

[  $\frac{G}{H}$  ]  
2,000-11-12-13.

30-7-08  
12-11-07

ORDINARY, MS

Public DEPARTMENT.  
G.O., DATED 14-2-94  
No. 100.

INDEXED

2/4/93 / 21/5/

29/5/21/93

When given for copying. 14/2

[ Despatch Abstract. ]

Furnishing the  
Govt of India with  
a report on the  
case of Champai  
Hosey with Paul Wal.  
Lathamby & copies  
of the Singh's  
letters & orders there.  
on —

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No. 234

712  
195  
14-3-94

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

A

Appendix — Copies of 402 & letter noted in the margin of the draft letter.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full.
- B — Not to be copied.
- P — Copy abstract only.
- Q — Copy heading only.

He was fully aware of what he had <sup>done</sup> ~~already~~  
<sup>and he had</sup> already endeavoured to explain his conduct.

J.S.B.

6/2

AD

8/2/94

were <sup>found</sup> ~~ascertained~~ to be <sup>untrue</sup> ~~untrue~~ and  
the Govt. directed that his promotion  
should be stopped for two years. <sup>It was my owing to a death of</sup>

4. In October 1893 the Surgeon  
General again reported the Hospital  
Assistant for disobedience and in-  
subordination in that when ordered on  
cholera duty he did not obey but  
applied for leave on the score of ill  
health whereas, as a matter of fact, he  
was perfectly well. On the order being  
repeated he refused to comply with it  
on the ground that his wife was in an  
advanced stage of pregnancy.

<sup>medical attendance at</sup>  
<sup>the time that he was</sup>  
<sup>in prison for a lengthened period</sup>

He was accordingly suspended and  
reported to Govt.

5. As the case was <sup>very</sup> ~~very~~ <sup>interesting</sup> ~~interesting~~  
<sup>very</sup> ~~very~~ <sup>interesting</sup> ~~interesting~~  
necessary to let medical officers  
understand that they could not with  
impunity refuse to obey orders to go

on cholera duty the Govt. resolved <sup>as a</sup> ~~as a~~ <sup>in consideration of this being human</sup> ~~in consideration of this being human <sup>second</sup> ~~second  
that <sup>a</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>least</sup> ~~least~~ <sup>punishment</sup> ~~punishment <sup>than</sup> ~~than <sup>dis-</sup> ~~dis-  
missal <sup>was</sup> ~~was~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~the <sup>most</sup> ~~most <sup>severe</sup> ~~severe <sup>could not be inflicted</sup> ~~could not be inflicted  
charges were framed against the Hos-  
pital Assistant as the orders and his  
explanations were all on record and  
it was only after repeated acts of  
disobedience and insubordination  
that his dismissal was determined on.~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.  
 Again G.O., dated 18 , No.  
 Current No. of 18 , dated from

Current No. 234 of 1894, dated 23<sup>rd</sup> Jan from the Govt of India

LETTER:—

*K 100*  
*16 Feb 94*

TO

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,  
 Home Department. M.S.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 57 of the 23rd January asking for a report on the case of dismissed Hospital Assistant Paul Nallathamby and also for a copy of the Surgeon General's letter on the case.

3. In reply, I am directed to forward copies of the Surgeon General's letters marginally noted and of the orders passed thereon.

3. It will be seen that the Hospital Assistant was placed under suspension in January 1891 for repeated disobedience of orders in that when transferred by competent authority he declined to hand over charge or to move as directed. The Hospital Assistant's excuses

[ G ]  
 9  
 5,000—14-7-93.

Current No. 234

Public

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt. of India  
 Home Dept.

No. 57

Dated 23<sup>rd</sup>Received 25<sup>th</sup>

Enclosures

} Jan 4 94

## SUBJECT.

Requesting to be furnished with a copy of charges framed against Paul Nallathamby, late Hospital Assistant, Kurnool, & other papers connected with his dismissal.

No. 197, dated 6 - 3 - 91.

G.O. 281, .. 9 - 4 - 91.

No. 656, .. 9 - 10 - 92.

G.O. 760, .. 4 - 11 - 92.

} copy  
 full

Pat No. 742 of 9-9-93 mis  
 do. - 611 - 8-8-93 -  
 do. - 328 - 25-4-93 -  
 do. - 760 - 4-11-92 -  
 do. - 281 - 9-4-91 -

Public Dept

1882

On for of the  
at 108 small

2  
108

of the  
of the  
of the

No. 57

234

From

H. Luson, Esq.,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Home Department.

(Medical)

Calcutta, the 23rd January 1894.

Sir,

611, d. 8th Aug. 1893.

With reference to the proceedings of the Government of Madras marginally noted withholding the petition addressed to the Government of India by one Paul Nallathamby, late a Hospital Assistant in the Kurnool District, on the subject of his dismissal from the service of Government, I am directed to request, that with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of the charges framed against the petitioner and of the replies given thereto if any may be furnished to this Department together with a copy of the report of the Surgeon General to the Government of Madras on the case.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Luson.

Under Secretary to the Government of India.