

20-5-14 ✓

11
100-25-5-04

ORDINARY, MS.

Sub DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 3rd Sept 1914
No. 640

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the acctl
that Sup Insp Genl
de Fabeck proceeded to
act in April last
with the sanction of
Govl

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

(Sub ch. 2/2/10)
dodged?)

from the R. reports department of the
Indigines. The papers are
of the same nature as the
last one. 12/17

Glenn's Hotel, London
Aug. 14/14

My dear Sir

I have received an
obligation Memo from
the Accountant General,
for the Travelling charge
I sent in on 9/11/14
the Mails in April last.
On 6/14 - for want of
an Order of Government
permitting me to proceed
to duty. The charges

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full.
- B — Not to be copied.
- P — Copy abstract only.
- Q — Copy heading only.

is the same as that of
last year which was
mentioned.

Will you let me have
a Government order to
cover this claim.

There were no extra charges
made by me for my
horse & team & I pay
their travelling expenses
for myself.

You have I hope quite
got over the effects of

the bad accident you
had and are well.

I hope you are well.

W. H. F. Hubert



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper:—

Current

4

DEPARTMENT.

Pubg. 3990, 21-4-94 Rankin
D.O. despatch 134030-3-94
275015-7-93
Pubg. 1570, 24-2-93

Hon: Mr: Bliss C. I. 2.

From

Surgeon Maj Genl Dr Sabek

No.

Dated 19th }
Received 20th } August 24th
Given for Recd. & Recd. 27th }
Enclosures

For orders with reference to the Hon: Member's note of the 29th March in D/O No. 134. It was open to the Surgeon General to proceed on inspection duty and no "additional" expenditure was apparently incurred

SUBJECT.

Requesting that a f.o may be issued covering his claim to the travelling ^{allowance} of Rs 63/14 on account of his journey to the hills in April last.

J.S.B.
28/8

29/8/94

Yes - He had the right to go on inspection duty of common duty, as to other places, but not to halt there - So I don't quite see why the A.C. has objected

30/8/94

quote nothing.

Order 3rd September 1894. No. 640.
The Acct Genl: will be informed that Surgeon Maj Genl. de Sabek proceeded to ... in April last with