

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	30-1-94
No.	74

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Quoting certain sub-
stitution for rules 21
& 23 of these reg. and
in the Standard
Examination exami-
nations of members
of the Indian Civil
Service in 1913
p. 43—

C.N. 210

No.

Order 30 Jan 94 No. 74

The following ~~rules~~ will be substituted for rules 21 and 23. of the ^{three} ~~revised rules~~ ^{regarding} for the standard and vernacular examinations of ^{Members} ~~assistants~~ of the Indian Civil Service published on pages 70-78 of Part I of the Gazette of the 23rd Jan 1894:-

"21. An Assistant who has passed the lower standard may be entrusted with a division of a district."

"23. An Assistant who has passed the ^{higher} standard will be granted the pay of a passed Assistant unless the Dt. Magistrate proves to the satisfaction of Govt. that he is unfit to exercise the powers of a Magistrate of the First Class."

(From Orders)

C.S.
30/1

Gazette 30/1

From

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

Rules 21 & 23 seem to need other amendments than those suggested by the President. Rule 21 says that an Asst. who has passed the lower standard will receive 2nd Class powers; but there seems no necessity for making this rule absolute. He might pass in 6 months but show himself to be unfit for Mag. powers of the 2nd Class.

Rule 23 says that an Asst. who has passed the higher standard will receive 1st Class powers; here again the same remark applies. Besides, this rule implies that an Asst. may not receive 1st Class powers till he passes the higher standard but, as a fact, they do receive 1st Class powers & so long as the exigencies of the administration require the appointment of unpassed men to act as Head Assts, ~~unless~~ it will be impossible to give effect to any rule which prohibits unpassed men from receiving 1st

I see no objection to the changes proposed in this note.

The Hon Mr. J. P. should see

J.P.

20/1/94

I don't think the changes are necessary but not the old phraseology and he not mind

J.P.
27/1

On the whole the changes are no objection to the changes

J.P.
30/1

Note for Office

Get printed copy from the

4 pages then over the minutes

43

Class powers.

~~Proposed subject~~

The proviso to Rule 23 is also obscure.

It is submitted that Rules 21 & 23 should be amended so as to run as follows:-

"21. An Assistant who has passed the lower standard may be entrusted with a Division of a District"

"23. An Assistant who has passed the higher standard will be granted the pay of a passed Assistant, unless the District Magistrate ~~expresses doubt~~ proves to the satisfaction of Govt. that he is unfit to exercise the powers of a ~~Sub-Divisional~~ Magistrate of the First Class."

Rev

14 Jan
25-1-94

His

For 74-30-1-94

C.21/1

9

Note regarding Rules for the Exam. of Junior Civilians.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

BOARD OF EXAMINERS,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,

Fort St. George.

E No. 945.

Dated 24

Despd. 24

Reed. -

January 1894.

No.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Requesting that certain amendments may be made in the Revised Rules for the examination of Assistants before the next issue of the Fort St. George Gazette.

Sub. No 43719-1-94 SC
27576-4-93-5

Mr
order

The following errata will be published in the gazette:-

In para: 21 of the revised rules regarding the Standard and Vernacular examinations of members of the Indian Civil Service published on pages 70-71 of Part I of the Gazette of the 23rd Jan'y. 1894, for "Subordinate Magistrate of the first class" read "Magistrate of the Second Class" and in para: 23 of the same rules for "full power of a Magistrate" read "power of a Magistrate of the first class"

B.
24/1

24/1

This Ind. Dep't

gazette

588-000
SC 43
19-1-94

No. 945.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS,
Madras, 24th January 1894.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

BOARD OF EXAMINERS,

MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that the following amendment may be made in the Revised Rules for examination of Assistants before the next issue of the Fort St. George Gazette.

2. In para 21 for "Subordinate Magistrate of the first class" substitute "Magistrate of the second class." In para 23, for "full powers of a Magistrate" substitute "powers of a Magistrate of the first class."

3. I regret that the change of phraseology

jurisprudence in the latest code of
Criminal Procedure was overlooked
in this office before the revised rules
were submitted for the approval
of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Thurrock

President, Board of Examiners.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF

Did Hon. Mr.

mean to write "I see no objection"

to "I" Perhaps Ch. might be
asked to take this note forward!

C.E.
29/1

would it not be better to
resubmit to Hon. Mr.
Miss & ask him if he
did not intend to write
"objection"?

h.
29/1