we pay for Vaccination in Bangalore?". The reply was given in the note with G.O. 546 d/25th July 95.

It is stated there that the Vaccination Establishments at Bangalore and Secunderabad are under the control of the Madras Sanitary Commissioner.

The question of the inclusion in the Budget of the provision for the two Vaccinators

at Bangalore was brought to notice in the report of the Finance Committee. But it appears to have been considered that owing to the distance it would be far less convenient for the Sanitary Dept of the Govt of India to exercise sufficient control over them ~~Vaccinators~~.

The Sanitary Commissioner ^{enquires} Mr D King now ~~asks~~ whether, under the existing circumstances, it is within his province to inspect the civil population of Bangalore.

It is submitted that the Resident in Mysore may be asked for his views on the matter. ^{Upon the way in which the San. Comm. could be attached to Bangalore? be stated, & the Res. should be told that if he desires instruction by D.K. he will be pleased to meet him in person.}

With regard to para 2 of D King's letter it is observed that the sanction of the Govt of India to the deputation of the officer beyond the limits of the Province is not needed ^{when} ~~at~~ Bangalore.

* vide C.S.R. Art. 1137.

when his pay and allowances are chargeable to Imperial revenues.

Please see the portion of the letter in GO No. 546 dated 26/7/95 marked with red tape 12

aw 15/8/95
20/8/95

Vaccination at Bangalore

Hon M. H. W. Bliss C. I. E.

Public Current 2039

20
The Resident
in Mysore

Sir

I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, No 1024 d/29th May last, and to request the favour of an expression of your opinion on the point raised in para 1 thereof, viz, whether in existing circumstances it is within the province of that officer to inspect the civil population of Bangalore as to the condition of vaccination.

2. The delay in the matter has been caused by a consideration of the question of the inclusion in the Medical budget of this Presidency of provisions for the two vaccinators employed at Bangalore. The point was brought before the Committee.

Finance Committee but it appears to have been considered that, owing to the distance, it would be far less convenient for the Sanitary Dept of the Govt of India to exercise sufficient control over the vaccinators. This opinion is shared by the present Govt of Madras.

Vaccination at Bangalore

5.12.
1895

Public Current 2039
Letter of 29th Aug 95, No. 716
To

The Resident
Mysore
Dated 4/9/95

Sir

I am directed to ^{place in} ~~submit~~ the following matter for your consideration, and to request ^{that the S. of M. may be} ~~the~~ favour of ^{with} an expression of your opinion thereon.

2. Up to the year 1864, the Sanitary Commissioner Madras, was subject to the orders of ~~Govt~~ ^{the S. of M.} in the Military Dept and the Cantonment of Bangalore was regularly inspected by him. But owing to difficulty experienced by the military authorities in enforcing on the Civil population of Cantonments the proper observance of ^{S. Comm's} rules, ^{the} was in that year transferred to the Civil Dept, ^{and} since ^{that} Bangalore has not

9. in inspected by him.
 a. The two vaccinators employed in
 Bangalore are however paid
 from the Medical
 Dept for 95-96 however,
 this Govt. of Rs 336 is
 provided for "Vaccination"
 at Bangalore.
 and D. King, the Sanitary
 Commissioner, has enquired
 whether he should inspect
 the civil population at
 that station as to the
 condition of vaccination.

14. 9. 95. The Governor
 in Council desires me
 to say that should
 you desire the in-
 spection by D. King,
 this Govt will be
 happy to meet
 your wishes in the
 matter.

from Order

W.
 18/95

27/8/95

Vaccination at 1 Saugur

from Order

When given
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

PRINT WITH FILE.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 ORIGINAL.

N.B.:
 A no copy in file
 B no Not to be copied
 P no Copy retained only
 Q no Copy holding only