

# Public (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 106

DATED 11 Feb. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

SECOND MEDICAL OFFICER AT OOTACAMUND.

Informing the Surgeon-General, with remarks, that the appointment of----cannot at present be held by a Commissioned Medical Officer and calling for a report as to how the second Medical Officer, if an uncovered <sup>a</sup>anted man, can relieve the medical officer of some of his permanent work, such as the Lawrence Asylum, Police Lines, &c.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No.     | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------|
|      | 484 |             | 1895  |      | 221     |             |       |
|      | 584 |             | 1895  |      | 27-3-85 |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      | 167     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      | 8-3-85  |             |       |

327

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 30 January 1895.

No 67  
Encl.

From

SURGEON MAJOR-GENERAL G. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Regarding the appointment of an additional commissioned medical officer to Pottacamund from April to the end of September I note that prior to 1886 there were two medical officers sanctioned for Pottacamund vide G.O. No 1336 Public Department 1885. The Finance Committee suggested on the score of economy that one of these should be dispensed with but on the representation of the then Surgeon General (vide para 12 of his letter No 428 of 21 Decr 1886 to your address) the Madras Government ruled that a second medical officer was absolutely necessary for six months in the year vide G.O. No 313 Financial dated 9 March 1887. From that time it has been usual to post

In Encl No 313  
9-2-87

a second medical officer from the 1<sup>st</sup> April to the 30<sup>th</sup> September each year.

3. In 1891 the duties of the two medical officers were definitely laid down as may be seen in G.O. no 893 Public dated 21<sup>st</sup> Oct 91 C.

4. In G.O. no 776 Public dated 17<sup>th</sup> October 1894 the appointment of the second medical officer was relegated to List II under the heading for uncovenanted medical officers when no commissioned officer is available.

5. In my letter no 689 dated the 20<sup>th</sup> December 1914 I have proposed that the appointment should be transferred to and be filled by an uncovenanted medical officer. G.O. 65 being  
28-1-95  
printed.

6. In paragraph 8 of the resolution of the Government of India recorded in G.O. no 1 Public D. 2<sup>nd</sup> January '95 it is distinctly stated that assistant Surgeon should not be placed in charge of stations where commissioned medical officers are available and it is further urged that in dealing with such appointments the desirability of

3  
having European Commissioned medical officers at stations especially at those where there is a considerable European Community should not be lost sight of. Botacmund is essentially such a station and the work during the season is too much for one officer.

7. I consider it most necessary that a medical officer continue to be appointed to Botacmund for two six months each year and would suggest that his appointment on the plains if he is in Civil employ should be temporarily filled by an uncovenanted Civil Surgeon.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> servant

Chinchaube

Surg<sup>n</sup>: Major Genl.

Surg: Genl. with Govt of Madras

86

Pub (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From

SURG. MAJOR-GEN. C. SIBTHORPE,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

No. 67

ENCLOSURES.

Dated 30<sup>th</sup> January 1895.

Recd. 1/2

Subject.

Regarding the appointment of additional Commissioned medical officers to duty.

Pub. no 65. 8 28-1-95  
893 21-10-91  
776 217-10-94

The S. G. seems determined not to post an unconv. med. Officer to duty. It has, however, been arranged that this to do so, unless some com. med. Officer is available; and I think he should.

And Surg. Gen. Sibthorpe was sent for and consulted before his motion was disposed of.

He tried to carry out orders. See also minutes on the office letter in S. G. 65. 28/1/95. BS

5/2/95

I do not think we can possibly depart from what we have deliberately agreed upon - viz. that we will not have a second Com<sup>d</sup> medical Officer at duty unless there is one available. If there is one over, after all appointments in List I are filled - well and good - but if not - we must have an unconv. man. I do not see why this man should not

\* This however could be easily answered as G. M. S. and a particularly convenient. In the off. season it is busy well equipped; in the season it is full and there is a large number of persons who come there for their health.

be able to relieve the regular Com<sup>d</sup> Officer of some of his work - such as the Lawrence Asylum. The S. G. might report on this. Last year showed I think it a second Com<sup>d</sup> officer was

Public Current 327

The instruction that the appt. of 2<sup>nd</sup> M. O. at duty was to be relegated to List II was recd. direct from the Council Chamber.

attention is invited to vol. B in G. O. 28<sup>th</sup> Jan. 65, and to the d/o correspondence put up. His suggestion in para: 7 of the Current can hardly be carried out without India's approval. The reply would naturally be that if any dist I appointments cannot station can get on at night for six months without a Com<sup>d</sup> officer why should it not be relegated to List II.

BS  
3/2/95  
4/2/95

could do all the work. 4/2/95

Second Medical  
Officer, being

6 / Public Department.

C. No. 327/95.

Order. 11 February 1895. No. 106.

His The Surgeon General  
attention will be directed  
to paragraph 1 of G. O.  
of 28<sup>th</sup> January 1895,  
No. 65. The appointment  
of Second Medical Officer  
at Potaicamund has been  
definitely relegated to List  
II and it cannot therefore  
be held by a Commissioned  
Medical Officer unless  
all the List I appoint-  
ments are actually filled  
by Commissioned officers  
and one such still  
remains available for  
duty. The orders of Govt.  
in this matter must be  
carried out.

2. When replying to  
the latter portion of par  
1 of G. O. of 28<sup>th</sup> January  
1895, No. 65, the Sur-  
geon General should refer

Lo 776  
17-11-94  
Laggo

A

7  
in what way the second  
Medical Officer, if an  
unconvinced man, can  
relieve the Medical Officer  
of some of his permanent  
work - such as the  
Lawrence Asylum, Peter  
Liner &c.

from orders.

35B.  
8/2/95.

88

11/7/95

from orders.

To

The Surgeon General  
IN M.S.

Copied 11/12  
11/2

RECEIVED

11 FEB 93

IN M.S.

Second M.O. at G.O.

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.