

No.

Office of The Editor,
District Manuals,
Ootacamund, Dated 13th Sept 1894.

From,

H. A. Stuart, Esquire, I. C. S.,
Editor of District Manuals,
Ootacamund.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

16-9-94
16-9-94

Sir,

communicating the
orders of the Right
Honourable the Secre-
tary of State for
India, retrenching
the extra allowance
of Rs 400 per annum
drawn by me while
acting collector of
North Arcot.

With reference to G. O. dated 19th June 1894, I have the honour to make the following representation and to ask the Government to move the Secretary of State to reconsider his decision.

2. The allowance in question was sanctioned by the Government of Madras in consideration of the fact that I was supervising the revision of the District Manuals in addition to my work as collector of Arcot, such extra allowances being permissible under the Regulations. I gather from the despatch of which a copy was furnished to me, that Mr. Fowler's order of retrenchment was based upon the opinion that I gave little attention to the Manuals while acting as collector. I do not

know

the grounds for this opinion but I assure both the Government and the Secretary of State that it is erroneous, for I gave very considerable attention to the work of the Manuals while employed on the other duty. During that period the preparation of the statistics was busily carried on under my supervision, papers were sent to me from the Office at Pataamund almost daily and a special clerk was working under my direct superintendence throughout. In addition to this I personally collected much information regarding the North Arcot district and in a word, I had several hours extra work a day in consequence of the double duty. I do not for a moment contend that I devoted as much time to the work as I should have done if I had had no other employment but neither did I draw the full pay of the two appointments.

3. I greatly dislike making representations of this personal nature but I think the Government will acquit me of any unduly mercenary activities. This special appointment was none of my seeking and my salary is Rs 500 a month less than it would have been if I had held a post according to my grade in the ordinary line. I claim this extra allowance as the fair querdon of work duly done and the

fact

fact that the Government of Madras saw justice of it induces me to hope that His Excellency in Council will support my claim and submit it to the Secretary of State with a strong recommendation in its favour.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. A. A. A.

Editor of District Manuals.

June 1894 Dep't G.O 19-6-94 No 429

H. A. Stuart, Esq, I.C.S.,
Editor of District Manuals,
Ootacamund.

Encl No 1838 R
transferred to the
Public Department.

Recd
under Secy to Govt.
15 Sep

Copy
19th Sept 94
List
19th Sept 94

Pub 80 464 on 26-6-94 mi
Pub 80 320-7-93 mi
Pub 644 on 21-6-93 Roubin
The Secretary to Government,
20 SER Revenue Department.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 13th September 1894.

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th


Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th

Recd 14th



No. 627

Encl

Submitting appeal against the
decision of the Secretary of State
for India in re - retrenchment
of the Editor's extra allowance
drawn by him
during his employment as acting
Collector of North Arcot.

P.T.O.

He

[G
26]
200-6-8-04.

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2687.

FOR ORDERS, whether any representation

Let us have some info as to Mr
Stuart's salary before, while, he has
been on this special duty, including
the time in N. A., as compared with
his contemporaries

should be made to the Secy. of
State (through the Govt. of India)
on Mr. Stuart's behalf.

24/9/94

Memo

The A.G. is requested to be so good
as to furnish a statement comparing
the salary drawn by Mr. H. A. Stuart &
those drawn by the officers immediately ab
Messrs Dance Cardus & Bell
(1) before Mr. Stuart entered on the
special duty of ~~prep~~ collected
with the Dr. Manuals
(2) for the period during which Mr.
Stuart has been employed on the
above special duty including his acting
as ^{or} Mr. N. Arcot & D. J. Bellamy
2. The A.G. is also desired to state the
amount retrenched from Mr. Stuart under
G.O. of 26.6.94 No 464. P.

Mr. H.A. Stuart's Retrenchment

FOR ORDERS.

Circulate

Gazetted and Audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office.

6th October 1894.

N^o 3044

No. ~~3043~~

From

R. Morris Esqre
offg ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

To

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to furnish herewith the
2 statements called for in your memorandum
N^o 1954 Public dated the 26th of September 1894
and to state that a sum of Rs 148-7-2 as noted
on the margin was retrenched from Mr. H.A. Stuart
under Madras Government order N^o 464 Public
dated 26th June 1894.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

R. Morris
offg Accountant General

Enclosed for perusal

* From 27 to 30 June 1893 @ Rs 400 p.m. = 53-5-4 + 4
From July 1893 @ Rs 400 = 400-0-0 + 30-6-5
" Augt 1893 @ Rs 400 = 400-0-0 + 30-6-5
" Sept 1893 @ Rs 400 = 400-0-0 + 34-10-0
From 1 to 17 Oct 1893 @ Rs 400 = 219-5-8 + 18-15-4
1472-11-0 + 118-6-8

Less Amund Rs 1591-1-8
63-10-3
Less Reserve Rs 1527-7-5
39-12-3
1487-11-2

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To


THE Chief Secy to Govt
Public Dept

No. 3044

Dated

Received

9 October 94



For 2 statements called
for in the memo no 1954 of 26-9-94
and stating that the
1487-11-2 was withdrawn
from the H. H. Stuart
under no 1954 of 26-9-94.

[Signature]

Please add up the

columns in the A/c statement &

put up a note showing what each

of these officers drew

in the period mentioned by him.

[Signature]



Public DEPARTMENT.
Pat C/o 2087 of 29/10/94

Memorandum P. 2089 of 29 October 94

~~Under the following~~

The statements forwarded
with his letter dated the
6th instant are returned to
the Accountant General as
they do not afford the
information required by Govt
in Memo No. 1954 of 26
Sept. 1894. What was
required was a statement
showing the actual emoluments
drawn by Mr. Stuart as
compared with those drawn
by Messrs. Dancy, Gardner &
Bell during the periods mentioned
and not merely the rates
of pay & allowances drawn by
these officers. The Accountant

[Signature]

General^s requested to
be as good as to furnish
revised statements supplying
the information required
~~the only~~ - The

^{with} totals shall in each case

~~be as good as to~~

Ch. 24/1079

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text]

The Accts Genl }
with statements }
29/10/94
23/10

Gazetted Audit DEPARTMENT.

2226
OF 189
FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office.
14th Nov. 1894.

No. 3452

From

J. M. Rawlinson Esq.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

To

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

THE

Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

SIR,

With reference to your memo^{re} dated the 29th October 94, I have the honor to forward the revised statement called for therein. The figures include only pay and acting allowance and not allowances such as Exchange Compensation Allowance, Tentage and Travelling Allowance -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt Servt,

[Signature]

Accts General

WJ
14-11

Public (189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secy Govt
Public Dept

No. 3452

Dated

Received

15/11/94

No.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the enclosed state
called for in memo no 2087
of 29-10-94

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 NOV. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Pub. Sp. 464526 5-93
114819-2-94
8803-10-93
6803-7-93
6757-7-93
6447-4-93
34715-6-93
25729-3-93

Minute

Submitted with reference to the
Honble Mr. Bliss's note on C. No. 2687
(within).

CS.
15/11/94

15/11/94

Mr. Cartwright holds a special
appt. & his emoluments cannot
be taken into account. As regards
the other men, Mr. Stuart has done
as well as a better than, there &
I don't think he has a case
which would justify his

17/11/94

The Secy of Public Dept. has
a submission on the subject.
I agree that there is no case
as yet we can not have this
decision.

I do not think there
is any case for asking
for a re-consideration.

W
21 Nov

Public Depart.

of 189

C. No. 2687, 2948 + 3326

Order of 28th Nov 1894 No. 902

In view of the statement
furnished by the Accountant Genl
comparing the emoluments drawn
by Mr. Stuart with those of his
contemporaries in the service
previous to and during his
special duty in connection with
the revision of the District
Manuals, the Govt. considers
that there are no grounds for
recommending a reconsideration
of the orders of the Secy. of State

(From Orders)

CS.
24/11/94

15/11/94

H. C. Stuart Esq. } Secy. Dist. 11/94
Rev. Dept. with reference to 20/11/94
Accl. Genl. } 28/11

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

.. .. A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ARE NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged)

With an only state

h R H. to be sent to P. H.

N.B. -

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

ORDINARY, MS.

Pub DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	28 Nov 1894
No.	902

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 28/11

[Despatch Abstract.]

recommending for reconsideration of the
orders of the Secretary
late directing retirement
the special allowance
upon by Mr. Editor of the
annals during the
our months he was
on their duty.