

Public (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 685

DATED 14th Aug. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Liens on Acting Appointments.

Directing that in future no officer shall retain a lien on more than one acting appointment, including that in which he is for the time being officiating, unless in case of his appointment to act in a privilege leave vacancy; and requesting the Accountant-General to correct the present appointments shown in the Civil list accordingly.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sl. of {1895} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th August 1895, No. 685.

AUGUST.

Liens on Acting Appointments.

Directing that in future no officer shall retain a lien on more than one acting appointment, including that in which he is for the time being officiating, unless in case of his appointment to act in a privilege leave vacancy; and requesting the Accountant-General to correct the present appointments shown in the Civil list accordingly.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Accountant-General, dated 6th July 1895, No. 1741.

ORDER—dated 14th August 1895, No. 685, Public.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that in future no officer shall retain a lien on more than one acting appointment, including that in which he is for the time being officiating, unless in case of his appointment to act in a privilege leave vacancy.

2. The Accountant-General will correct the present appointments shown in the Civil list accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Public Dept

1895 12 April 1895

11/11

The Accountant General is requested to state whether there would be any objection to a general rule being laid down to the effect that when an officer who in consequence of his acting in a privilege leave arrangement, retains a lien on another acting appointment, is subsequently absorbed in a furlough arrangement, his lien on the first acting appointment should be considered to have lapsed. An example of the inconvenience of the retention of these liens will be found on page 53 of the Monthly Civil list where no less than four appointments are shown against the name of Mr L. Davidson, I.C.S., the entries in the distribution list being similar

replied. These liens could
doubletess now be destroyed by
the Government making several
paper appointments in the
Gazette but a general rule in
the point appears to be required
in order to keep the civil list
clear of useless entries

8/1/95

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secy to Govt
Public Dept


No. 1747

Dated

Received

Encls. 7

6 July 95



Subject.

Stating that the course
proposed by Govt to remove
useless entries from the
civil list is undesirable

It is the Governor in Council
directs that, excepted when app^d
to act in a privilege leave ^{vacancy} ~~arrange~~
~~arrange~~, an officer shall not retain
a lien on any appointment in
which he has previously acted
and that when an officer acti^{vacancy}
in a privilege leave ~~arrangement~~
is absorbed in a further ~~arrang~~
ment his lien on his previous
acting appointment shall be
held to have lapsed. No officer
will, in any case, be permitted to
retain a lien on more than one
acting appointment of the same
designation.

C.S.
11.7.95

18/7/95

Act. Gmt (with enclosed)
Rev. Dept.
P. W. Dept.
Heads of Dept under the Chief Secy

[It is made a selection]

YAC

This will keep on our civil list
clearer. The Bombay plan is cumbersome
and must give a deal of unnecessary

ORDER

The Accountant Genl despd
12/4/95

General Audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,

6th July 1895.

No. *1741*

From

F. C. Harrison Esquire,
Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

To

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No 819, Public dated the 12 of April 1895, I have the honour to state that there is apparently no objection to the course proposed. As it is not clear what rights an officer has with regard to his previous acting appointments and as I noticed that other civil Lists do not contain the numerous entries which are to be found in the Madras civil List, I wrote the annexed letter to the Accountants General at Bombay and Bengal and I append their answers. Government will see that in the case of an officer appointed to a post in succession to another acting officer, the practice in other Presidencies is to re-gazette the

x. The lists received from the Accountant General Bombay are herewith forwarded in original to be returned.

first acting officer ~~presumably~~, not necessarily,
to his previous acting appointment.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. Harrison
Offg. Accountant General

It seems to me an essential
part of the order that no officer
will in any case be permitted
to retain a leave on more
than one ^{at} appointment of
the same designation.

JH
20/7

I approve the principle of
the proposed order about leave
on acting app'ts. But I cannot
swear that the order is put as
clearly as it might be. Would
it not be simpler thus:—

"H.S. the f. in C. directs that
in future no officer shall retain
a leave on more than one acting
app't. unless in case of his
app't. to officiate in a paid
leave vacancy."

That seems to me to cover
the whole ground.

JH
27/11

Dr
5/7

J. E. B. Lewis

Don't know

I don't follow this. ^{Require} ~~if~~ acting in full pay arrangements, the officers in question would only retain the following liens: - Mr. Slavin on the Principal Assistant Pay, & Mr. Gillman on the Sub Assistant of Kitchen - If then Mr. Slavin acted as (say) Chief of Vessel ~~in~~ in a priv. leave arrangement, he wd. have 2 liens, which would be right. The last sentence of the draft G.O. wd. have the following effect: - Suppose Mr. Young took priv. leave, and Mr. A. (say Mr. Asst. Comdr.) had been ill & wanted exchange - Govt. wd. be prevented from letting him act for Mr. Young in the meantime, as he is in the Comd. of the vessel. This wd. be wrong. My draft seems to provide all that is required -

W

26/7/95

24/7/95

I look it that the word "lien" applied also to the appl. actually occupied Member's note of the 26th July, it at the time & so I think it wd. be read - An acting as submitted that it was taken officer goes on p. l. Does he not retain for granted that the lien of an "alien" in the appl. he was acting in? officer on an appl. he actually occupies should be omitted from the calculations. The definition of "Lien on an appl." in article 37 of the C. S. R. is not very clear, but it seems to support the view that the expression is not used with reference to the appl. - acting or substantive - which an officer actually occupies at any particular time.

I admit how you will, my draft will suit the case. I want the officer view of the meaning to be attached to the word "lien", add, after "one acting appl." in my draft, the words "including that in which he is for the time being officiating", or to that effect.

I am no objection to leaving the order in this form

W
8 Aug. 1895

I don't follow this. Art. 120 deals with allowances, not with liens.

As regards the case of Mr. Young ~~as referred to~~ referred to in the Hon'ble Member's ~~last~~ note, attention is solicited to G.O. of 10th July 1894, No. 504 Public, which decides that the junior officer in each grade is the one who is affected by article 120 of the C.S.R. during appl. in a privilege leave vacancy ~~is~~ useful in saving the re-gazetting of an officer whom he is created from.

W
31/7/95

privilege leave vacancy.

C.S.
25/7/95

LD
30/7/95

[G.
24.]

500 21-5-95.

Public DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 2148 of 1895, dated 6 July from The Act. Genl.

" "

" 189 , "

" "

" 189 , "

Honble Mr. Osler, C.I.C.

ORDER. Of 14th August 1895 No 685

H.E. the Governor, in Council
directs that in future no officer
shall retain a lien on more than
one acting appt, including that
in which he is for the time
being officiating, unless in case
of his appt. to act in a
privilege leave vacancy.

(From Order)

LD
15/8/95

14/13/95

2. The Act. Genl. will correct
the ^{present} ~~present~~ appointments shown
in the list but accordingly

C.S.
10.8.95

I have countermanded this,
but it does not affect the
P.H.D. in which there are
no appointments, but only
justices, on which no one
has a lien.

S 14.8

Act. Genl. (with (under. v. 10) 6
Rev. Dept. 31
P. W. Dept. 41
Heads of Dept. under the C.S.

disptd
26/8/95

H.C. Make a selection please
C.S.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 685

Dep

Revenue Department ..
Public Works Department ..
~~Military Department ..~~
~~Local and Municipal Department ..~~

JUDICIAL.	{	Honorable the Chief Justice with C.L.	..
		Inspector-General of Police	..
		Do. of Prisons	..
		Do. of Registration	..
		Chief Presidency Magistrate	..
		Commissioner of Police	..
		Judges of the Madras Court of Small Causes	..
		Advocate-General	..
		Government Solicitor	..
		Administrator-General	..
JUDICIAL.	{	Sheriff of Madras	..
		Government Pleader	..
		Registrar of Joint Stock Companies	..

Surgeon-General
 Meteorological Reporter
 President, Board of Examiners
 Private Secretary to the Right Honorable the Governor.
 Government Astronomer
 Superintendent, Government Central Museum
 Chemical Examiner
 Superintendent of Stationery
 Protector of Emigrants
 Superintendent, Government Press
 Tamil Translator to Government
 Telugu do. to do.
 Malayalam do. to do.
 Canarese do. to do.
 Hindustani do. to do.
 British Consular Agent, Pondicherry
 Superintendent, Archaeological Survey
 Government Epigraphist

POLITICAL	{	Resident in Travancore and Cochin	..
		Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends	..
		Political Agent, Pudukota	..
		Do. Sandur	..
		Do. Banganapalli	..
		Government Agent, Tanjore	..
		Special Agent, South Arcot	..

The Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras
 Venerable Archdeacon of Madras
 Senior Chaplain of the Church of Scotland

MAJ) Deputy Port Officer
in, Harbour Trust Board

FINANCIAL { Accountant-General 6
Commissioner of Paper Currency 1

EDUCATIONAL { Director of Public Instruction 20
Commissioner for Government Examinations 1

THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF

Anantapur
North Arcot (Chittoor)
South Arcot (Cuddalore)
Bellary
South Canara (Mangalore)
Chingleput (Saidapet)
Coimbatore
Cuddapah
Ganjam (Chatrapur)
Godavari (Cocanada)
Kistna (Masulipatam)
Kurnool
Madura
Malabar (Calicut)
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)
Nellore
Salem
Tanjore
Tinnevelly
Trichinopoly
Vizagapatam

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
OF

North Arcot (Chittoor)
South Arcot (Cuddalore)
Bellary
South Canara (Mangalore)
Chingleput
Coimbatore
Cuddapah
Ganjam (Berhampore)
Godavari (Rajahmundry)
Kistna (Masulipatam)
Kurnool
Madura
North Malabar (Tellicherry)
South Malabar (Calicut)
Nellore
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)
Salem
Tanjore (Tanjore)
Tinnevelly (Palamcottah)
Trichinopoly
Vizagapatam

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

R. 40 AGAIN G.O., No.
182

DATED

Dept.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP. BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B. —

A — Print in full.
B — Not to be printed.
P — Print abstract only.
Q — Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Let.

6-8-12-V

12-8-26 V

31.8.34. L

Prob.
50
1,000

9-5-11.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Block No. _____

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer,

Dept. _____

Press
Mis.
Rout.

No. _____

dated _____

191

Rack No.
Range.

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
					5/1/12	Ref
12-8-26	DRD	1084/26			5/1/12	Ref
20-9-27		1084/27				
30-1-28						
25.5.37	DRD	530/37	1937		31.5.37	Ref

Feb

Fuller

[illegible]