

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 15-12-93
No. 645.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 18-12-93

Returned for signature: 27/8

Despatched in print. 28/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr R. R. Ramaswami
Rao

Receiving telegram
from the Govt of
India intimating that
this post is not
competent to ^{improve}
cruise the 8th grade
by collections by one
staff only — Sub
has been to this
fact —

Notified to
the Govt of India

HEAD AND ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 1

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 1

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 15th Aug. 1893, No. 645.

AUGUST.

M.R.Ry. R. Ramachandra Rao.

Recording telegram from the Government of India intimating that this Government is not competent to temporarily increase the third-grade Deputy Collectors by one and to appoint — sub. *pro tem.* to that grade.

STATEMENT WITH LITIG.

ORDER, NO. OF GOVERNMENT, A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paid)

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

Telegram from the Chief Secretary to Government, Ootacamund, to the Accountant-General, Madras, dated 30th July 1893.

427. Reference your 959, March 25th, 1893, and paragraph 3, Gazette Notification 483, December 16th, 1892. If R. Ramachandra Rao placed in charge Head Assistant's division, would there be objection to this Government temporarily increasing third-grade Deputy Collectors by one appointment and appointing him sub. *pro tem.* to added post so long as he is in charge of Head Assistantship? Wire reply.

Telegram from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, Ootacamund, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, Simla, dated 30th July 1893.

428. Proposed to place R. Ramachandra Rao, ex-Statutory transferred to Provincial Service, in charge Head Assistant's division. Article 155, Civil Service Regulations, prohibits officiating appointment to third-grade Deputy Collector and no vacancy moreover in that grade. Charge allowance inadmissible: *vide* Finance Commerce No. 241, 18th January 1887. Is this Government competent to temporarily increase third grade by one and appoint him sub. *pro tem.* to that grade so long as he holds Head Assistant's charge? Please wire reply.

Telegram from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Ootacamund, dated 31st July 1893.

Your telegram 30th July regarding Ramachandra Rao. No account objection to the proposals made therein.

Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, Simla, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, Ootacamund, dated 5th August 1893.

Number 435. Establishment. Your telegram 30th ultimo. Local Government not competent temporarily to increase third-grade Deputy Collectors by one and to appoint R. Ramachandra Rao sub. *pro tem.*

ORDER—dated 15th August 1893, No. 645, Public.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raiff.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 645, PUBLIC, DATED
15TH AUGUST 1893.

For the Chief Secretary—

His Excellency's instructions are requested in regard to the appointment of an officer to take the place of Mr. J. H. Robertson, acting Head Assistant of Gódvári, who at last Council was granted three months' privilege leave with effect from the 8th August.

The Senior Assistant unprovided with an acting Head Assistantship about that date will be Mr. M. J. Murphy (133), who will be relieved on the reversion of 111, Mr. L. E. Buckley (111), when the latter is turned out at Tuticorin by Mr. J. H. Munro (103) who has reported his arrival at Madras.

M.R.Ry. R. Ramachandra Rao was lately informed that his claims to be posted to a Head Assistant's charge * could be

* The Government order says "will."

W[ENLOCK]—27-7-93.

considered on the occurrence of the next vacancy—*vide* G.O., No. 415, Public, dated 25th May 1893 (paragraph 2), on page 6 of G.O., No. 531, Public, dated 8th July 1893.

C. M. SCHMIDT—25-7-93.

H.E. the Governor—

J. F. PRICE—26-7-93.

Mr. Ramachandra Rao was only converted to a Deputy Collector, 22nd July 1892. Mr. Murphy and that batch are six or seven months his seniors in the service. Have they a preferential claim to Mr. Ramachandra Rao to an acting Head Assistantship? And would Mr. Ramachandra Rao's appointment to an acting Head Assistant not disturb the proportion allotted to the Provincial Service? If the answer to both these questions is in the negative, I would give Mr. Ramachandra Rao the acting appointment in Mr. Robertson's place.

W[ENLOCK]—27-7-93.

For the Chief Secretary—

With reference to His Excellency the Governor's note of the 27th instant, it is submitted—

(i) As regards the claims of Indian Civilians of 1891 to an acting Head Assistantship—In the extract from Home department letter No. 1543, dated 8th August 1890, on page 1 of G.O., No. 583, dated 24th August 1892, it is stated that "the Civilians of succeeding years (*i.e.*, those after 1879—81) are entitled to have "their legitimate claims to promotion safeguarded by providing that the extent to "which promotion in the listed posts may be given to Statutory Civilians and "members of the Provincial Service combined shall not, . . . except in special "circumstances, . . . exceed the extent to which, at the time of their recruitment, "Statutory appointments might have been made under the rules of 1879."

The extent to which Statutory appointments might have been made under the rules of 1879 at the time of the recruitment of the 1891 Civilians is given in the appendix to G.O., Nos. 600, 601, Public, dated 3rd August 1893. From column 5 of that appendix, it will be seen that this number was 8.9, *i.e.*, nine.

At the present date the following Provincial Service officers and Statutory Civilians hold listed posts :—

- (1) Mr. Ramachandra Aiyar, District Judge.
- (2) Mr. Manavedan Raja, acting District Judge.
- (3) Diwan Bahadur Rajaratna Mudaliar, Secretary, Board.
- (4) Mr. Muhammad Razá Khán, acting Sub-Collector.
- (5) " Mír Shuját 'Alí, Head Assistant (*on leave*).
- (6) " T. M. Swaminatha Aiyar, acting Sub-Collector.
- (7) " P. Rajagopala Chari, acting Head Assistant Collector.

If M.R.Ry. C. Venkoba Chari is added, the number of listed posts will be eight. Mr. Varada Rao is excluded as he has not received promotion to a listed post. It is thought also that Mr. Mir Shujá't 'Alí being on leave, his appointment should not, with reference to paragraph 7 of India's letter, No. 746 of the 29th May 1893 on page 4 of G.O., No. 600, Public, dated 3rd August 1893, be included.

In any case it would seem that promotion can be given to M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao without infringing the claims of the 1891 Civilians.

(ii) As regards the proportion of posts allotted to the Provincial Service, four Head Assistantships have been allotted and, as will be seen from the list given above, only two of these are at present occupied—one permanently by an officer on leave and the other as acting. Taking Sub-Collectorates and Head Assistants together, the number of allotted posts is six (*i.e.*, two Sub-Collectors *plus* four Head Assistants). Only four of these (including Mr. Mir Shujá't 'Alí) are occupied. An acting Head Assistantship is therefore available for the Provincial Service.

2. If it is decided to place M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao in charge of a Head Assistant's division, the question arises as to the pay to which he would be entitled. A permanent Head Assistantship in the Indian Civil Service corresponds with a third-grade Deputy Collectorship (*vide* appendix A to G.O., No. 342, dated 18th May 1892). M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao is at present a fifth-grade Deputy Collector (pay Rs. 300) and the Accountant-General held before that he could not get any acting or charge allowance (*vide* his letter in G.O., R. No. 381, dated 11th April 1893). Under section 155 of the Civil Service Regulations, he cannot be appointed to *officiate* in a higher grade but there seems to be no objection to increasing the third grade of Deputy Collectors *temporarily* by one appointment and appointing M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao *sub. pro tem.* to this added post. He would, under this arrangement, draw Rs. 500 *per mensem* which is somewhat in excess of the salary of a Statutory acting Head Assistant (Rs. 486-6-5) but in view of the wording of paragraph 3 of appendix A to G.O., No. 342, dated 18th May 1892 (published as notification, No. 483, in the Gazette of the 16th December 1892), it does not appear that this Government is competent to add to the fourth grade (pay Rs. 400) of Deputy Collectors. To make the point quite clear and to save any chance of this Government's action being questioned, draft telegrams to the Accountant-General and the Home department are submitted.

C. M. SCHMIDT—28-7-93.

H.E. the Governor and Circ.—

I think the Government can add to the third-grade either *sub. pro tem.* or temporarily, but it seems desirable in order to avoid account objections to make sure.

J. F. PRICE—28-7-93.

I think these two telegrams had better go at once.

W[ENLOCK]—29-7-93.

H. E. S[TOKES]—29-7-93.

J. H. GARSTIN—30-7-93.

[Telegram to the Accountant-General, No. 427, dated 30th July 1893.]

[Telegram to the Government of India, Home department, No. 428, dated 30th July 1893.]

Telegram from the Accountant-General, dated 31st July 1893.

Telegram from the Government of India, Home department, dated 5th August 1893, No. 435, Establishment.

For the Chief Secretary—

The above are the replies to the telegrams sent to the Accountant-General and the Government of India regarding the proposal to appoint M.R.Ry. R. Ramachandra Rao to be *sub. pro tem.* Deputy Collector, third class, whilst acting as a Head Assistant.

The Accountant-General reports that there is no account objection to the proposal, but the Government of India state that the Local Government is not competent to carry it out.

2. The Government of India's reply is apparently based on the opinion expressed by it in paragraph 8 of its letter on page 3 of G.O., No. 401, dated 4th June 1890, that all Deputy Collectors alike are sub-divisional officers and it is probably considered that the charge of a Head Assistant's division should not be treated differently from that of any other division.

3. The fact however remains that M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao, if appointed to act as Head Assistant, Gódavari, will be debarred from an acting allowance by section 155 of the Civil Service Regulations and from a charge allowance by the orders contained in G.O., No. 663, Revenue, dated 21st May 1884. The Government of India has now negatived the only remaining method (so far as can be seen) under which he would draw extra pay.

4. M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao is pretty certain to memorialize if he is not granted extra pay for the charge of a Head Assistant's division and it is submitted that the point of his salary might be allowed to rest till he does so, when the Government of India can be again addressed. The case was placed in all its bearings before India and it is thought that any further representation at present would be of little use.

5. There is, it is believed, no vacancy in the Rs. 500 grade of Deputy Collectors and even if there were, it is submitted, that M.R.Ry. Ramachandra Rao's claims to it would not be superior to those of the other Deputy Collectors above him in the Rs. 400 and Rs. 300 grades. He has preferential claims to *scheduled* appointments (*vide* paragraph 7 of Home department resolution on page 2 of G.O., No. 342, dated 18th May 1892), and when it comes to the turn of the Provincial Service to have a permanent Head Assistantship transferred to it in the shape of a third-grade Deputy Collectorship, his claims will be the first for consideration. The Government of India does not, however, appear to intend that he should supersede other Deputy Collectors in the ordinary line of promotion.

* * * * *

C. M. SCHMIDT—7-8-93.

H.E. the Governor and Circ.—

J. F. PRICE—7-8-93.

It does not appear that Mr. Ramachandra Rao will gain anything by being posted to the charge of the Head Assistant Collectorate in the Gódavari district either in pay or in status. He will only be put to expense in the move. I would therefore leave him where he is, but opportunity should be taken of promoting him in the Deputy Collectors' list. He has a preferential claim over other Deputy Collectors to certain scheduled posts, but his time for this will not come yet. If he is advanced in his grade, he will not feel underpaid if he is put in to act somewhere as Head Assistant. It will be difficult to hit off the two simultaneously, but the promotion in grade should, I think, be made whenever practicable, and the other is sure to come any time afterwards.

W[ENLOCK]—7-8-93.

I don't think he ought to be promoted in grade as a Deputy Collector. That would be most unfair to others, his seniors as such. He has preferential claim to listed appointments when they are available, but he has not preferential claim to ordinary promotion in the Deputy Collectors' list.

H. E. S[TOKES]—8-8-93.

I suppose that is the case. I raised the question, I think, on the death of Mr. Underwood and the claim of R. Ramachandra Rao to succeed to the vacancy. I think, and if I remember right, it was then decided that he had no claim to the grade promotion as a Deputy Collector.

J. H. G[ARSTIN]—8-8-93.

I think he has a claim to such promotion as will give him the pay of the post which he may hold.

W[ENLOCK]—9-8-93.

For the Chief Secretary—

A draft order recording the papers is submitted.

C.M.S.—12-8-93.

Issue.

J.F.P.—14-8-93.

[G.O., No. 645, Public, dated 15th August 1893.]

Public DEPARTMENT.

Current No.

From

Dated

FOR ORDERS.

converted to as
Mr. Ramachandra Rao was only ~~confirmed~~ as D^y Collector
22nd July 1892. Mr. Murphy and that batch
are 6 or 7 months his seniors in the Service. Have
they a preferential claim to Mr. R. R. to an acting
Head Assistantship? And would Mr. R. R.'s
appointment to an acting H^d As^t not disturb
the proportion allotted to the Prov^d Service?
If the answer to both these questions is in the
negative - I would give Mr. R. R. the
acting appointment in Mr. Robertson's place.

W
27 July

His Excellency's instructions are
requested in regard to the appoint-
ment of an officer to take the place of
Mr. T. H. Robertson, Ag. Head Assistant of
Godavari, who at last Council was
granted 3 months privilege leave
with effect from the 8th August.

The senior Asst unprovided
with an Ag. Head Assistantship about
that date will be 133. Mr. M. J.
Murphy who will be relieved on the
reversion of 111 Mr. L. E. Buckley,
when the latter is turned out at
Tuticorin by 103 Mr. T. H. Munro who
has reported his arrival at Madras.

Mr. R. Ry. R. Ramachandra
Rao was lately informed that his
claims to be posted to a Head Asst's
charge ^x ~~would~~ ^{could} be considered on the
occurrence of the next vacancy. $\wedge$

* G.O. of 25th May 1893
No. 415 Public (para 2)
on page 6 of Pub. 531
8.7.93.

* The G.O. says "will"

26.7.93

Ch. [illegible] [illegible]

277 11. 5. 1950
(Chen 11. 5. 50)

C 267
R 2717

Public DEPARTMENT.

~~Current No.~~

Dated _____

FOR ORDERS.

With reference to H. E. The
Governor's note of the 27th instant, it
is submitted -

(1) as regards the claims of Indian
Civilians of 1897 to an acting Head
Assistantship. In the extract from an
Home Dept letter of 8th August 1898, No.
1543, on page 1 of L.O. of 24 August 1898,
No. 583, it is stated that "the ambitions
of succeeding years (i.e. those after 1879-80)
are entitled to have their legitimate
claims to promotion safeguarded by
providing that the extent to which
promotion in the listed posts may
be given to Statutory Civilians +
members of the ~~Gov~~ Provincial
Service combined sh^d not, except in
special circumstances ... exceed
the extent to which, at the time of
their recruitment, statutory appls.
might have been made under
the rules of 1879"

The extent to which statutory appointments might have been made under the rules of 1879 at the time of the recruitment of the 1891 Civilians is given in the table appendix to the accompanying draft order (proof in circ) on India's recent letter. From para column 6 of that Appendix it will be seen that this number was 8.0 ⁴⁵ ~~9~~ nine.

At the present date the following Post. Service officers statutory Civilian hold listed posts:

1. Mr. Ramachandra Aiyar - Mr. Judge.
2. Mr. Mandaridhan Raja. Ag. Asst.
3. Duran. Bahadur Rajaratna Mudra. Secy. Secy.
4. Mr. Abubakrismad Raja Khan. Ag. Sub. Gov.
5. Mr. Mir Shujat Ali. Head. Asst. (on leave)
6. P. M. Srinivasanatha Aiyar. Ag. S. C.
7. P. Vasudeva Rangagopala Chari. Ag. H. A.

Mr. R. R. Ry.
If Mr. C. Venketa Chari is added, the number of listed posts will be 8. Mr. Varada Rao is excluded as he has not received promotion to a listed post. It is thought also that Mr. Mir Shujat Ali being on leave his appt. should not, with reference marked in blue pencil of to the portion of para 7 of India's letter

FOR ORDERS.

DEPARTMENT

Current No.

From

Dated

FOR ORDERS.

of the 29 May 1893, No. 746. ~~must~~ (page 4 of proof) be included.

In any case ~~therefore~~ ~~post~~ it would seem that promotion can be given to Mr. R. Ry. Ramachandra Rao without infringing the claims of the 1891 Civilian.

(ii). As regards the proposition of posts allotted to the Post. Service. Four H. A. Assistantships have been allotted and, as will be seen from the list given above, only two of those are at present occupied - one permanently by an officer on leave & the other held as acting. Taking Sub. Collectorate H. A. into together the number of allotted posts is 6 (i.e. 2 S. C. + 4 H. A.). Only 4 of these (including Mr. Mir Shujat Ali) are occupied. An acting Head Assistantship is therefore

available for the Post. Service.

If it is decided to place Mr. R. Ry
Ramachandra Rao in charge of
a Head Assistant's division, the
question arises as to the pay to
which he would be entitled. A
permanent Head Assistantship
in the I. C. S. corresponds with
a 3rd Grade Dy. Collectorship (vide
Appendix A. to G.O. of 18th May 1892 No.
342). Mr. R. Ry. Ramachandra
Rao is at present a 5th grade Dy.
Collector (pay Rs. 300). ~~He~~
Accl. Gont. hold before that he
could not get any acting ^{or charge} allowance
(vide his letter in G.O. of 11th April
1893, R No 381). ~~He is therefore~~
~~appointed to the post of Dy. Collector~~
~~under § 155 of the C. S. R. Act.~~
Under § 155 of the C. S. R. Act, he
cannot be appointed to officially in
a higher grade but there seems to
be no objection to increasing the
3rd Grade of Dy. Collectors temporarily
by one appointment and adding
Mr. R. Ry.
appointing Mr. Ramachandra
Rao ^{sub. pro tem} ~~temporarily~~ to this added
post. He would under this
arrangement draw Rs 500 per
month which is somewhat in excess

FOR ORDERS.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No.

From

Dated

FOR ORDERS.

If the salary of an Acting acting
Head Asst (Rs 486-0-5) but in
view of the wording of para 3 of
Appendix A. to G.O. of 18th May 1892,
No 342 (published as Notification No.
483 in the Gazette of the 16th
Decr 1892) it does not appear that
this Govt. is competent to add to
the 4th Grade (Pay Rs 400) of Dy.
Collectors. To make the point
quite clear and to leave any chance
of this Govt's action being questioned
the following telegrams might issue.

To Accl. Gont.

(42) Reference your 959 March 25th
1893 and para 3 Gazette Notification
483 December 16th 1892. If R.
Ramachandra Rao placed in
charge Head Assistant division
would there be objection to this
Govt. temporarily increasing the
third grade Dy Collectors by one

appointment and ^{app^{ty}} ~~posting~~ him
 Sub. pro. tem
 temporarily to added post ~~so~~
 so long as he is in charge of
 Head Assistantships & Wire reply

FOR ORDERS.

To. Homs Simla

(420) Proposed to place R. Parma-
 chandra Rao ex. Statutory trans-
 -ferred to Provincial Service in
 Charge Head Assistant's Division
 Under 155 C.S.R. prohibits officially
 app^{ts} to 3^d Grade by Collector &
 no vacancy supervisor in that
 grade. Is this not competent to
 temporarily increase third
 grade by one and appoint him
 sub. pro tem to that grade so long
 as he holds Head Assistant's Charge.
 Please wire reply.

Charge allowance inadmissible
 vide Finance Committee No.
 241, 18 January 1887.

28/7 I think the Govt. can add to the
 3^d grade either sub pro tem or
 temporary but it seems desirable
 to order to avoid account objections
 to make sure

28

28/7/88

I think these two telegrams had better
 go at once.

29 July

30/7/88

228/7

Code
 Class

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
 Number.

76

Words

Day

Hour

Minute

Office

Instructions

To

(Person)

Mamas

From

(Office)

Calcutta

From

(Person)

Deo

32 Word Form.

rd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

COTACAMUND 31-7-93

DEPARTMENT.

From
The Accountant General

No.

Dated 31. vii. 93.

Received 1. viii. 93.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Reporting that there
is no account objection
to the proposals made
reg. M.R.R. Ramachandra
Rao.

Code
Class

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS

Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Words

36/7

Day

4

Hour

10

Minute

34

Official

Instructions.

To
(Person)

M. R. Rao

From
(Person)

From

Number 435. Notwithstanding your
telegram 30th ultimo local agent
not competent temporary
to increase their grade deputy
collector by one and to
appoint to main Chandram
sub pro tem.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

C. S. P. Co.—No. 303 T—3-3 93—40,00,000.

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 6-8-93

DEPARTMENT.

From
The Govt of India
Home Dept.

No. 435

Dated 4. viii. 93

Received 6. viii. 93.
Recd 7. viii. 93.
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Intimating that Local Govt
is incompetent to increase
the third grade Deputy Commr.
by one.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press No. 645, dated 15-8-1893.
Miscellaneous
Routine

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
5-7-99	For Renewal	Requisition	7/1/99	<i>[Signature]</i>		
5-12-99						
1-2-27	JMD	44627	98			

will be
darari, ~~or~~ debarred from an acting
allowance by § 155 of the C.S. R. +
on a charge allowance by the order
stained in G.O. of 21. May 1884, No.
3 Revenue. The G. of I. has now negated
is only remaining method (so far as
can be seen) under which he would
now extra pay.

M. R. Ry. Ramachandra Rao
pretty certain to memorialize if
is not granted extra pay for the
charge of a Head Assistant's division
and it is ^{submitted} thought that the point
his salary might be allowed to rest
at Rs. ~~4000~~ ⁴⁰⁰⁰, when the G. of I. can
again addressed. The case was
placed in all its bearings before India
and it is ~~the known~~ ^{thought} that
any further representation at present
would be of little use.

There is, it is believed, no vacancy
in the Rs 500 grade of Dy. (Mr. X was
there were it is submitted that it.
Ry Ramachandra Rao's claims
it would not be superior to those of
other Dy. (Mr. above him in the
Rs 400 and Rs 300 grades. He has
referential claims to scheduled
appointments (vide para 7 of Stor.
Rept. Reobtr. on page 2 of G.O. 342
18.5.92)

FOR ORDERS.

W. Under word of the claim
G. P. Ramachandra Rao to succeed
to the position, I think safe to
assume he is right it is then decided
that he has no claim to the grade
the position or D. Col.
9/7/99 JMS

Public DEPARTMENT.

Current

te Nos 2078 & 2125

From A. G. of J.

Dat

FOR ed 31st July & 4th August.

ORDERS.

It does not appear that Mr. A. Rao will gain anything by being posted to the charge of the Head Asst. Collector in the Godavari district either in pay or in status - He will only be put to expense in the move. I would therefore leave him where he is - but opportunity should be taken of promoting him in the 3rd collection list. He has a preferential claim over other 3rd collectors to certain scheduled posts - but his time for this will not come yet. If he is advanced in his grade, he will not feel undervalued if he is put in to act somewhere as Head Assistant. It will be difficult to hit off the two simultaneously - but the promotion in grade should I think be made whenever practicable, & the other is sure to come sometime afterwards.

W

7 Aug.

I don't think brought to the promotion in grade as a 3rd Asst. That would be most unfair to others, his seniors as such. Others preferential claim to better appointments when they are available, but he has not preferential claim to ordinary promotion in the 3rd Asst. list. I think he has a claim to such promotion as will give him the pay of the post which he may hold. I suppose that is the case. I raised a question about this on the 2nd of Aug.

The above are the replies to the telegrams sent to the A. G. & the G. of J. regarding the ^{proposal to} ~~appointment~~ of Mr. R. Rao. Ramachandra Rao to be sub. pro tem Dy. Col. 3rd Class whilst acting as a Head Asst. The G. reports that there is no account objection to the proposal but the G. of J. ^{states} ~~reports~~ that the Local Govt. is not competent to carry it out.

The G. of J. reply is apparently based on the opinion expressed by it in para 3 of its letter on page 3 of G.O. of June 1890, No. 401, that all Deputy ^{also} collectors are Subdivisional officers & that ^{it is} ~~therefore~~ ~~not~~ ~~competent~~ ~~for~~ ~~the~~ ~~Local~~ ~~Govt.~~ ~~to~~ ~~carry~~ ~~it~~ ~~out~~. probably

considered that the charge of a Head Asst. division should not be treated differently from that of any other division.

The fact however remains that Mr. R. Rao Ramachandra Rao, ~~appointed~~ appointed to act as Head Assistant