

[$\frac{a}{n}$]

7/10/02

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 5.9.93 No 722A
No. Letter of 6.9.93 No 723

DEVELOP

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Acct. General to furnish a statement of the officers whose salaries have been retrenched owing to the G.I. ruling in Mr. Ramachandra ayyar case & inquiring of the Govt. of India with reference to its decision that the retrenchment be not enforced in Mr. Joseph's case whether that decision is to be applied in the case of other officers situated similarly as was Mr. Joseph & promising to telegraph names of such officers the amounts retrenched.

TS

2001

30/10/02

3

972

11552 13. 642 2 25

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

2460

OF 1893

2716 of 93

2880 - reply for Indr.
193

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

^{a.R.}
The Govt. of India has been pleased to
decide that the retrenchments made
from the salary of Mr. H. Joseph of
the Indian Civil Service, in consequence
of the ruling in para 3 of Home Dept.
letter of the 12th Sept. 1892, No. 403,
regarding the standing of Mr. Rama-
chandra Aiyar, shall not be carried
into effect.

Mr. Joseph's Retrenchments

From Order

2 I am to point out that there
are other officers in this Presidency
^{who were subject to}
~~from whom~~ retrenchments similar
to that made from Mr. Joseph &
in consequence of the same ruling,
and to ^{enquire} request whether the ruling
decision in your letter under reply
is to refer to ^{them} these officers as well.

A statement showing the officers
concerned and the amounts retrenched,
^{which} has been called for from the Acct.
Genl, and will ^{on receipt} be ~~then~~ communicated
to you by telegram

C.B.
5/9.

5/9/93

Public DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

18, No.

Again G.O., dated

18, No.

Current No.

of 18

, dated

from

Current No. 2332 of 1893, dated 22nd Aug from the G. of I.

5 September 1893.
Orders No. 723.

The following telegram will be despatched to

Accounts, Madras.

540 x Please send statement of officers from whose retrenchments as recommended Public order 18th June last 455 were made in consequence of ruling regarding Ramachandra Aiyar in para 3 G.O. India Home Dept letter 403 September twelve 1892 x Amounts should be shown in each case.

C.S. 5/9 24/9/93. No. 723.

Letter to the Secy to the G. of I.

Home Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22nd ultimo No. 454 Establishments, intimating that

From

The Govt of India

Home Dept

No 454.

Dated 22/8/93

Recd. 28/8/93.
7. d.c.

Subject

Intimating that the retrenchment of a certain sum made from the Salary of Mr Joseph should not be carried into effect.

4558/13-6-93
Pub G.O. No 453-54 of 13-6-93
394 of 17-5-93
Finl. 633 of 22-7-91
Pub. 226 of 17-3-93
7048 of 10-10-92

Memorandum

The G. of I. appears to have overlooked the fact that there are many other officers who were subject to retrenchments similar to that made from Mr. Joseph. and in consequence of the same ruling. Should this fact be pointed out by telegram to the G. of I. and instructions requested as to whether all similar retrenchments should be withdrawn or may these officers be left to come up themselves and the present paper be communicated to the Acct. Genl & its purport to Mr. Joseph?

C.S. 30/8. I would like to India & ask whether the ruling is to apply to all similar retrenchments made in the case of other officers as well as Mr Joseph, & also call on the Acct. Genl to furnish a statement of all the retrenchments as noted under G.O. 13 June 93, made in consequence of the ruling as to C. Ramachandra Aiyar.

31/8/93. Why not write to India - a letter to the Acct. General - & when we hear from him wire the names & amounts to India. The Acct. Genl now will have them in the dark as to number of officers affected.

608579/93, 117228, 723 Pak
2332
Pak Deptt.
No. 454

FROM

C. J. LYALL, Esq., C.S.I., C.I.E.,
Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
MADRAS.

Home Department.
Establishments.



Simla, the 22nd August 1893.

SIR,

704
-10-92
I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 454, dated the 13th June 1893, forwarding a memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy by Mr. H. G. Joseph, of the Indian Civil Service, in which he appeals against the retrenchment of Rs. 2,689-2-1 made from the salary drawn by him during certain periods in the years 1891 and 1892, in consequence of the decision contained in paragraph 3 of my letter No. 403, dated the 12th September 1892, that the standing of Mr. Ramasandra Aiyar as District and Sessions Judge shall reckon from the date of his confirmation in that appointment and not from the date of his admission to the Statutory Service.

2. In reply, I am to say that it appears to the Governor General in Council that it would be hardly fair to Mr. Joseph to require him to refund acting allowances drawn between September 1891 and August 1892 on the certificates of the Account Department declaring him entitled to them. His Excellency in Council considers Mr. Joseph's present request reasonable, and has been pleased to decide that the retrenchment in question shall not be carried into effect. I am to add that, as the case is a somewhat unusual one, a report of the proceedings will be made to Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

C. J. Lyall
Secretary to the Government of India.