

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 2-2

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரக் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெருமை வரை

அரசாணை எண். 78
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 31-1-1892

1892

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாள்வோ கூடாது.

10-095
ORDINARY. PRINTED

Public DEPARTMENT
G.O. DATED 31-1-94
No. 78.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 1-2-94

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

20/3/14
20-5-14 BTN
21-6-15

[Despatch Abstract.]

Vaccination certificates

Call for particulars
of the individuals by
whom — have been
to be produced. &
for remarks on the
proposal that no
fee ~~no fee~~ be charged
for the grant of
such certificates in
certain cases & that
a fee of one rupee
be charged for each
certificate in certain
other cases & direct
that the vaccination
be treated, in the matter
of fees, in exactly the
same way as other
certificates.

accepted.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

RECEIVED ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATE
18 . No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

101
How to be sent? - a

STATEMENT WITH DFTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 70
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st January 1894, No. 78.

JANUARY.

Vaccination Certificates.

Calling for particulars of the individuals by whom — have now to be produced and for remarks on the proposal that no fee be charged for the grant of such certificates in certain cases and that a fee of one rupee be charged for each certificate in certain other cases, and directing that Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination be treated in the matter of fees in exactly the same way as other officers whose certificates are accepted.



GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Surgeon-Major W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H., Acting Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Camp, Tanjore, 29th November 1893, No. 1739-V.

I have the honour to state that no ruling exists on the subject of Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination being entitled or otherwise to take a fee for the giving of vaccination certificates to persons who, being neither pupils of local fund nor grant schools, desire, for examination or other purposes, to possess such certificates. Having been asked for a decision on this matter, I should be obliged by Government affording this office a ruling on the subject. The rules attached as an appendix to the “ Rules regulating the personal conduct of public servants ” do not seem to allude to cases of this description, and I am of opinion that Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination would be entitled to make a charge, so long as no part of their pay is met by Government, as in the case of medical subordinates with regard to private practice generally (*vide* Rule 11, Appendix A, to the “ Rules regulating the personal conduct of public servants ”).

No. 8.

Transferred to the Public Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
11th January 1894.

(Signed) A. T. PRINGLE,
Assistant Secretary.

ORDER—dated 31st January 1894, No. 78, Public.

In his letter, No. 1739, of the 29th November 1893, the Sanitary Commissioner enquires whether Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination are entitled to charge a fee for granting vaccination certificates to persons who, being neither pupils of local fund nor grant schools, desire, for examination or other purposes, to possess such certificates.

2. In connection with this matter, the attention of Government has been drawn to the rules for the guidance of medical officers in regard to the grant of certificates.

G.O., dated 3rd May 1892, No. 299.

Rule 1 specifies the individuals by whom *medical* certificates are required ; while Rule 11 names the officers who may grant *vaccination* certificates, but contains no directions as to the persons from whom they are required.

In this respect Rule 11 is defective, and should therefore be revised.

3. In letter to the Government of India, No. 1694, of the 18th July 1885, it was stated that vaccination certificates were required from—

- (a) all candidates for employment in the service of Government ;
- (b) all claimants to hereditary village offices ;
- (c) all candidates for the Middle School examination ;
- (d) all police recruits.

✓ The list is, however, now incomplete. The several departments of the Secretariat and the Surgeon-General will be requested to furnish at an early date particulars of the individuals by whom vaccination certificates have now to be produced for inclusion in the revised rule.

4. In regard to the question of fees, the Government considers it right that none should be charged for the grant of certificates in the case of girls' schools and of certain examinations of the lower grade, say, up to the Upper Secondary, nor does it seem necessary to make a charge in the case of candidates for appointments on the "inferior" establishment of the public service; but the Government sees no reason why candidates for University examinations or for the "superior" service should not pay a reasonable fee, which might be fixed at a rupee for each certificate. The Educational department and the Surgeon-General will be requested to submit remarks on the proposal.

5. Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination will be treated in the matter of fees in exactly the same way as other officers whose certificates are accepted.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ Departments under the Chief Secretary.
„ the Surgeon-General.
„ the Sanitary Commissioner.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st January 1894, No. 78.

JANUARY.

Vaccination Certificates.

Calling for particulars of the individuals by whom — have now to be produced and for remarks on the proposal that no fee be charged for the grant of such certificates in certain cases and that a fee of one rupee be charged for each certificate in certain other cases, and directing that Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination be treated in the matter of fees in exactly the same way as other officers whose certificates are accepted.



58

appointments on the 'inferior' establishment of the public service; but the Govt sees no reason why candidates for University Examinations or for the 'superior' service should not pay a reasonable fee which might be fixed at a rupee for each certificate. The Educational Deptt. and the Surgeon-General will be requested to submit remarks on the proposal.

5. Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination will be treated in the matter of fees in exactly the same way as other officers whose certificates are accepted.

4813
25/11.

26-1-94

TO

The Revenue Deptt. 7

„ P.W. Deptt. „

„ L. & M. Deptt. 6

Departments under the Chief Secretary's dwp 9/-

„ Surgeon General. 6

„ Sanitary Commissioner. 6

dwp 1072/94

In this respect Rule 11 is defective, and should therefore be revised.

3. In letter to the Govt. of India No. 1694 of the 18th July 1885 it was stated that vaccination certificates were required from :-

- (a) all candidates for employment in the service of Govt.
- (b) all claimants to hereditary village offices.
- (c) all candidates for the Middle School Examination,
- (d) all Police recruits.

The list is however now ⁱⁿ complete. The several departments of the Secretariat and the Surgeon General will be requested to furnish at an early date particulars of the individuals by whom vaccination certificates have now to be produced, for inclusion in the revised rule.

4. In regard to the question of fees, the Govt. considers it right that none should be charged for the grant of certificates in the case of girls schools and of certain examinations of the lower grade - say up to the Upper Secondary - nor does it seem necessary to make a charge in the case of candidates for

appointments

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

4 P

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Current No. of 18 , dated from

Current No. 101 of 1894, dated 15-1-94 from *Ends of the L. & M. Dept.*ORDER:—*31 Jan 94 No 78*

In his letter No. 1739 of the 29th November 1893, the Sanitary Commissioner enquires whether Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination are entitled to charge a fee for granting vaccination certificates to persons who, being neither pupils of Local Fund nor Grant Schools, desire, for examination or other purposes, to possess such certificates.

2. In connection with this matter the attention of Govt. has been drawn to the rules for the guidance of Medical officers in regard to the grant of certificates.

Rule 1 specifies the individuals by whom medical certificates are required while Rule 11 names the officers who may grant vaccination certificates but contains no directions as to the persons from whom they are required.

In

G.O. d/ 3-5-93, No. 399.

JP
30/1/94

*Report of vaccination
certificate by deputy
inspectors of vaccination.*

FOR ORDERS.

H. M.

Public Department
101

No 8

*Transferred to the Public
Department, for the necessary
action with reference to the
remarks of the Honorable Member*

M. P. Singh
Assistant Secretary

10/1/94
Fort Saint George
11th January 1894
Recd 15/1

To
*The Public Dept. (with papers in
original & bill's
note. for the use)*

Current No. 3830 L.

From

Surgeon Major W. G. King M.B.
C.M. D.P.H.
Acting Sanitary Commissioner for
Madras.

From the Sanitary Commissioner.

No. 1739

V

Dated 29th } November 1893Received 5th } December

Enclosures nil

SUBJECT:

Offering opinion of the Sanitary
Commissioner regarding the subject
of the Deputy Inspectors of
Vaccination being entitled to
any fee for granting vaccination
certificates to pupils who appear
for examinations.

It seems to me that only 11
of the rules appended to G.O.
339 Public Health Amendment
15/4/89 All it says is that Vacci-
nation certificates required in a

FOR ORDERS.

particular case must be reported
except in a certain requisition.
What it should do is to specify
the various cases in which Vacci-
nation certificates are required in the
same way as Rule 1 specifies the
various cases in which medical
certificates are required. Then
instead of medical officers having
to hunt about among a multiplicity
of orders (some apparently not
very clear) in order to ascertain
whether vaccination certificates
had to be granted, they could have
all the cases with which they had
to deal collected and to hand.

In the letter read
above the Acting Sanitary

Commissioner wishes to know,
whether deputy inspectors of

vaccination are entitled to
take a fee for the grant of

vaccination certificates to

As to the question whether fees persons who, not being pupils
should be paid for vaccination certificates, of local fund or grant schools,
I can understand the policy of charging
done in the case of girls' schools desire, for examination or other
and of certain examinations of
the lower grades, up to (say) the
upper secondary at the most. It
may also be necessary not to
charge candidates for "inferior"

Certificates

certificates, and he states that in his opinion they are entitled to make a charge, so long as no part of their pay is met by Government, as in the case of medical Subordinates, with regard to private practice generally. The rules regulating the personal conduct of public servants, quoted by Dr. King in support of his opinion are those sanctioned in G.O 15th April 1889, No 339 Pub, communicated with G.O 28th May 1889, No 1196 L. With reference to No 12 of these rules (altered to No 11 by G.O 3rd May 1892, No 299 Pub), it was subsequently ruled by Government that no fee should be claimed by medical Subordinates, any portion of whose pay is borne by Government, for vaccination certificates.

applies in the Public Service, but I can see no reason why candidates for University Exams & for "municipal" apply in the Public Service should not pay a reasonable fee - say Rs. 1. I think the rule should be revised -

In any case Dr. Inspr. of vaccination should be treated in exactly the same way as other officers whose certificates are accepted -

RS

29/12/93

- ^{fringed} ~~fringed~~ to pupils of Government - most girls' schools and to ~~the~~ candidates for the ~~primary~~ examination - [vide G.O 16th May 1890, No 1186 L and 19th November 1890, No 2971 L], but nothing was said in the first of those orders regarding the grant of vaccination certificates by deputy inspectors of vaccination - ^{by Mr. Buxton} ~~vide note at the~~ ^{the original draft of these} ~~foot of that~~ Proceedings under G.O 11th December 1885, No 1196 L and 20th November 1890, No 1752 L. Deputy inspectors of vaccination, though paid from local funds, are ^{classified as} provincial servants sent to district boards and their pensions are payable from provincial funds; ^{their case} ~~and they~~ ^{is therefore similar} ~~should therefore be dealt~~ with in the same way as that of medical Subordinates whose

Salary

Salary is paid wholly or partly
by Government. Vaccination
certificates issued by them in
the cases attended to by Dr. King
should accordingly be granted
free of charge. It will be
sufficient to say so.

V.B.
15/12/93

187
12

H.P.

H.P.


2/12/93

Vaccination
and
of medicine

FOR ORDERS.

Government as in the case of medical subordinates with regard to private practice generally. (Vide Rule 11. Appendix A to the Rules regulating the personal conduct of public servants).

11.9.



No 8

Transferred to the Public Department, for the necessary action with reference to the remarks of the Honorable Member.

W. H. King
Assistant Secretary

1.8
10/1/94
Fort Saint George }
11th January 1894 }

To
The Public Dept. (with papers in original & 2 copies)

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. H. King

Surgeon-Major

Sanitary Commissioner for Madras.

No. 1739
V

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER,
Camp Tanjore 29th November 1893.
~~Fort St. George,~~

From

W. G. King M.B. Ch.D.P.H.
SURGEON-MAJOR ~~L. A. LAING,~~

As SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal Department.

SIR,

Enclosure.

I have the honor to state that no ruling exists on the subject of Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination being entitled or otherwise to take a fee for the giving of Vaccination certificates to persons who, being neither pupils of Local Fund nor Grant Schools, desiring for examination or other purposes, to possess such certificates. Having been asked for a decision on this matter, I should be obliged by Government affording this office a ruling on the subject. The rules attached as an appendix to the "Rules regulating the personal conduct of public servants" do not seem to allude to cases of this description, and I am of opinion that Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination would be entitled to make a charge, so long as no part of their time is taken up in the