

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Sub DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	29 Nov 1894
No.	705

INDEXED

First copy sent to Press. 29/11

Returned for signature. 4/12

Despatched in print. 5/12/94

[Despatch Abstract.]

Designation of Civil Apothecaries and Assistant Surgeons

Come I to the Surgeon Genl. letter from the Govt. declining to sanction the proposal to change the designation of Civil Apothecaries to "Civil Assistant Surgeons" and stating that Assistant Surgeons employed as assistants to District Medical and Sanitary officers should be designated as "assistants to the Civil Surgeon".

11-8-06

11-12-09

1-10-10

26-4-11

12-8-12

22-11-12

11/5/14

22-5-15

16-9-15

29TH NOVEMBER 1894, No. 905, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. P. HEWETT, Esq., c.i.e., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Medical), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 22nd October 1894, No. 656.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 646 (Public), dated the 4th September 1894, in which the Governor in Council submits for the approval of the Government of India two proposals made by the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, viz., (1) that the designation of Civil Apothecaries in that Presidency should be changed to "Civil Assistant Surgeons," and (2) that an Assistant Surgeon when employed as Assistant to District Medical and Sanitary Officers should be designated "Assistant District Medical and Sanitary officer."

2. The class of civil apothecaries exists only in the Madras Presidency, and it appears to the Government of India that it is not expedient to apply to them the denomination already appropriated for Native Assistant Surgeons who have superior professional qualifications. This objection does not exist in the case of military officers, as the prefix "military" in their designation sufficiently marks the distinction between them and the Assistant Surgeons in civil employ. For the reasons given above the Governor-General in Council regrets that he is unable to sanction this proposal of the Madras Government.

3. In regard to the second proposal, I am to say that in accordance with the practice prevailing elsewhere Assistant Surgeons generally employed as Assistants to the District Medical and Sanitary Officers should be designated as "Assistants to the Civil Surgeon," but this term should not be applied to them when they are specifically in charge of, or attached to, civil hospitals or charitable dispensaries in any capacity.

ORDER—dated 29th November 1894, No. 905, Public.

Communicated to the Surgeon-General.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General.
„ Accountant-General.

Erd. A. Raitt.

3666 of 94

in the G.O. 957
17-12-94

ORDER, GOV. OF GOVERNMENT

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DISASTER IN ORIGINAL
(not printed)

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N. 8.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
C = Print abstract only.
D = Print heading only.
E = Not to be printed for monthly return.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 29th Nov. 1894, No. 905.

NOVEMBER.

**Designation of Civil Apothecaries
and Assistant Surgeons.**

Communicating to the Surgeon-General letter from the Government of India declining to sanction the proposal to change the designation of Civil Apothecaries to "Civil Assistant Surgeons" and stating that Assistant Surgeons employed as Assistants to District Medical and Sanitary Officers should be designated as "Assistants to the Civil Surgeon."

D. O. No. 85

Office of Surg.
with the Government of

Kungumbakum, 5 Dec. 1894.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

My dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. of the 26th November which reached me on my return from tour on the 2nd Instant I write to inform you that in this Presidency the course of training and the curriculum for the Apothecary Department are the same as for the L.M.S. Section, the only difference being that L.M.S. being a University Examination the test is more severe than in the Apothecary Examination.

inducted by the Board
at the Medical College.

Of the existing establishment
of 152 Civil Apothecaries 42 have
graduated as L.M.S. and some
possess still higher qualifications.

You will see from the *L. Bignolia*
in para 3 of my letter N^o 37186
dated the 31st July 1894, that the
grade of Civil Apothecary (as
regards Government students)
was practically done away with.

Yours Sincerely
C. Johnston

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SEC.

May the G.O. issue
or reply to d/o be
first awaited.

J.B.

26/11.

H.C.

Sent to
H.C. on 26/11

D.O.

Fort St. George.

26th November 1894.

My dear Sir,

I am directed to enquire whether India's statement in paragraph 2 of its No: 656 of the 22nd October that Native Assistant Surgeons have superior professional qualifications to Civil Apothecaries is correct. Please let me know. India's letter will be communicated to you shortly.

Yours sincerely

27 J. F. Price

To Surgeon Major General C. Lilthorpe
28/11/94
✓ ✓ ✓

No. 656.

From

J. P. Hewett, Esq., C.I.E.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Home Department.
(Medical)

Simla, 22nd October 1894.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 646 (Public) dated the 4th September 1894, in which the Governor in Council submits for the approval of the Government of India two proposals made by the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras, viz: (1) that the designation of Civil Apothecaries in that Presidency should be changed to "Civil Assistant Surgeons", and (2) that an Assistant Surgeon when employed as Assistant to District Medical and Sanitary Officers should be designated "Assistant District Medical and Sanitary Officer".

2. . . The class of Civil Apothecaries exists only in the Madras Presidency, and it appears to the Government of India that it is not expedient to apply to them the denomination already appropriated for native Assistant Surgeons who have superior professional qualifications. This objection does not exist in the case of military officers, as the prefix "military" in their designation sufficiently marks the distinction between them and the Assistant Surgeons in civil employ. For the reasons given above the Governor General in Council regrets that he is unable to sanction this proposal of the Madras Government.

3. . . In regard to the second proposal, I am to say that in accordance with the practice prevailing elsewhere Assistant Surgeons employed as Assistants to the District Medical and Sanitary Officers, should be designated as

In this sp. I wonder if I can ask the S.G. -
W.D. 739

as "Assistants to the Civil Surgeon", but this term should not be applied to them when they are specifically in charge of, or attached to, Civil Hospitals or Charitable Dispensaries in any capacity.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. P. Hewitt.

Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of India.

M. and circ

729th M 194 N 905

Order
P.S.

19/1/95

Comd. to the
Surgeon General.

28/3.
31/10

17/1/94.

Re Gen. of India
Home Dept.

A. 158

7 22 Oct 1894

to _____

Subject

Regarding change in
Designation of certain
of Indian Officers.

Surgeon General. 6/1/95
Accl. 7/1/95
[Faint handwritten text follows]

Letter to India to which this is
with the file re re-organizing
WITH ORDER

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer,

Dept.

Press
Mis.
Rout.

No.

dated

191

Rank No.
Range.

Date taken out	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
12/5/12	Pub	2389			7-11-12	AS
22-11-12	Pub	4292/12			4/4/14	
11/5/14	Pub	1569/14			28/6/14	
22/5/15	for Pub	Supd			4/8/15	
16-9-15	Pub	2393/15			2/12/15	

[illegible]