

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Putti</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	<i>30.1.98.</i>
No.	<i>59</i>

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Passing order on letter
from the Collector
Mudalur regarding the
treasure found in
Kuttanur Ansona
Palghat taluk-*

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No C 22 463 of 1893

Proceedings of the Collector of Malabar
Calicut. 18th December 1892 no. 1423-P.
82

Read the principal paper comprised
in the record of Calendar Case no 167
of 1892 on the file of the 2nd Class
Magistrate of Paighat Taluk, and
Criminal appeal no. 111 of 1892 on
the file of the Head Assistant Magistrate.

Read Tahils K. R. Ramaswami Iyer
and C. Krishna Iyer on behalf of
the Wakers of the Kuthamur Bhagavathy
temple.

On the 15th March 1892 in the course
of some alterations at the temple
one of the workmen in digging
came across a copper vessel con-
-tained in a hole in a stone, and
covered by another stone one J.
Govindan Mammattiar immediately
removed it without opening it,
and no notice was given under
Section 4 of the Treasure Trove
Act of 1870. The adulteration
happened

READ AG.

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT N

STATEMENT W1

ORDER OF GOV

LETTER OF GOV

STATEMENT W1

PAPERS NOT FIL
IN ORIGI

N.B.-

A

B

P

Q

happened to hear of it and
inquiries were instituted, which
resulted in the production of
1403 small gold coins, known locally
as Rio of anams. The value of the
property produced is about Rs 350-12-
The Urainachip of the temple rests
in 32 different families, and
forinda Mannadiar who is one
of the Urainers was prosecuted under
Section 20 of the Treasure Trove Act,
and convicted, the sentence being
upheld in appeal.

2. The usual notices under the
Treasure Trove Act have been
issued and the Urainers claim the
treasure under Section 8 as having
been hidden within 100 years by
their predecessor. However that
may be, as they failed to give
notice, and as there is strong reason
to suppose that part of the treasure
has been misappropriated, because

the Copper vessel would contain
far more than the 1403 of anams
produced, the treasure rests in
the Crown under Section 20 of the
Act.

(Signed) Herbert Bradley
as Collector.

(True copy)

Leobard Finkle
as Collector

Malabar collector's office.
Camp Badagara. 13th January 1893

From,
H. Bradley Esq.,
Ag. Collector of
Malabar.

To, C. A. Dalton Esq.,
Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department,
Madras.

Sir, In forwarding herewith
a copy of my Proceedings dated
18th December 1892 no. 1423-P ^{gent.} regarding
a treasure found in Kullam
munsam, Palghat Taluk, I have
the honor to request that under
Rule 4 of the rules framed under
Section 19 of Act III of 1878, sanction
may be recorded for the sale of
the treasure which consists of:-

1403 gold panams valued at Rs 350-12--	
1 Copper Vessel ———	— 8 --
a hollow Stone with the lid —	1 -- --
Total Rs 352-4--	

To The Superintendent, Government

Central Museum, Madras, to
whom a reference was made
on the subject. It is stated that the
gold fanams of Malabar are
represented in the Museum
and that no further specimens
are required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

Wm. D. F. D. D.
ag. collector.

Public

From,

The Collector
of Malabar.

To,
The Chief Secretary
to Govt. Public Dept.
Madras.

Dated 13th January 1893
Recd
Recd

Encl -

Subject.

Requesting that sanction
may be accorded for
the sale of the treasure
valued at Rs 352-4-
found in Kuttanur
Amam, Pargah Taluk

mu.

For orders. There is
nothing to show that all
the treasurers were aware
of the find.

3013

21/1.

The Collector has not
passed a Division order in
of the fact but has left the
as the order of the Treasury
and is one of the treasurers of
The Temple failed to give the
required notice the treasure was
in the treasury. The finders
should have been given a
complicated return is nothing
to show that the other treasurers
were going to the Government.
A finding order is & appears
necessary before further action
can be taken.

Order 30 Jan 93 No 59.

The Govt. Secy. are certainly defective.
The owners of the place were not bound
to give notice of the find, but they
apparently appeared at the hearing.
The Collector should proceed under
Sec 8-12 as required. The same
the owners of the place is not forfeited
in consequence of the conviction of the
finders under Sec 20.

C. 24/1

27 Jan
RECEIVED

Collector of Malabar.