

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 21-2-94
No. 122

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 2/2

[Despatch Abstract.]

Despatch to be made
by para 2 of No 16
Jan 94 to 34 in
order to the time
per of the 1st day
Hukum from 10th
every 1st day
the 1st day of the
month -

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
15 No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

Adh 2
C no 7594 5017999

ENCLOSURE

ORDER No.

STATEMENT WITH DISC.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DISC.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, KING WANT FOR DEVIATION
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.:-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Public (1894) DEPARTMENT.

From
SURG. MAJOR-GEN. W. F. DEFABECK, M.D.,

2.7-12-93 No 28
2.16-1-94 No 34
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras.

To The Honble the Chief Secy to Govt
Public Dept

CHIEF SECRETARY
-7. FEB. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. 13

ENCLOSURES.

1st } February 1894
7th }

No.

Subject.

Submits reasons as to the
necessity of allowing Dr Hakim to
continue at Tellicherry.

WITH ORDER

H.H. & H.E. the Governor
for further orders.

2.5.13 The S.G.'s explanation & the
10/2 his appeal altogether satisfactory
12/2/94

I don't see that it must
matter whether Dr Hakim
or his business relatives
leave the place, but one
or other certainly should
do so - we have no control
however over his relatives,
so perhaps it will be
best to remove him, not
withstanding the S.G.'s objection.

12/2/94

I quite agree. The S.G. must make
the necessary arrangements for Dr. Hakim's
transfer.

16 Feb
order. 21 Feb 94 No 122
The Govt. is not pre-
pared to modify para:
of G.O. dt 16.1.94, No 34.
The S.G.'s proposals will
be awaited.

1/ from order
2.5.13 DESPATCHED
Sur: Genl. 19/2/94

No. C
13
Encl.

From

SURGEON MAJOR-GENERAL W. F. DE FABECK, M.D.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

THE Hon'ble the Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department.

SIR,

With reference to Public Department memo. no. 199 dated the 2nd February, I have the honor to state that Surgeon Major Hakim is conversant with several vernaculars and has quite recently passed the prescribed colloquial test in Malayalam. It will therefore be in the interests of the Hospital and of the patients that he should be allowed to retain his present appointment. Very few of the Madras medical officers understand Malayalam and none of them are at present available to take up Surgeon Major Hakim's place should he be transferred elsewhere.

2. I have known this officer many years and when he was employed in the Central Provinces and also in Burmah as well as while officiating as District Surgeon South Arcot performed his duties very satisfactorily and was invariably popular.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 6th February 1894.

Public

(1894)

DEPARTMENT.

From

S.M. W. F. de Fabeck M.D.

~~THE~~ SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

No. C
7

ENCLOSURE.

Dated 23rd

Received

January 1894.

No.

SUBJECT.

Enquiries whether Dr. Hakim will be allowed to continue at Tellicherry if both of his business relations are removed from the place.

Pub. O. No. 34 D. 16-1-94
... 988 D. 7-12-93
H.M. and H.G. the Governor.
For orders.

S.D.B.
25/1

It is submitted that the departmental objections should be stated - if necessary, ~~departmentally~~ confidentially. Apparently Surgeon Major Hakim has two ^{Substitutes} ~~Substitutes~~ and ~~relations~~ in business at Tellicherry.

26/1/94

Ref. 2nd February 1894 No. 199.

The Surgeon General is requested to state the "departmental objections" to Surgeon Major Hakim's transfer - Confidentially, if necessary.
/from orders/

S.D.B.
31/1/94

26/1/94



popular. Dr Hakim specially applied to me for an appointment on the Western coast, the climate of which is inimical to the health of most men and as he has done good work since joining the Civil Department in this Presidency, I trust Government will see fit especially on the grounds stated in para 1 of this letter to allow him to remain where he is on the condition mentioned in my letter no $\frac{C}{7}$ dated the 23rd January 1894.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours most obedt. servant,

Wm. F. Fubek and my.

Surgeon General
With Govt of Madras

8480N 07-150
No. $\frac{C}{7}$
Encl.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 23rd January 1894.


From Surgeon Major Genl. W. D. de Sabersk. M.D.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
MADRAS,

To THE Honble the Chief Secy to Govt.
Public Department

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of L.O. No 34 Public dated the 16th January 1894, I have the honor to request the favor of being informed if Government will be pleased to allow Surgeon Major Hakim to continue at Tellicherry on the condition that both of his business relations be removed from the station, as there are some departmental objections to his transfer from Tellicherry for the present.



I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. D. de Sabersk. M.D.

Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.