

ORDINARY PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT
G.O., DATED 30.1.93.
No. 62.
63.

INDEX NO.

First copy sent to Press. 30.1.93

Returned for signature. 8-2-93

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Immigration fee

Directing that the
report & statements
from the ^{Director of Immigrants}
for a comparison
of receipts & disburse-
on account of emi-
gration for all pas-
sengers & ^{immigrants} ~~passengers~~
for 1993-94 be
for a ^{comparison} ~~comparison~~ to the
part of India with
remark that it
is clearly inadvisable
to reduce the
in Madras.

For Letter

For making a
report from the Pro-
tection of Immigrants
Madras together
with statements for
a comparison
(take from above
docket)

10-3-14

26/1/14

1188. No.

1188. No.

796

Cuo 3301/100 (Prd. 1/2
Emp. 1/2)

No. 8

From

W. Austin Esqre

PROTECTOR OF EMIGRANTS,
Madras,

The Honble C. A. G. G. G. G.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

As directed in G.O. 22nd March 1893, A. 202,
Public, I have the honor to submit statement
marked A, B and C, the first showing the
Receipts and Charges in the British Colonies
on account of Emigration from 1860-61, the year of commencement of emigra-
tion operations here down to 1892-93, the
2nd and the 3rd giving the estimated Receipts
and Charges during 1893-94, respectively, with
will be seen from Statement A that the total
Receipts and Charges on account of Emigration
to the British Colonies during the period referred
to therein almost balance. But a large
surplus is likely to accumulate by allowing

existing rate of Rs 3 to remain as is and I am therefore of opinion that no reduction need be effected therein.

2/. In regard to the subject of the measures, if any, deemed necessary to secure the efficiency of the office, touched upon by the Government of India towards the end of para 4 of the letter embodied in the G.O. under reference. I beg to offer the following suggestions.

The Head Clerk is the Chief Subordinate of my office; his work is at times tight and at times very heavy but his position is always one of trust and he has constantly to deal in person with Europeans of a certain position and to some extent to represent the Protector. His position is thus more than that of an ordinary Clerk and taking into consideration the responsible nature of his duties I should like to see his pay raised to Rs 100 rising to Rs 150 by 5 annual increments of Rs 10 and he should, in future, be designated "Manager". As somewhat large sums of money constantly pass through his hands, I think he should be required to deposit Government Promissory notes to the value of Rs 1,000 as security.

As for the 2nd Clerk, I think his pay should be raised to Rs 50. His daily work involves a number of duties such as registering letters, writing Emigration tickets, faircopying, referencing and despatching letters etc and in times of embarkation and debarkation he too has very heavy work.

3/. I trust that Government will regard these proposals favorably as I consider them very necessary in the interests of the office. It should be remembered that my clerks get no overtime fees although frequently called upon to work on holidays and out of office hours.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. H. Hill
Protector of Emigrants.

Budget Estimate of Receipts of the Office of the Protector of Immigrants Made up for the Official year 1893-94.

Actuals for 1891-92	Vouchered Receipts for 1892-93	Receipts & Estimate for 1892-93 based upon the Actuals of the past 6 months and the probable receipts for the remaining 6 months.	Budget Estimate for 1893-94	Remarks
5052	9000	* 7300	9000	* Includes an addition to the temporary clothing of the Chinese and Japanese.

* The receipt & estimate included as Rs 1266 in West India. April 1892 on account
 of Ashmun Trade in March 1892. The same time & estimate has been a 20% to
 for the Budget Estimate under the same provision that the same has
 been a 20% to for the same time with a gain begin next year.

Budget office -
 London 10 Jan 7 1893

Strickland
 Protector of Immigrants

Statement of Changes of the Office of the Inspector of Virginia Masons for the official year 1893-94

Number	Description	1892-93		1893-94	Remarks
		1891	1892		
1	Inspector of Virginia & from Apr 1 to 23 June 1891 1892	19412	23044	23044	
1	and from 24 June 1892 to 23 June 1891	17066	18000	18000	
1	Medical Inspector of Virginia & from Apr 1 to 23 June 1891				
1	at Hopsonville and from 24 June 1892 to 23 June 1891				
1	<u>Total</u>	960	960	960	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	360	360	360	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	120	120	120	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	96	96	96	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	84	84	84	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	135	250	250	
1	Apr 1 to 23 June 1891	5703	5974	5974	

Proctor office -
 March 10 1893

Secretary
 Secretary of Virginia

Public (1873) Department.

(EMIGRATION.)

From

THE PROTECTOR OF EMIGRANTS,

Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

No. 8

Dated

20th

Despatched

20

January 1893.

Received

Sept 2/93

ENCLOSURES. 3

No.

Subject.

Submitting the Special Report
Called for by the Govt of India
to the Government on 20 March
1892, No. 203 & also in connection
with the same, instructions for the
same forwarded 20/3

10.2038 20/3/92
102-38/12-2-92

Public Dept.

The Protector of Emigrants
does not understand the column
in Mr. Austin's statement headed
25% on Expenditure on how it is got.
I suppose it is intended to refer
to the same as the same allowances
which are there included they disclose sufficient
The statement however shows that
but for the receipts under the head
Emigration Act of 1886 there would
be a considerable deficit. With
them there is just equilibrium.
There is clearly no ground for
altering the opinion already
given. The changes are too small to
produce surplus in Bengal.
There is not an inch of land
in case of any trouble. They
are probably idle most of their
time, & even if fully employed
are sufficiently paid.

16/5/93 16/5/93
24/Jan

furnishes the special
report and statistics called
for in G.O. dt 28.3.92, No. 203.
It is submitted that
grounds to justify a reib-
eration of the opinion
expressed in para: 1 of
dt 12.2.92, No. 103, that
"it is clearly inadvisable to
reduce the emigration fee
India asked for propo-
sals as to the fee to be taken
in 1893-94; and a remini-
der has been received.
In regard to para. 2 of
Mr. Austin's letter attention
is invited to the note
with G.O. dt 12.1.91, No. 314.
That G.O. raised the Head
clerk's pay from 70% to 80%
and he is now recomd for
100-10-150. The second clerk
was at the same time
raised from 20% to 30% &
he is now recomd for 50%.

In regard to the former

10-2-22 2022

the Protector says "his work is at times light and at times very heavy". It is probably the latter only when shipments are being made. It is submitted that 80p. is enough for him - at any rate for the present - but that there is no objection to his being styled Manager & giving security of Rs. 1000.

The second clerks duties are enumerated. They are of the most ordinary description and are carried out in many offices by men on 12p. & 15p. a month. He gets 30p. & had a rise of 20p. just 2 years ago.

4/3/22
25
67
76

Ch
23/2/22

Letter No. 103, dt 12.2.92.

Public Current No. 174.

Order. 30 Jan 93. 62

The report & state-
ments will be forwarded to the Govt. of India with the remark that there is no ground for altering the opinion already given by this Govt. that it is clearly inadvisable to reduce the emigration fee in Madras.

2. With reference to paras. 2 & 3 of his letter. The attention of the Protector will be called to G.O. dt 12.1.91, No. 314, which sanctioned an increase of pay to each of ^{his} ~~the~~ clerks. No case has been made out for a further increase. There is, however, no objection to the Head Clerk being designated "Manager" in future ^{not to his} ~~and~~ being required to furnish

to the Govt. of India the security of Rs. 1000 as
and para 36 of the proposed in the Protector

Letter No. 628
to the Secy to the G. O. S.
Rev. & Agri. Dept.

the Sir,

is a In compliance with the
request conveyed in para. 4
of your letter of the 16th Mch.
last, No. 482/22, I am
directed to forward herewith
a report by the Protector of
Emigrants, Madras, accom-
panied by statements giv-
ing an accurate comparison
of receipts and charges on
account of Emigration
for all past years up to the end of Nov. last,
and estimates for the
years 1893-94.

2. I am to invite attention
to statement A, from wh.
it will be seen that both
sides of the account very
nearly balance. ~~as for the~~
~~balance of the account~~. There
would, however, have
been a considerable
deficit had it not been
for the ~~considerable~~ sur-
plus obtained under the
Indian Emigration Act.
Although the estimated
charges during 1893-94
are Rs. 3000 less than the
anticipated receipts there
is no probability that a
surplus ~~will~~ ^{will} be obtained.

accumulate. It will be de-
-served ~~from~~ from Statement B
that there has been a de-
crease in the revised
estimate for 1892-93 in
consequence of the Maur-
itius Emigration Depot
having been temporarily
closed. Such sudden cessation
of work cannot be antici-
-pated. ~~through~~ They result
from various causes and
do not lead to any decrease
in the amount of charges.

3. In the circumstances
set forth ^{considered} ~~indisputable~~
this Govt. ~~is of opinion~~
that there is clearly no
ground for altering the
opinion already expressed,
and com^d in Mr. Price's
letter of the 12th Feb. last,
No. 103, that it is inad-
-visable to reduce the
Emigration fee in Madras.

4. In conclusion I am
to remark that this Govt.
is satisfied as to the efficiency
of the establishment em-
-ployed ^{by it} in Madras in
connection with Emigra-
-tion operations.

30B
26/11

Ch
26/11

Public Dept.

Note for the Hon'ble Sir
H.E. Stokes K.C.S.D.

Emigration Fee.

Submitted to the
Hon. Sir H.E. Stokes K.C.S.D.

with ref: to the concluding
portion of para: 2 of the draft
Order, wh: is based on the Hon:
Mr. Gorton's approval.

The 25% is the "prescribed per-
centage for leave & pension" - vide
para: 4 of India's letter under reply
& statement A in G.O. dt 12.2.92,
No. 102.

30B. I don't know of any authority
to fix a rate as 25% for
leave & pension. It is too high
in the case of clerks. However
it may stand, as it is being
made to know what it means.
India can specify any rate they
wish. Long of the officers who
are being included
23 Jan. 1907
and 30B. 1907

Recd

vide art: 809, C.S.R.

With reference to his
remark "I don't know
of any authority for so
high a rate as 25 per
cent for leave & pension"
in G.O. dt 30.1.93, No. 62,
the Hon's attention is
invited to G.O. dt 21.1.76,
No. 127.

The question of reducing
the percentage was refer-
red to India in para:
30 of G.O. dt 4.8.88, No.
773; and the reply is re-
corded in G.O. dt 28.11.88,
No. 1117.

No further course
on the subject can be
based.

Secy
H.E.
19/1/07

30B.
14/2

Col
17/2/07

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From W. AUSTIN, Esq., Protector of Emigrants, Madras, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th January 1893, No. 8.

As directed in G.O., dated 28th March 1892, No. 203, Public, I have the honour to submit statements marked A, B and C, the first showing the receipts and charges in the Madras Presidency on account of emigration to British colonies from 1860-61—the year of commencement of emigration operations here—down to November 1892, the second and the third giving the estimated receipts and charges during 1893-94, respectively. It will be seen from Statement A that the total receipts and charges on account of emigration to British colonies during the period referred to therein almost balance. But no large surplus is likely to accumulate by allowing the existing rate of Rs. 3 to remain as it is, and I am therefore of opinion that no reduction need be effected therein.

2. In regard to the subject of the measures, if any, deemed necessary to secure the efficiency of the office, touched upon by the Government of India towards the end of paragraph 4 of its letter embodied in the Government Order under reference, I beg to offer the following suggestions :—

The head clerk is the chief subordinate of my office ; his work is at times light and at times very heavy, but his position is always one of trust and he has constantly to deal in person with Europeans of a certain position and to some extent to represent the Protector. His position is thus more than that of an ordinary clerk, and, taking into consideration the responsible nature of his duties, I should like to see his pay raised to Rs. 100 rising to Rs. 150 by five annual increments of Rs. 10, and he should, in future, be designated "Manager." As somewhat large sums of money constantly pass through his hands, I think he should be required to deposit Government promissory notes to the value of Rs. 1,000 as security.

As for the 2nd clerk, I think his pay should be raised to Rs. 50. His daily work involves a number of duties, such as registering letters, writing emigration tickets, fair-copying, referencing, and despatching letters, &c., and in times of embarkation and debarkation he, too, has very heavy work.

3. I trust that Government will regard these proposals favorably, as I consider them very necessary in the interests of the office. It should be remembered that my clerks get no overtime fees, although frequently called upon to work on holidays and out of office hours.

[Statement.]

C 17/2

ENCLOSURES.

A.—STATEMENT showing the receipts and charges in the Madras Presidency including 25 per cent. on establishment on account of Emigration to British Colonies.

Year.	Charges.	25 per cent. of cost of establishment on account of leave and pensionary allowances.	Total charges.	Receipts.	Surplus.	Deficit.
RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1860-61	700 0 0	No estab- lishment.	700 0 0	1,214 0 0	514 0 0	..
1861-62	3,536 15 6	120 0 0	3,656 15 6	4,100 0 0	463 0 0	..
1862-63	3,536 8 11	116 7 10	3,653 0 9	5,416 7 5	1,743 6 8	..
1863-64	3,311 5 8	87 0 0	3,398 5 8	2,386 6 0	..	1,011 15 8
1864-65	5,203 4 4	87 0 0	5,290 4 4	4,675 9 6	..	614 10 10
1865-66	5,930 11 1	237 0 0	6,167 11 1	9,070 0 5	2,902 5 4	..
1866-67	5,479 5 7	237 0 0	5,716 5 7	2,562 13 3	..	3,153 8 4
1867-68	6,313 7 5	235 2 11	6,548 10 4	205 12 0	..	6,042 14 4
1868-69	5,913 0 0	237 0 0	6,150 0 0	1,431 8 0	..	4,718 8 0
1869-70	5,651 5 7	220 1 0	5,871 6 7	1,677 0 6	..	4,194 6 1
1870-71	5,446 3 0	161 12 0	5,607 15 0	2,245 0 0	..	3,362 15 0
1871-72	5,704 4 4	162 0 0	5,866 4 4	3,380 4 0	..	2,486 0 4
1872-73	5,838 13 4	148 8 0	5,987 5 4	5,000 4 0	2 14 8	..
1873-74	5,769 3 6	162 0 0	5,931 3 6	5,320 8 0	..	610 11 6
1874-75	5,582 13 11	162 0 0	5,744 13 11	3,459 0 0	..	2,285 13 11
1875-76	5,415 15 6	162 0 0	5,577 15 6	838 8 0	..	4,739 7 5
1876-77	5,426 6 4	158 10 8	5,585 1 0	750 0 0	..	4,835 1 0
1877-78	6,529 12 0	162 0 0	6,691 12 0	9,007 12 0	2,316 0 0	..
1878-79	7,261 0 1	162 2 8	7,423 2 9	11,355 8 0	3,932 5 3	..
1879-80	6,770 10 7	214 3 10	6,984 14 6	6,986 8 0	981 9 7	..
1880-81	6,071 4 4	243 0 0	6,314 4 4	3,273 4 0	..	2,341 0 4
1881-82	5,602 3 8	242 6 1	5,844 9 9	2,878 0 9	..	2,966 9 0
1882-83	4,706 15 8	243 0 0	4,949 15 8	5,021 4 0	71 4 4	..
1883-84	4,879 9 6	255 1 3	5,134 10 9	6,197 2 10	1,062 8 1	..
1884-85	7,944 6 0	309 11 4	8,254 0 4	11,900 4 0	3,646 3 8	..
April to December—						
1885	4,509 7 11	329 14 8	4,839 6 7	1,617 0 0	..	3,222 6 7
1886	5,450 13 9	436 0 7	5,886 14 4	2,550 8 0	..	3,336 6 4
1887	5,020 15 10	438 0 0	5,458 15 10	2,755 0 0	..	2,703 15 10
1888	5,089 2 9	472 6 3	5,561 9 0	6,056 8 0	493 15 0	..
1889	4,500 9 8	397 3 4	4,897 13 0	11,739 0 0	6,841 8 0	..
1890	(a) 4,869 3 5	435 13 11	5,305 1 4	20,143 0 0	14,837 14 8	..
1891	(a) 5,919 8 10	516 0 6	6,436 9 4	13,124 13 11	6,689 4 7	..
January to Nov.—						
1892	4,726 12 0	465 13 2	5,191 9 2	13,117 8 0	5,926 14 10	..
Total	1,75,430 2 11	8,036 8 0	1,83,466 10 11	1,83,165 2 7	52,424 14 2	52,726 6 6 52,424 14 2
						301 8 4

a. Include receipts and charges on account of Inland Emigration under Act V of 1866 as follows:—

	Receipts.	Charges.
RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1889	156 0 0	88 8 6
1890	7,412 0 0	372 5 4
1891	9,404 0 0	270 2 7
1892	5,406 0 0	..
Total	22,378 0 0	731 0 5

* Includes also receipts Rs. 373 8-0, and charges Rs. 654 0-6, under Inland Emigration under Act I of 1882.

B.—BUDGET Estimate of receipts of the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Madras, for the official year 1893-94.

Actuals for 1891-92	Sanctioned estimate for 1892-93.	Revised estimate for 1892-93, based upon the actuals of the past 6 months and the probable receipts for the remaining 6 months.	Budget estimate for 1893-94.	Remarks.
RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
5,052	9,000	7,300 *	9,000	* This decrease is due to the temporary closing of the Mauritius Emigration Depot.

* The revised estimate includes Rs. 1,266 collected in April 1892 on account of a shipment made in March 1892. The sanctioned estimate has been adopted for the budget estimate under the impression that the Mauritius emigration operations will again begin this year.

C.—BUDGET Estimate of charges of the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Madras, for the official year 1893-94.

No.	Salaries.	Actuals, 1891-92.	1892-93. Sanctioned estimate.	Revised estimate.	Budget estimate, 1893-94.
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1	1 Protector of Emigrants from April to 23rd June 1891 at Rs. 100 a month and from 24th June Rs. 200 a month	1,942	2,304	2,304	2,304
1	1 Medical Inspector of Emigrants from April to 23rd June 1891 at Rs. 125 a month and from 24th June Rs. 150 a month	1,706	1,800	1,800	1,800
<i>Establishment.</i>					
1	1 Head clerk, Rs. 80 a month	960	960	960	960
1	1 2nd clerk, Rs. 30 a month	360	360	360	360
1	1 Attender " 10 "	120	120	120	120
1	1 Peon " 8 "	96	96	96	96
1	1 " " 7 "	84	84	84	84
1	Contingencies	135	250	250	250
	Total ..	5,403	5,974	5,974	5,974

ORDER—dated 30th January 1893, No. 62, Public.

The report and statements will be forwarded to the Government of India, with the remark that there is no ground for altering the opinion already given by this Government that it is clearly inadvisable to reduce the emigration fee in Madras.

Letter, No. 103, dated 12th February 1892.

2. With reference to paragraphs 2 and 3 of his letter, the attention of the Protector will be called to G.O., dated 12th January 1891, No. 34, which sanctioned an increase of pay to each of his clerks. No case has been made out for a further increase. There is, however, no objection to the head clerk being designated "Manager" in future, nor to his being required to furnish security of Rs. 1,000, as suggested by the Protector.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Protector of Emigrants, Madras.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

.. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th January 1893, No. 62.

JANUARY.

Emigration Fee.

Directing that the report and statements from the Protector of Emigrants, Madras, giving a comparison of receipts and charges on account of emigration for all past years up to November last and estimates for 1893-94, be furnished to the Government of India, with the remark that it is clearly inadvisable to reduce the — in Madras.

30TH JANUARY 1893, No. 63, PUBLIC.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE ACTING CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
REVENUE AND AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In compliance with the request conveyed in paragraph 4 of your letter of the 16th March last, No. 482-22, I am directed to forward herewith a report by the Protector of Emigrants, Madras, accompanied by statements giving an accurate comparison of receipts and charges on account of emigration for all past years up to the end of November last, and estimates for 1893-94.

2. I am to invite attention to Statement A, from which it will be seen that both sides of the account very nearly balance. There would, however, have been a considerable deficit had it not been for the surplus obtained under the Inland Emigration Act. Although the estimated charges during 1893-94 are Rs. 3,000 less than the anticipated receipts, there is no probability that a large surplus will accumulate. It will be observed from Statement B that there has been a decrease in the revised estimate for 1892-93 in consequence of the Mauritius Emigration Depot having been temporarily closed. Such sudden cessations of work cannot be anticipated. They result from various causes and do not lead to any decrease in the amount of charges.

3. In the circumstances set forth, this Government considers that there is clearly no ground for altering the opinion already expressed, and communicated in Mr. Price's letter of the 12th February last, No. 103, that it is inadvisable to reduce the emigration fee in Madras.

4. In conclusion, I am to remark that this Government is satisfied as to the efficiency of the establishment employed by it in Madras in connection with emigration operations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
30th January 1893.

Ag. Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

1893.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

*From the Government of
Fort St. George.*

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th January 1893, No. 63.

JANUARY.

Emigration Fee.

Forwarding a report from the Protector of
Immigrants, Madras, together with statements
giving a comparison of receipts and charges on
account of emigration for all past years up to
November last and estimates for 1893-94.