

ORDINARY, MS.

Pub. DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 16th June 1894
No. 439

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 19/6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording letter from the
Govt of India forward
copy of a despatch
from the Secy of State
regarding the classification
to conservation of the
historical monuments of
India.

Current No.

Public DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt of India
Rev. & Agri. Dept
Simla

No. $\frac{26}{38}$

Dated 1/6

Received 12/6 } 1894

Enclosures one and 15.5. copy of the current
and Encl No. 1.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding correspondence
from the Secretary of State
regarding the classification
and conservation of the
Historical Monuments of
India.

Hul.

Order

16 June 1894. No. 43

Recorded.

13/5/94.

May copies be sent to
Dr. Hultsch & Mr. Rea
for information?

There seems no
objection

J. B.
12/6.

To the Supg. Arch. Survey
for in-formation
- Govt Epigraphist

X-20/6 19/6

3/1/95
for and
16/12

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.--

A - Copy in full.
B - Not to be copied.
P - Copy abstract only.
Q - Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.


FROM

E. D. MACLAGAN, ESQ., I.C.S.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Dept. of Rev. & Agri.
Archæology.



Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council ----- the accompanying copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State No. 52 (Revenue), dated the 26th April 1894, and of the enclosed correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

2. In the opinion of the Government of India no change can be made in the direction indicated by the Society.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Tomášek

Under-Secretary to the Government of India.

18. $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^3}{dt^3}$

1894.

File No. 38.

Serial No. 2.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

ARCHÆOLOGY.

To

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIONS.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$

Dated Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SUBJECT.

Forwards correspondence from the Secretary of State regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

ancient monuments of India. The Council while fully aware of the difficulties of carrying out such work in a country like India, desire to express, on behalf of the Architectural profession, their sense of gratitude and obligation for the valuable and efficient work done, and their appreciation of its unrivalled importance from an artistic, antiquarian, and historic point of view.

While sensible, however, of the value of the work already accomplished, and the labour and cost necessarily entailed, they, nevertheless, beg respectfully to draw attention to the following defects:—

- I. That the classified lists of ancient monuments of Bengal and Madras, and the Punjab, need revision, and that systematic and exhaustive lists have yet to be made of the monuments of Mysore, Hyderabad, and Rajputana, and of the Central Provinces and Berar.
- II. That while there are certain Archæological officers in various parts of India, they would appear to be hardly numerous enough to exercise an efficient supervision over works necessary for the preservation or reparation of outlying monuments, the importance of which from an historic and antiquarian stand point, renders such supervision imperative, the natives themselves either doing more than is necessary or inventing too much. The Council of the Royal Institute beg permission, therefore, to offer the following suggestions:—
 - A. It would be very advantageous if no restoration works at all were permitted to be undertaken or estimates sanctioned, without the approval of the Archæological Survey officers having been previously reported.
 - B. That a greater number of skilled independent archæologists might advantageously be employed to advise how far restoration should go, and to superintend the same, the work being carried out by natives, whose guilds have usually very correct traditional knowledge. Such European supervision is advisable to prevent the limits of proper conservation being exceeded.
 - C. That if the Government could see their way to making further yearly grants for the purposes of completing the classification of the monuments of the whole of India, and of undertaking such repairs and restorations as are absolutely necessary in many instances, to prevent the disappearance and damage by neglect of important relics, they would earn the gratitude of all lovers of art, archæology, and history.

The immense importance of this subject, and the unequalled interest of the Architecture and history of this portion of the British Empire is the sole excuse the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects feel it necessary to put forward for troubling your Lordship with this memorial. Trusting that it may be found worthy of some consideration.

No. R. & S. 267, dated 25th April 1894.

From—The Under-Secretary of State for India, Indian Office,

To—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th ultimo, enclosing a memorial from the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and preservation of the historical monuments of India.

In reply, I am to state, for the information of your Council, that the subject of their memorial has for nearly fifty years engaged the earnest attention of the Government of India. The archaeological survey of Upper India by the late General Sir Alexander Cunningham, K.C.I.E. was sanctioned by them in 1861-62; and in 1870 they organized a department for the archæological survey

of the whole of British India, under the direction of General Cunningham, who remained at its head until his retirement in 1885, when it was reorganized on a plan submitted by General Cunningham himself to the Government of India.

Again in 1880 they sanctioned a special classificatory survey, to better provide for the protection, first, of the monuments of Lahore, Delhi, and Agra, and afterwards of the whole of British India, appointing Captain (now Colonel) Henry Cole, R.E., to this duty, under the title of Curator of the Ancient Monuments of India; and when his survey was completed, in 1883, the work of the conservation of these buildings was handed over to their natural guardians, the local authorities of the Provinces and districts in which they are to be found.

These arrangements are every year proving more and more satisfactory. The sum spent on the archæological survey of India now amounts to about Rs. 6,000 a year; and an equal sum is spent on conservation, exclusive of the expenditure on the annual repair of historical buildings used for Government officers and other public purposes. In these circumstances the Secretary of State cannot undertake to suggest to the Government of India any modification on the existing arrangements. I am however to add that Mr. Fowler warmly appreciates the interest taken by your Council in the Historical Monuments of India, as evinced by their present memorial, a copy of which he will forward to the Government of India.

INDIA OFFICE,
London, 26th April 1894.

FILE NO. 38.
Serial No. 1.

Revenue.
No. 52.

To His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council.

MY LORD,— I forward herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects, regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India. It may prove useful in directing the attention of the Archæological Department, and Local Governments to any defects in their present arrangements for the survey and guardianship of their buildings, which could be remedied without involving an additional charge against the revenues of India.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble Servant,

H. H. FOWLER.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W., 19th February 1894.

From—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Under-Secretary of State for India, India Office.

I have the honour to inclose a memorial to the Secretary of State for India in Council, on the subject of the historical monuments of the Indian Empire, from the President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects. It is signed, in accordance with the bye-laws of the Institute, by the President (Mr. J. Macvicar Anderson), one of the Vice Presidents (Mr. Aston Webb), a Member of Council (Mr. Gunning), and the Honorary Secretary, and sealed with the Corporate seal.

I shall esteem it a favour if you will present this memorial in due course.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W.

From—The President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Right Honourable the Earl of KIMBERLEY, K.G., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India in Council.

The Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects have the honour to invite your Lordship's consideration of the following memorandum.

The attention of the Royal Institute has for some time been directed to the very important work initiated and carried on by the Government during the last 20 years in the matter of the systematic classification and preservation of the

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.

FROM

E. D. MACLAGAN, ESQ., I.C.S.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

Dept. of Rev. & Agri.
Archaeology.

Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward, for

the accompanying copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State, No. 52 (Revenue), dated the 26th April 1894, and of the enclosed correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

2. In the opinion of the Government of India no change can be made in the direction indicated by the Society.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

E. D. MACLAGAN,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India.

1894.

File No. 38.

Serial No. 2.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

ARCHÆOLOGY.

To

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIONS.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.

Dated Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SUBJECT.

Forwards correspondence from the Secretary of State regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 284 R. & A. D.—4-6-94.—430.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.

FROM

E. D. MACLAGAN, ESQ., I.C.S.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

Dept. of Rev. & Agri.
Archaeology.

Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward, for

the accompanying copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State, No. 52 (Revenue), dated the 26th April 1894, and of the enclosed correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

2. In the opinion of the Government of India no change can be made in the direction indicated by the Society.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

E. D. MACLAGAN,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India.

1894.

File No. 38.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Serial No. 2.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

ARCHÆOLOGY.

To

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIONS.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$

Dated Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SUBJECT.

Forwards correspondence from the Secretary of State regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.

FROM

E. D. MACLAGAN, ESQ., I.C.S.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

Dept. of Rev. & Agri.
Archæology.

Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward, for

the accompanying copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State, No. 52 (Revenue), dated the 26th April 1894, and of the enclosed correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

2. In the opinion of the Government of India no change can be made in the direction indicated by the Society.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

E. D. MACLAGAN,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India.

1894.

File No. 38.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Serial No. 2.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

ARCHÆOLOGY.

To

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIONS.

Circular No. $\frac{26}{38}$.

Dated Simla, the 1st June 1894.

SUBJECT.

Forwards correspondence from the Secretary of State regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India.

ancient monuments of India. The Council while fully aware of the difficulties of carrying out such work in a country like India, desire to express, on behalf of the Architectural profession, their sense of gratitude and obligation for the valuable and efficient work done, and their appreciation of its unrivalled importance from an artistic, antiquarian, and historic point of view.

While sensible, however, of the value of the work already accomplished, and the labour and cost necessarily entailed, they, nevertheless, beg respectfully to draw attention to the following defects:—

- I. That the classified lists of ancient monuments of Bengal and Madras, and the Punjab, need revision, and that systematic and exhaustive lists have yet to be made of the monuments of Mysore, Hyderabad, and Rajputana, and of the Central Provinces and Berar.
- II. That while there are certain Archæological officers in various parts of India, they would appear to be hardly numerous enough to exercise an efficient supervision over works necessary for the preservation or reparation of outlying monuments, the importance of which from an historic and antiquarian stand point, renders such supervision imperative, the natives themselves either doing more than is necessary or inventing too much. The Council of the Royal Institute beg permission, therefore, to offer the following suggestions:—
 - A. It would be very advantageous if no restoration works at all were permitted to be undertaken or estimates sanctioned, without the approval of the Archæological Survey officers having been previously reported.
 - B. That a greater number of skilled independent archæologists might advantageously be employed to advise how far restoration should go, and to superintend the same, the work being carried out by natives, whose guilds have usually very correct traditional knowledge. Such European supervision is advisable to prevent the limits of proper conservation being exceeded.
 - C. That if the Government could see their way to making further yearly grants for the purposes of completing the classification of the monuments of the whole of India, and of undertaking such repairs and restorations as are absolutely necessary in many instances, to prevent the disappearance and damage by neglect of important relics, they would earn the gratitude of all lovers of art, archæology, and history.

The immense importance of this subject, and the unequalled interest of the Architecture and history of this portion of the British Empire is the sole excuse the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects feel it necessary to put forward for troubling your Lordship with this memorial. Trusting that it may be found worthy of some consideration.

No. R. & S. 267, dated 25th April 1894.

From—The Under-Secretary of State for India, Indian Office,
To—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th ultimo, enclosing a memorial from the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and preservation of the historical monuments of India.

In reply, I am to state, for the information of your Council, that the subject of their memorial has for nearly fifty years engaged the earnest attention of the Government of India. The archæological survey of Upper India by the late General Sir Alexander Cunningham, K.C.I.E., was sanctioned by them in 1861-62; and in 1870 they organized a department for the archæological survey

of the whole of British India, under the direction of General Cunningham, who remained at its head until his retirement in 1885, when it was reorganized on a plan submitted by General Cunningham himself to the Government of India.

Again in 1880 they sanctioned a special classificatory survey, to better provide for the protection, first, of the monuments of Lahore, Delhi, and Agra, and afterwards of the whole of British India, appointing Captain (now Colonel) Henry Cole, R.E., to this duty, under the title of Curator of the Ancient Monuments of India; and when his survey was completed, in 1883, the work of the conservation of these buildings was handed over to their natural guardians, the local authorities of the Provinces and districts in which they are to be found.

These arrangements are every year proving more and more satisfactory. The sum spent on the archæological survey of India now amounts to about Rs. 6,000 a year; and an equal sum is spent on conservation, exclusive of the expenditure on the annual repair of historical buildings used for Government officers and other public purposes. In these circumstances the Secretary of State cannot undertake to suggest to the Government of India any modification on the existing arrangements. I am however to add that Mr. Fowler warmly appreciates the interest taken by your Council in the Historical Monuments of India, as evinced by their present memorial, a copy of which he will forward to the Government of India.

Revenue.
No. 52.

To His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council.

MY LORD,— I forward herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects, regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India. It may prove useful in directing the attention of the Archæological Department, and Local Governments to any defects in their present arrangements for the survey and guardianship of their buildings, which could be remedied without involving an additional charge against the revenues of India.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble Servant,

H. H. FOWLER.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W., 19th February 1894.

From—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Under-Secretary of State for India, India Office.

I have the honour to inclose a memorial to the Secretary of State for India in Council, on the subject of the historical monuments of the Indian Empire, from the President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects. It is signed, in accordance with the bye-laws of the Institute, by the President (Mr. J. Macvicar Anderson), one of the Vice Presidents (Mr. Aston Webb), a Member of Council (Mr. Gunning), and the Honorary Secretary, and sealed with the Corporate seal.

I shall esteem it a favour if you will present this memorial in due course.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W.

From—The President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Right Honourable the Earl of KIMBERLEY, K.G., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India in Council.

The Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects have the honour to invite your Lordship's consideration of the following memorandum.

The attention of the Royal Institute has for some time been directed to the very important work initiated and carried on by the Government during the last 20 years in the matter of the systematic classification and preservation of the

ancient monuments of India. The Council while fully aware of the difficulties of carrying out such work in a country like India, desire to express, on behalf of the Architectural profession, their sense of gratitude and obligation for the valuable and efficient work done, and their appreciation of its unrivalled importance from an artistic, antiquarian, and historic point of view.

While sensible, however, of the value of the work already accomplished, and the labour and cost necessarily entailed, they, nevertheless, beg respectfully to draw attention to the following defects:—

- I. That the classified lists of ancient monuments of Bengal and Madras, and the Punjab, need revision, and that systematic and exhaustive lists have yet to be made of the monuments of Mysore, Hyderabad, and Rajputana, and of the Central Provinces and Berar.
- II. That while there are certain Archæological officers in various parts of India, they would appear to be hardly numerous enough to exercise an efficient supervision over works necessary for the preservation or reparation of outlying monuments, the importance of which from an historic and antiquarian stand point, renders such supervision imperative, the natives themselves either doing more than is necessary or inventing too much. The Council of the Royal Institute beg permission, therefore, to offer the following suggestions:—
 - A. It would be very advantageous if no restoration works at all were permitted to be undertaken or estimates sanctioned, without the approval of the Archæological Survey officers having been previously reported.
 - B. That a greater number of skilled independent archæologists might advantageously be employed to advise how far restoration should go, and to superintend the same, the work being carried out by natives, whose guilds have usually very correct traditional knowledge. Such European supervision is advisable to prevent the limits of proper conservation being exceeded.
 - C. That if the Government could see their way to making further yearly grants for the purposes of completing the classification of the monuments of the whole of India, and of undertaking such repairs and restorations as are absolutely necessary in many instances, to prevent the disappearance and damage by neglect of important relics, they would earn the gratitude of all lovers of art, archæology, and history.

The immense importance of this subject, and the unequalled interest of the Architecture and history of this portion of the British Empire is the sole excuse the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects feel it necessary to put forward for troubling your Lordship with this memorial. Trusting that it may be found worthy of some consideration.

No. R. & S. 267, dated 25th April 1894.

From—The Under-Secretary of State for India, Indian Office,

To—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th ultimo, enclosing a memorial from the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and preservation of the historical monuments of India.

In reply, I am to state, for the information of your Council, that the subject of their memorial has for nearly fifty years engaged the earnest attention of the Government of India. The archæological survey of Upper India by the late General Sir Alexander Cunningham, K.C.I.E., was sanctioned by them in 1861-62; and in 1870 they organized a department for the archæological survey

of the whole of British India, under the direction of General Cunningham, who remained at its head until his retirement in 1885, when it was reorganized on a plan submitted by General Cunningham himself to the Government of India.

Again in 1880 they sanctioned a special classificatory survey, to better provide for the protection, first, of the monuments of Lahore, Delhi, and Agra, and afterwards of the whole of British India, appointing Captain (now Colonel) Henry Cole, R.E., to this duty, under the title of Curator of the Ancient Monuments of India; and when his survey was completed, in 1883, the work of the conservation of these buildings was handed over to their natural guardians, the local authorities of the Provinces and districts in which they are to be found.

These arrangements are every year proving more and more satisfactory. The sum spent on the archæological survey of India now amounts to about Rs. 6,000 a year; and an equal sum is spent on conservation, exclusive of the expenditure on the annual repair of historical buildings used for Government officers and other public purposes. In these circumstances the Secretary of State cannot undertake to suggest to the Government of India any modification on the existing arrangements. I am however to add that Mr. Fowler warmly appreciates the interest taken by your Council in the Historical Monuments of India, as evinced by their present memorial, a copy of which he will forward to the Government of India.

INDIA OFFICE,
London, 26th April 1894.

FILE NO. 38.
Serial No. 1.

Revenue.
No. 52.

To His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council.

MY LORD,— I forward herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects, regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India. It may prove useful in directing the attention of the Archæological Department, and Local Governments to any defects in their present arrangements for the survey and guardianship of their buildings, which could be remedied without involving an additional charge against the revenues of India.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble Servant,

H. H. FOWLER.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W., 19th February 1894.

From—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Under-Secretary of State for India, India Office.

I have the honour to inclose a memorial to the Secretary of State for India in Council, on the subject of the historical monuments of the Indian Empire, from the President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects. It is signed, in accordance with the bye-laws of the Institute, by the President (Mr. J. Macvicar Anderson), one of the Vice Presidents (Mr. Aston Webb), a Member of Council (Mr. Gunning), and the Honorary Secretary, and sealed with the Corporate seal.

I shall esteem it a favour if you will present this memorial in due course.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W.

From—The President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Right Honourable the Earl of KIMBERLEY, K.G., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India in Council.

The Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects have the honour to invite your Lordship's consideration of the following memorandum.

The attention of the Royal Institute has for some time been directed to the very important work initiated and carried on by the Government during the last 20 years in the matter of the systematic classification and preservation of the

ancient monuments of India. The Council while fully aware of the difficulties of carrying out such work in a country like India, desire to express, on behalf of the Architectural profession, their sense of gratitude and obligation for the valuable and efficient work done, and their appreciation of its unrivalled importance from an artistic, antiquarian, and historic point of view.

While sensible, however, of the value of the work already accomplished, and the labour and cost necessarily entailed, they, nevertheless, beg respectfully to draw attention to the following defects:—

- I. That the classified lists of ancient monuments of Bengal and Madras, and the Punjab, need revision, and that systematic and exhaustive lists have yet to be made of the monuments of Mysore, Hyderabad, and Rajputana, and of the Central Provinces and Berar.
- II. That while there are certain Archæological officers in various parts of India, they would appear to be hardly numerous enough to exercise an efficient supervision over works necessary for the preservation or reparation of outlying monuments, the importance of which from an historic and antiquarian stand point, renders such supervision imperative, the natives themselves either doing more than is necessary or inventing too much. The Council of the Royal Institute beg permission, therefore, to offer the following suggestions:—
 - A. It would be very advantageous if no restoration works at all were permitted to be undertaken or estimates sanctioned, without the approval of the Archæological Survey officers having been previously reported.
 - B. That a greater number of skilled independent archæologists might advantageously be employed to advise how far restoration should go, and to superintend the same, the work being carried out by natives, whose guilds have usually very correct traditional knowledge. Such European supervision is advisable to prevent the limits of proper conservation being exceeded.
 - C. That if the Government could see their way to making further yearly grants for the purposes of completing the classification of the monuments of the whole of India, and of undertaking such repairs and restorations as are absolutely necessary in many instances, to prevent the disappearance and damage by neglect of important relics, they would earn the gratitude of all lovers of art, archæology, and history.

The immense importance of this subject, and the unequalled interest of the Architecture and history of this portion of the British Empire is the sole excuse the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects feel it necessary to put forward for troubling your Lordship with this memorial. Trusting that it may be found worthy of some consideration.

No. R. & S. 267, dated 25th April 1894.

From—The Under-Secretary of State for India, Indian Office,

To—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th ultimo, enclosing a memorial from the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects regarding the classification and preservation of the historical monuments of India.

In reply, I am to state, for the information of your Council, that the subject of their memorial has for nearly fifty years engaged the earnest attention of the Government of India. The archæological survey of Upper India by the late General Sir Alexander Cunningham, K.C.I.E., was sanctioned by them in 1861-62; and in 1870 they organized a department for the archæological survey

of the whole of British India, under the direction of General Cunningham, who remained at its head until his retirement in 1885, when it was reorganized on a plan submitted by General Cunningham himself to the Government of India.

Again in 1880 they sanctioned a special classificatory survey, to better provide for the protection, first, of the monuments of Lahore, Delhi, and Agra, and afterwards of the whole of British India, appointing Captain (now Colonel) Henry Cole, R.E., to this duty, under the title of Curator of the Ancient Monuments of India; and when his survey was completed, in 1883, the work of the conservation of these buildings was handed over to their natural guardians, the local authorities of the Provinces and districts in which they are to be found.

* These arrangements are every year proving more and more satisfactory. The sum spent on the archæological survey of India now amounts to about Rs. 6,000 a year; and an equal sum is spent on conservation, exclusive of the expenditure on the annual repair of historical buildings used for Government officers and other public purposes. In these circumstances the Secretary of State cannot undertake to suggest to the Government of India any modification on the existing arrangements. I am however to add that Mr. Fowler warmly appreciates the interest taken by your Council in the Historical Monuments of India, as evinced by their present memorial, a copy of which he will forward to the Government of India.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencee.

Miscellaneous

No.

No. 44, dated

190

INDIA OFFICE,

FILE NO. 38.

Serial No. 1.

Revenue

No. 52.

To His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council.

MY LORD,— I forward herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of correspondence with the Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects, regarding the classification and conservation of the historical monuments of India. It may prove useful in directing the attention of the Archæological Department, and Local Governments to any defects in their present arrangements for the survey and guardianship of their buildings, which could be remedied without involving an additional charge against the revenues of India.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble Servant,

H. H. FOWLER.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W., 19th February 1894.

From—WILLIAM EMERSON, Esq., Honorary Secretary, Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Under-Secretary of State for India, India Office.

I have the honour to inclose a memorial to the Secretary of State for India in Council, on the subject of the historical monuments of the Indian Empire, from the President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects. It is signed, in accordance with the bye-laws of the Institute, by the President (Mr. J. Macvicar Anderson), one of the Vice Presidents (Mr. Aston Webb), a Member of Council (Mr. Gunning), and the Honorary Secretary, and sealed with the Corporate seal.

I shall esteem it a favour if you will present this memorial in due course.

No. 9, Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W.

From—The President and Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects,

To—The Right Honourable the Earl of KIMBERLEY, K.G., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India in Council.

The Council of the Royal Institute of British Architects have the honour to invite your Lordship's consideration of the following memorandum.

The attention of the Royal Institute has for some time been directed to the very important work initiated and carried on by the Government during the last 20 years in the matter of the systematic classification and preservation of the