

[0
II]

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *10. 11. 93*
No. *622*

INDEXED.

When given for copying. / 2 / 8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing W. A.
Mishkari that
Govt. declines to pass
any order, on his
petition requesting
that he may not
be held liable while
Govt. serve —

At Test me

H. E. The Right Honorable William Deilby
 Lord Wenlock Baron Wenlock
 Kt., C. J. E., G. C. M. G.

My Lord,

The humble petition of W. A.
 Mahkeri formerly a pupil
 of Harris High School
 Royapettah Madras.

1. That your Lordship's Petitioner most respectfully begs leave to submit the following circumstances in regard to his personal case and asks the favor of your Lordship's benign and favorable consideration of the matter. On your Lordship going into the case will be able to form an opinion on its merits as disclosed by your Lordship's Petitioner and will then judge of the severity of the sentence that has befallen your Lordship's Petitioner adduces in support of his appeal are as follows.

2. While a student in the Harris High School during the Principalship of Revd. J. R. Wallenberg your Lordship's Petitioner had purchased for the Revd. gentleman a copy of Forbes Hindustani Dictionary from a certain individual for Rs 5;

an old edition of the same copy was given in exchange. The seller appeared to be a respectable man; the bargain had been struck and the sale closed. The book had been handed over to the Revd Gentleman, and after a few days the transaction bore a changed aspect. The Revd Wallenberg finding that the book was in advance of his requirements he desired to resell the book in question to Messrs Higginbotham & Co, who identified the book as theirs and claimed to be the owners. That after some conversation between the Revd Wallenberg and Messrs Higginbotham & Co, it was decided to launch the matter into the hands of the Police, accordingly the Police took charge of the case and lodged it in a magisterial court where the matters had been disposed of resulting in the incarceration of your Petitioner.

3. Your Lordship's Petitioner though scrupulous to pass an opinion on the Magistrate's finding, yet, in common justice to himself he appeals to your Lordship that his injured feelings may find redress at your Lordship's hand.

4. That your Lordship's Petitioner submits that a conviction under Sec 65 act iii of 1888 does not constitute a conviction under chapters 12 or 17 P. P. C. Your Lordship's Petitioner humbly ask that the usual restriction forbidding employment under the State might not be enforced in his individual case, and that your Petitioner be

be allowed to compete upon equal ground with other candidates for employment under the state.

6. That your Lordship's Petitioner in conclusion need not mention the facts, that his gratitude and that of his posterity will never lack in proportion to the good deeds conferred, and that the fervent prayer of your Lordship's Petitioner after your Lordship's tenure of office not cease to be wafted from these shores to your English Home though far away.

W. A. Mahler.

10th July, 1893.

Royapettah.
Madras.

No. 28. Bazzer Road
Royapettah
Madras.

Petition from *W. A. Mahkari*
Royapitah, Madras.

DATED *10 July* 1893.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

Munshi

Private Secretary

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Chittagong
12 July 1893.

Pat 90945

1861

(1893) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carthy Esq. J.C.S.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

Dated 12 July 1893.
Received

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from W. A. Leachmere
requesting that he may be
allowed to compete for em-
ployment under the State,
although he is convicted, for
certain reasons.

Handwritten:
The petitioner should add
first if any threat of a job depends
to employ him in consequence of his
conviction under section 65 of Act III of 1893.

Handwritten:
The newspaper report is put up.
I know of no such restriction as that
mentioned by the pet. The officer however
will take a man who has been convicted
3 months for imp. for fraudulent
possession of property.
Submitted that pet. be told that
Govt. declines to pay any
reason for this.

Handwritten:
The Petitioner 15 AUG 93
10 Aug 1893, No. 622

Handwritten:
Petitioner will be informed
that the Govt. declines to pay any

Handwritten:
13/7