

No. $\frac{3128}{1-365}$ of 1894.

FROM

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF

Dated Allahabad, the 29th November 1894.

SIR,

REVENUE DEPT.

WITH reference to the enclosed copy of a letter (No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated 16th October 1894) from the Government of India in the Revenue and Agricultural Department, I am directed to state, for the information of
that the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 thereof for discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies should be applied to the following districts of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh which border on Nepal :—

Gorakhpur.
Basti.
Gonda.
Bahraich.

Kheri.
Pilibhit.
Bareilly.
Almora.

Naini Tal.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. J. D. LATOUCHE,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.

5
No. $\frac{3128}{1-365}$ OF 1894.

FROM

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF

Dated Allahabad, the 29th November 1894.

SIR,

REVENUE DEPT.

WITH reference to the enclosed copy of a letter (No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated 16th October 1894) from the Government of India in the Revenue and Agricultural Department, I am directed to state, for the information of
that the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 thereof for discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies should be applied to the following districts of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh which border on Nepal :—

Gorakhpur.
Basti.
Gonda.
Bahraich.

Kheri.
Pilibhit.
Bareilly.
Almora.
Naini Tal.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. J. D. LATOUCHE,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.

No. $\frac{3128}{1-365}$ OF 1894.

FROM

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF

Dated Allahabad, the 29th November 1894.

SIR,

REVENUE DEPT.

WITH reference to the enclosed copy of a letter (No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated 16th October 1894) from the Government of India in the Revenue and Agricultural Department, I am directed to state, for the information of
that the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 thereof for discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies should be applied to the following districts of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh which border on Nepal :—

Gorakhpur.
Basti.
Gonda.
Bahraich.

Kheri.
Pilibhit.
Bareilly.
Almora.

Naini Tal.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. J. D. LATOUCHE,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.

No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated Simla, the 16th October 1894.

From—DENZIL IBBETSON, Esq., *Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India,*
Dept. of Revenue and Agriculture (Emigration),

To—*Chief Secretary to Government, N.-W. Provinces and Oudh.*

SIR,—With reference to your letter No. 629, dated the 12th March 1894, to the address of the Foreign Department, on the subject of the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies, I am directed to inform you that in the opinion of the Government of India a native of Nepal is a native of India within the meaning of the Emigration Act, XXI of 1883, and that the order of the Magistrate of Gorakhpur was therefore not justified in law.

2. The Government of India have, however, ascertained that the Nepal Darbār would prefer that its subjects should not be recruited for colonial emigration, and they are accordingly desirous of discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects. This will best be done by directing the Protectors of Emigrants to license no recruiter for the district of Gorakhpur (or such specified areas as it may be necessary to include in the direction in order to attain the end in view), who does not pledge himself to abstain from recruiting natives of Nepal as emigrants for the colonies. In the opinion of the Governor-General in Council the Protector would be in a position to act upon this direction and to cancel the license of any recruiter in the case of a breach of such pledge.

3. A copy of the correspondence will be forwarded to the Governments of Madras and Bengal for communication to the Protectors of Emigrants; and I am to request that those Governments may be informed of the district or districts to which the above procedure should be applied.

No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated Simla, the 16th October 1894.

*From—DENZIL IBBETSON, Esq., Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Dept. of Revenue and Agriculture (Emigration),*

To—Chief Secretary to Government, N.-W. Provinces and Oudh.

SIR,—With reference to your letter No. 629, dated the 12th March 1894, to the address of the Foreign Department, on the subject of the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies, I am directed to inform you that in the opinion of the Government of India a native of Nepal is a native of India within the meaning of the Emigration Act, XXI of 1883, and that the order of the Magistrate of Gorakhpur was therefore not justified in law.

2. The Government of India have, however, ascertained that the Nepal Dar-bár would prefer that its subjects should not be recruited for colonial emigration, and they are accordingly desirous of discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects. This will best be done by directing the Protectors of Emigrants to license no recruiter for the district of Gorakhpur (or such specified areas as it may be necessary to include in the direction in order to attain the end in view), who does not pledge himself to abstain from recruiting natives of Nepal as emigrants for the colonies. In the opinion of the Governor-General in Council the Protector would be in a position to act upon this direction and to cancel the license of any recruiter in the case of a breach of such pledge.

3. A copy of the correspondence will be forwarded to the Governments of Madras and Bengal for communication to the Protectors of Emigrants; and I am to request that those Governments may be informed of the district or districts to which the above procedure should be applied.

No. $\frac{2771}{55}$, dated Simla, the 16th October 1894.

*From—DENZIL IBBETSON, Esq., Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Dept. of Revenue and Agriculture (Emigration),*

To—Chief Secretary to Government, N.-W. Provinces and Oudh.

SIR,—With reference to your letter No. 629, dated the 12th March 1894, to the address of the Foreign Department, on the subject of the recruitment of Nepalese subjects as emigrants for the colonies, I am directed to inform you that in the opinion of the Government of India a native of Nepal is a native of India within the meaning of the Emigration Act, XXI of 1883, and that the order of the Magistrate of Gorakhpur was therefore not justified in law.

2. The Government of India have, however, ascertained that the Nepal Darbār would prefer that its subjects should not be recruited for colonial emigration, and they are accordingly desirous of discouraging the recruitment of Nepalese subjects. This will best be done by directing the Protectors of Emigrants to license no recruiter for the district of Gorakhpur (or such specified areas as it may be necessary to include in the direction in order to attain the end in view), who does not pledge himself to abstain from recruiting natives of Nepal as emigrants for the colonies. In the opinion of the Governor-General in Council the Protector would be in a position to act upon this direction and to cancel the license of any recruiter in the case of a breach of such pledge.

3. A copy of the correspondence will be forwarded to the Governments of Madras and Bengal for communication to the Protectors of Emigrants; and I am to request that those Governments may be informed of the district or districts to which the above procedure should be applied.

So. d/17.9.94, No 2259 Judl.
- d/10.10.94, " 1068. Pub.

20/27 11
with ref. to the go
marginally noted the
Supt Govt Press is re-
quested to state why
the Canvas belts were
not obtained from the
Jail dept.

from His orders.

503
for CS
23/11/94

Supt Govt Press
RECEIVED
27 NOV 94
M.S.

OFFICE NOTE.

With reference to memo dated 27th November 1894, No 2352 Public, the Superintendent, Government Press, submits that the canvas belts there referred to were purchased prior to the receipt of G.O. dated 17th Sept 1894, No. 2259, official, but the bill was submitted for sanction of payment, on the 6th November 1894. The Superintendent further submits that the jail department cannot supply belts similar, in quality, to the ones now obtained, which is a patent make, and is used as a substitute for leather belting.

A. Mill
Superintendent.

The Chief Secretary
to Government.

From
THE SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVERNMENT PRESS,
To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Madras.

Mr.
Submitted with ref:
to the Hris query.
The C.S. has examined
the belting and is
satisfied that it sh.
not be turned out by
the Sail dept.

Dated 30th }
Received 1/12 } November 1894.
Rep'd 1/12 }

ENCLOSURE.

NO 3239
RECEIVED
PUBLIC OFFICE
1 DEC 94
UNDER SECRETARY

35B.
5/12
** I should not be taking*
by special machinery and
then I doubt if it would
be good for
7/12

The printed paper said
Canvas belts. If the
word used had been belting
one would have understood
at once. The Supt. Genl.
Pres. had become accurate
I thought of course the
belts were hem's' belts

10/12/94
Order of 14 Dec 1894 N. 95
The Supt. should
have described the
article as belting
belts. Had he done
the reference would
have been unnecc:
for order

Subject.
Regarding the purchase of Canvas
belts.
Telephone.
Your 3239 of 30.11.94 -
Please send a canvas belt
over for inspection.

35B.
3/12

17/2/94
35B.
12/12
18/12
18/12

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-
A - Copy in full.
B - Not to be copied.
P - Copy abstract only.
Q - Copy heading only.

ORDINARY, MS.

Pub DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	14 Dec 1894
No.	953

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 14/12

[Despatch Abstract.]

forming the Suft foot
and, with reference to
explanation for
the same canvas
also from the Tail dept,
but he described the article
as belting and not belts
the call for explanation
would have been un-
necessary.

24-12