

- (1) பக்கத்தின் எண்ணிக்கை 18-18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பித் தாள்
 (3) ஆதாரக் குறியைக் கருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

 இறை

அரசாணை எண். <u>74</u> மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : <u>2-2-1893</u>	<u>103.</u>

மடிக்கலோ துண்டியடி கையாளலோ கூடாது.

Government Press,
MINT BUILDINGS,
Madras, 2nd Nov. 1892.

No. 4203

From

R. HILL, Esq.,

SUPERINTENDENT,

Government Press,

To

Mr. A. Aubert,
Mamadi,
Black Town

His

No.

dated

The following is the
copy of his suspension
order.

" Mr. A. Aubert
is suspended from duty
from the 29th Oct. 1892
for making use of a
Board's Resolution, -

Separate Revenue Dept dated 20th Oct. 1892,
No. 529. While it was in the press, in a
letter addressed to the Supt regarding the
question of attachment of his salary -
"His suspension is to continue until the
orders of Govt are known."

E. Hill

Superintendent.

N° 1,291.

- Government Press, addressed
of 14 November, 1892.

(Office Note)

With reference to Public Dept.
memorandum, N° 1,723, dated 5th
November 1892, the Superintendent,
Govt. Press, submits the Subent's
explanation in original.

(Signed) R. Hill
Superintendent.

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government.

(True Copy)

E. Hill
Superintendent.

Madras, 9th November, 1892.

A. Hill Esq.,
Superintendent,
Govt. Press, Madras.

Sir,

Your docket N^o 4275, dated 8th November 1892, directing me, as desired by Govt., to furnish a written explanation as to why I had made use of a certain Board's Resolution whilst it was in the Press in a letter addressed by me to you on the question of the attachment of my salary. In the first instance I have to draw your attention to my letter referred to, which does not speak of the attachment of my salary at all, but that of the attachment of the sick allowance. On the other hand, I beg to inform you that my appeal to Govt., wherein full particulars are given, was despatched hence on the 3rd instant. Evidently the Memo. of Govt., N^o 1723, dated 5th Novr. '92, was written and despatched to you prior to the receipt of my appeal. In the appeal I have assigned my reason why I have forwarded it on direct.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
(Signed) A. Aubert.

(True Copy)

A. Hill

Government Press,
Madras 5th Decr. 1892.
N^o 4,785.

Office Note.

The Superintendent, Govt. Press,
submits the following remarks upon
Mr. e. Aubert's letter.

2. The Statement made by Mr.
Aubert that the new Cashkeeper
"adopted a method of his own and
halved even the sick allowance of
an Examiner towards his attachment"
is untrue. I have questioned some
of the examiners whose salaries
are attached, and they have found
no difference in the method deductions
are made, nor have they sustained
a loss. If there had been an error
in deduction in any case, I have
no doubt that person would have
drawn my attention to it at once.

3. Whenever any person of
respectability desires to be shown
over the press, I always give my
consent and the Overseer takes them
round. Before leaving, they see me
and generally ask a few questions
about the establishment &c. In
the case referred to, Mr. Ryan,
a Senior Examiner, asked permission
to show a friend over the press,
and sanction was accorded at

Over is employed in the Gun
Carriage Storey. Mr. Hyman
was in sick leave for 7 weeks
in May and June last and his
friend was shown over the premises
when he came for his salary in
the beginning of June.

4. It is usual for the Store
keeper to see about the purchases
required and to obtain prices.
Early in April 1891, the Storekeeper,
S. Valoo Chetty (now Accountant),
was told to enquire the price of
paraffine hanging lamps to be hung
up in the Gazette Dept. and in the
Machine Room. The Contractor,

Balasamudra Mudali brought
a sample lamp and quoted Rs. 3/-
per lamp. I settled with him for
Rs. 2-12-0 per lamp and 17 lamps
were ordered and delivered into
Store. The Storekeeper duly received
these lamps. The Storekeeper had
nothing to do with fixing the
price; it was settled by myself
with the Contractor, the Accountant
being present as usual to note the
price settled. The Storekeeper was
granted privilege leave for one
month from the 16th of April 1891.

5. The Accountant, S. Valoo
Chetty (late Storekeeper) claims that
he was asked for, or received a
gratification from the Cashkeeper
in his endorsement in Septem-
ber 1891.

ever being asked for money by
the Accountant, or by any one
else in the press. The Cashkeeper
was employed in the Stamp Office,
and was selected by me after
enquiries from the Assistant
Superintendent of Stamps, without
any reference being made to the
Accountant. The Accountant
and the Cashkeeper are men of
respectability and long service,
and I have no reason to doubt
their statements.

6. In January 1891, Mr. C. L.
D'Costa was a foreman, and was
granted 3 months privilege leave
from the 12th of that month owing
to ill health. On the 5th February
I directed him to attend office, in
which I was enquiring into the
letter which appeared in the
"Mail" regarding the holidays, see
paras 2 & 3 of Office Note, No 562, dated
21st February 1891, (Confidential). In
consequence of his uprightness
and attention to work I appointed
him Head Examiner in April 1891,
and he performs his duties with
satisfaction.

7. The Superintendent submits
that Mr. Subert in his letter of
explanation, has made the most
serious reflections upon the character
of the Accountant, Cashkeeper,
and Head Examiner, and he

not state in para 7th of my
former Office Note that this student
first entered Government Service
in the Surgeon General's Office
as a Clerk on Rs 17 and 20, and
he joined the Government Press
in October 1882.

(Signed) R. Hill
Superintendent

(True Copy)

R. Hill
Superintendent

not state in para 7.
~~former Office Note that this~~
~~first entered Government Service~~
~~in the Surgeon General's Office; as~~
~~a Clerk on Rs. 17 and 20, and~~
~~joined the Government Press~~
~~in October 1882.~~

R. Hill
Superintendent

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government.

1 5 km
The Superintendent,
Government Press.

The Chief Secretary
to Government.



5th }
December 1892
Received 5-

C. No 3105, 34 & 2966
(returns)

Subject:
Submits remarks upon
Mr. Roberts letter of the 3rd -
November 1892.

all P to
C. No 2966, 3034, 3105, 3142

~~Handwritten text, possibly 'The Superintendent of the Government Press'~~

Order

The Superintendent of the
Govt. Press will deal with Mr.
Aubert as he considers fit.
2. Mr. Aubert will be informed that
the Chief Secretary declines to interfere
regarding the order of transportation

Suplt. Govt. Press (with enclosure to C. No 3105)
and Mr. S. G. M. 3105 (return).
Mr. Aubert. (Enc. 1 to C. No 3105).

CURRENT NO.

[9]

Public (1892) DEPARTMENT.

12 2020

From Mr. A. Aubert

117. Mainzappen Street

Black Town, Madras.

Mr. Aubert is informed that
the Chief Secretary will not
interfere with the order of
suspension in his case

Redinches
Chief Secretary

Fort St. George

16 December 1892



No. -

Dated 3rd 1st 1892

Received 5th

Enclosures /

SUBJECT.

Submitting an appeal against
an order of suspension passed
on him in the light of the Press.

NOTE FOR THE ^{Chief} UNDER SECRETARY.

In his letter in C. No. 3034
Mr. Aubert makes serious charge
against the Storekeeper (present
Act). Mr. Hill should perhaps see
the papers. May it be referred to him
for early remarks? C.S.
23/11

Yes

24/11

Reference made

In C.S.

The Supdt's reply (C. No. 3484)
is submitted C.S.
27/11

The same is referred to the
Chief Secretary for his consideration

Public Department

Endorsement 7/16 Dec 92 to 2021

The Superintendent should deal with Mr. Aubert as he considers fit, leaving him to appeal against the punishment, if any ^{which may be} awarded.

Mr. Aubert has been informed that the Chief Secretary will not interfere with the order of suspension in his case.


14 Dec
Chief Secretary

Fort St George
16 December 1892 }

To the Supdt Govt Pape

DESPATCHED
16 DEC 92
M.S.

Government Press,
MINT BUILDINGS,
Madras, ~~25th March~~ 1892

No. 4973

R. HILL, Esq.,
SUPERINTENDENT,
Government Press,

To


Mr. A. C. Schubert,
Examiner, Govt. Press,
Madras.

His No. dated

do continuation of docket
no 6203, dated 8th Novr
1892, Mr. Schubert is
informed that the
Superintendent —
dismisses him from
the press from this day
for having made an
unauthorized use of
Board's Resolution,
Separate Revenue Sept
8 20 Act 1892 no 529
whilst it was in

CURRENT NO. 5012
Madras, 21st Decr/92.

The Honorable J. S. Price C.S.
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.



Sir,

In my appeal of the 3rd November/92 against an order of suspension passed on me by the Supt. Govt. Press you were pleased to say that you decline to interfere with that order. On the same date that your decision was placed in my hands I received docket N^o 4943 of 17th Decr/92 (enclosed in original) from the Supt. dismissing me from the Press. I now beg to appeal against the order of dismissal. I have stated in my first appeal the circumstances under which I was suspended. Two of us were implicated in the matter. One has been reinstated and I have been dismissed. It is really very hard for me that this extreme measure should have been resorted to after a service of 11 years. I think you will agree with me that a suspension of nearly 2 months would have sufficed for any offence, if any. The Govt. even has been pleased to rule that persons appointed to permanent situations in any department shall not be dismissed but for fraud and dishonesty, continued and wilful negligence, offences involving moral disgrace and proved incompetency. As the charge against me seems to

CURRENT No.

[2]

Public DEPARTMENT.

From

Mr. A. Aubert
Madras.

No.

Dated 21st
Received 21st
by 12.20 } Dec/92
Enclosures 3.

SUBJECT.

Appealing against his dismissal
from the Govt Press & praying
for restoration to his former
post.

Pub. No. 5502/11-8-92 &c
1807-1-88. &c
... 13732/27-9-87 &c
... 22500/3-12-88 &c
... 14010/15-9-79 &c

Submitted for the Chief Secretary
on 24/12

Memo No 537/16/1/93

The Supdt of the Govt Press
is requested to return the
forward copies of his office
notes returned with Memo
of 16. 12. 92. No 2021.

C.P.
16. 12. 92

Return to Govt
Clerk after review
18/1/93

Cut
m.c.
11. 193.

The Supdt, Govt Press.

Madras, 10th Jan'y/93.

B. A. Galtow, Esq. M.A., B.L.
Ag. Chief Secy. to Government
Madras.

Sir,

As the Hon. W. J. F. Price, C.S.I.,
has gone on leave, I feel it incumbent
on me to respectfully beg to solicit
your attention to my appeals against (1)
an order of suspension and (2) one of
dismissal respectively passed on me
by the Superintendent of the Govt
Press which must now be lying
in the Chief Secretariat. In drawing
your kind attention to these papers, which
I hope will be placed before you for such
action as the prayer therein contained,
I trust I have not exceeded my duty.

I beg to remain

Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
B. A. Galtow

RECEIVED

12. 1. 93

IN M.S.

CURRENT NO. 56

Government Press,
Madras,
19th January 1893

No. 5401

Office Note

With reference to Memorandum
dated, 16th January 1893, No. 53 Public,
the Superintendent, Government Press,
submits copies of his office notes,
dated, 29th October 1892, No. 4141,
9th November 1892, No. 4291, and
5th December 1892, No. 4785.

stitched in their
proper places

A. Hill

Superintendent.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government.

Public
CURRENT NO. 156

From
The Superintendent,
Government Press,

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government.

1054000
1923
January 10/23
Received 19
20
Encl. 5
CHIEF SECRETARY
10 JAN 23
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Subject:

Submits copies of office notes

Public Department.

C. Nos. 3669 of /92, & 156 of /93.

FOR ORDERS

In C. No. 3669 of /92, Mr. Aubert
an Examiner in the Govt. Press (pay Rs
appeals against the order of the Supt.
dismissing him.

2. The facts of the case, as shown
by the records submitted, are as follow

On the 28th October last Mr.
Aubert addressed a letter to the Supt.
in which he made a reference to a reso
lution of the Board of Revenue regarding
attachment of salaries, which had been
received in the press on the 23rd
and proof of which had been sent out o
on the 27th.

On being questioned by the Supt.
as to the source of his knowledge of this
unpublished resolution, Mr. Aubert stated
that Examiner C.A. Munuswami had shown
him the paper, knowing he was interested
in the subject with which it dealt. He
(Mr. Aubert) had heard that several mis
takes had been made by the new Cash-keeper
in regard to attachments, and as he had
been absent for some days he wished no
mistake to be made in his case. Mr.
Aubert further explained that he had no

strong intention in quoting the resolution as it was in the office and his letter was addressed to the Supt. and not to any one outside the Press.

Examiner C.A. Muniswari explained that his reason for showing the paper to Mr. Aubert, who was sitting near him, was on account of a doubt in regard to the addresses.

Under the rules of the Press, Examiners & proof-readers are bound to refer all doubts to the Head Examiner and are prohibited from showing a paper on which they are working to any one not engaged on the same paper.

The Supt. came to the conclusion that Examiner Muniswari was not justified in having shown Mr. Aubert the paper, & suspended him for one month.

As regards Mr. Aubert the Supt. considered that he had no reason for writing about the ruling or for taking the most extraordinary & irregular course of drawing attention to the resolution whilst the latter was passing through the Press. Mr. Aubert had no right to use the contents of papers being printed, whether read by him or otherwise seen, & for

referring to the Board's resolution, he had done, in his own interests & infringement of all instructions and duties of an Examiner, the Supt. placed him under suspension.

In reporting these facts the Supt., in his office Note d/ 29-10-92 No. ^{4/41} ~~222~~, stated that no mistakes had been made as regards deductions from salaries under attachment either in Mr. Aubert's case or in that of other employees of the Press. He looked upon Mr. Aubert's letter to himself as an annoyance and considered that, by referring in it to the Board's resolution whilst the latter was still in the press Mr. Aubert had committed an offence "equally as culpable as if he had sent the letter elsewhere outside." The Supt. asked for sanction to dismiss Mr. Aubert.

In para 5 of his report Mr. Hill alluded to the occurrence of 1899 which is dealt with in the papers in the confidential cover.

3. On receipt of the report the Supt. was requested to obtain from Mr. Aubert an explanation in writing and forward the same to the Chief Secretary at an early date.

Memo. No. 1723, d/ 4-11-92.

The Store-keeper with having

received a gratification of

Rs. 500, for bringing in the present Cash-keeper.

The entire body of foremen in the Press with wringing money out of the compositors.

The Supt. with having improperly promoted the present Head Examiner.

This explanation of Mr.

Aubert was referred to the Supt. Govt.

for remarks and in his reply d/

16th December 1892, No. 4785, the latter

reported that the statement as to the

Cash-keeper having adopted a method

of his own & halving even the sick al-

lowances of Examiners towards attach-

ments against their salary was untrue.

The Supt. commented at length on the

charges brought by Mr. Aubert, & sub-

mitted that he had no valid reasons for

the serious reflections he had cast on

the characters of the Accountant

Cash-keeper & Head Examiner.

Mr. Aubert was informed by endorsement

dated 16th December 1892, No. 12020

that the Chief Secretary would not in-

terfere with the order of suspension, in

this case & it was at the same time in-

formed to Mr. Hill that he should deal

Memo, No. 2021, d/ 16-12-92.

with Mr. Aubert as he considered fit

leaving him to appeal against the

punishment, if any, which might be

imposed. In his No. 4785, d/ 17th Decem

1892, the Supt. Govt. Press informed

Aubert that he had been dismissed for

having made an authorised use of the

Board's resolution whilst it was in the

Press.

8. Mr. Aubert entered Govt. service

in the Surgeon-General's office as a

clerk on Rs. 17 & 20 (year not stated)

joined the Press as a foreman on Rs.

in October 1882, ^{he has been on his own} was suspended for four

months (November 1886 - March 1887) on

taking the benefit of the Insolvent

& for one month in 1891 (20th February

- 20th March) for absence without leave.

He was drawing Rs. 60 per mensem when

dismissed.

C.S.

24/1

May be added to the

foregoing note that the

Hill spoke to me in re-

gard to this matter and

expressed his strong belief

that the Govt. would be

able to uphold him in

the course he had adopted

in regard to Aubert.

Hill pointed out the great

trouble he has already

C 27/1
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839.

MR. ALBERT
CHURCHMAN, JR.

Dismissal is too heavy a punishment
But for the unfounded charges the man
has made, I should have severely
disciplined him by that but sufficient
having regard to him I should give
him up without dispensation from the
State

144
27, 144

Mr. Hon. Sir Henry St. John, K.C.S., J.

Cohen was high ^{there} in
 flight charges. The point
 I emphasize is his underlying
 (since the 29 Oct last) high
 award as a penalty.
 I think expects his celebration
 of having provided information
 to the press on several
 occasions but he cannot
 be removed on such a
 basis - nothing unusual
 against him in the
 history of the SOA.
 back to 1960 although then
 I can't have been there
 friends for suspecting him
 but have not a strong
 case to make on such
 grounds under the
 27/any

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Current No. 3669 of 1892, dated 21 Dec. from Mr. Aubert.

Current No. 156 of 1893, dated 19 Jan'y from Suplt., Govt Press

Order 2 Feb 98 # 74

The Government considers that dis-
-missal is too heavy a punishment
for the offence committed by Mr. Aubert.
But for the unfounded charges he has
made against ^{certain} ~~the~~ ~~English~~ and other
employés of the Press, the suspension
he has already undergone would
have been sufficient, ^{Having} ~~having~~ ^{however}
regard to ^{these} ~~the~~ ^{error of} ~~dismissal~~ will be
reduced to ^{one of} suspension for 6 months
from 29th October last.

2. Mr. Aubert will be referred to the Suppt. Govt. Press, who has received orders in his case.

(From orders)

31/1

1762

C 271.

Mr. Hubert
Govt Press

cd
(From orders)

[11]

16/2/93

case
ORDINARY, MS.

| | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| <i>Publ</i> | DEPARTMENT. |
| G.O., DATED 2-2-93 | |
| No. 74 | |

INDEXED.

Copy 2/2
When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reduced, with re-
marks, the order
of discharge of
Mr. A. Herbert Ham-
mer last Sept. to
one of 6 months
suspension from 29
Oct. last & ordering
him to report
for order in his
case—