

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 11-4-98.
No. 293 294

1st copy sent to

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

General Hospital
Madras

Cong to the Surgeon
General, with instruc-
tions, letter from
the Govt of India
stating that recom-
mendation is being
made to the Secre-
tary of State for the
creation of an ad-
ditional appointment
in the cadre of the
Indian Medical Ser-
vice for the new
Surgeon in the

an existing con-
ditions. It is hoped
the Govt of India

For Letter

Requesting reconsidera-
tion of the decision that
the additional appoint-
ment in the cadre of the
Indian Medical Service
by the new Surgeon of

C 2456/93

31/8/01 ✓
27/11/01 ✓
1-12-03 ✓
4-2-07 ✓

RECEIVED ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No.

BACK PAPER, No.

G
9]

OF 13

Current No. 486

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 13

To 164 of 5-5-93
L.S. Receipt No 201 of 93

2164 of 93

From

Public DEPARTMENT.
Telegram
The Govt. of India
Home Dept.

No.

Dated 14th } February 1893
Received 14th
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Regarding the appointment
of an additional Surgeon
to General Hospital Madras.

MU.

as Surg. Capt. Hall
left for Rajahmundry &
Surgeon Major Thorubill
is to replace him it is
submitted that the urgency
has passed and India's
letter may be awaited
It is not certain
retrospective effect
be given to the said
Dr. Thorubill's unemployed
pay is Rs. 789-3-0.

20B.

15/2

As stated upon the
order of the Govt. of India
which was in the
letter from the Surgeon
Genl. This subject
is regarded as urgent
passing Rs 530 to the
Hon. Secy. the time
was closed to the
Hospital.

Against the letter

17 Feb

C.152

Current No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, NO., OF GOVERNMENT-A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DEBATE IN ORIGINAL
(not paged.)

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete. 50
Letter only. 20
Order complete with Letter. 20

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

90817-7-93

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 FEB 1961
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY
INDRAS

FROM	L. N.	SENT TO	L. N.
Hrs.	Mts. Br	At	Hrs. Mts. Br
ADRAS FORT			
Telegraph Master.			

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of person to whom message is transmitted) free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.
Ordinary "	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.
Urgent "	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.

Also less figures, reckoned word.

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

The District Magistrate
of

RECD. F

To,
Home,
Calcutta.

at 106 (2) 141 x your telegram
72 Medical fourteenth
February. Promised
letter not yet received.

J.D.B.
28/2

Ch
28/2

Nothing further has
been heard. May the
above telegram be
repeated?

J.D.B.
17/3 - 19/3

Deferred Telegram
28/2/23
Deferred Telegram
28/2/23

Code
Class.

To
(Office)

Words.
23.10

Day.
23

Hour.
14

Minute.
54

Official
Instructions.

To
(Person)

Madras

From
(Office)

Calcutta

From
(Person)

Home

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS

2237

CHIEF SECRETARY
24 MAR 93
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

64 Word Form.

number 148 medical your
telegram Number 141 of
20th march medical
officer general
Hospital letter despatched yesterday

Recd. from

L.N.

Sent to

L.N.

At

Res.

Mts. by

At

MADRAS 24-3-93
Telegraph Master

C No 486 of 93

Current No. 1277
Public DEPARTMENT.

From Telegram
Govt. (Hindi)

No.

Dated 23^d
Received 24th } March 1893.
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Informing that the letter regarding
the medical officers, General Hospital
was despatched on the
22^d Inst.

No. 147.

FROM

C. J. LYALL, Esq., C.I.E.,
Secretary to the Government of India,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Home Department.
Medical.

Calcutta, the 22nd March 1893.

CHIEF SECRETARY
26 MAR 93
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letters, noted in the margin, regarding the provision of relief to the staff of the General Hospital at Madras. The Governor in Council still urges the necessity for the immediate appointment of two additional Commissioned Medical Officers.

2. The Government of India have now very carefully re-considered the case, and have given close attention to the circumstances set forth in your letters cited above, but they regret that they are unable at present to advise the Secretary of State to sanction the appointment of more than one additional Commissioned Officer to the staff of this Hospital. In my telegram No. 72, dated the 14th ultimo, I conveyed to the Madras Government the approval of the Government of India, subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State, to the appointment of an additional Surgeon on a pay of Rs550 a month, in accordance with the request contained in your telegram No. 23, dated the 17th January, on the condition that a Commissioned Officer was withdrawn from one of the Medical charges in List II. The Government of India are now addressing the Secretary of State recommending the creation of an additional (List I) appointment in the cadre of the Indian Medical Service for the new Surgeon of the Madras Hospital on the distinct understanding that this appointment, if sanctioned, will not be recruited for so long as any appointment in List II is held by a Commissioned Medical Officer.

3. In paragraph 5 of your letter it is stated that the Governor in Council agrees with the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras that none of the List II appointments should become permanent uncovenanted charges. Reference is made to Home Department (Medical) letter No. 203, dated the 21st May 1885. It appears to the Government of India that there is some misapprehension on the part of the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras regarding these appointments and as to the effect of the orders of May 1885. These orders do not include the List II appointments in the Madras Presidency within the cadre of the Indian Medical Service, but were intended to mean that whenever it should happen that, all the cadre (List I) appointments being held by members of the Madras Medical Service, other members of that service were still available for duty, the superfluous officers should be posted to the appointments in List II. The following appear to be the List II appointments at present sanctioned for the Madras Presidency:—Chingleput,

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

Chyall

Secretary to the Government of India.

Telegram from Mr. Child. Learning that
 Fort and Port St George & 28 July and
 20 March 93. No. 10146.

914
Public

Im.

G. of I.

Stance

Pub. go. 1288 16-2-93 mis
 " 1748 14-2-93 Routh
 " 738 2-2-93 mis
 S.O. 228 17-1-93
 " 228 17-1-93 mis
 " 408 19-1-93 Routh
 " 868-698 14-12-92 origl
 " 707 13-9-88 mis
 " 1336 8-6-85 origl
 " 761-628 26-3-88 se

Date 22nd
 Recd 26th
 Rgd 27th } March 1893
 Enc. 7. S.O. No 147

Re. the relief to the staff of
 the General Hospital Madras

Public Dept.

currents 486, 897,
 and 914.

Both Dr. Thornhill
 and Hall are at present
 attached to the General
 Hospital, but they will
 soon join their appointments.
 Submitted that the
 Surgeon General may
 then fill up the new
 appointment, subject to
 the receipt of the Secy of
 State's sanction.

note
 But please
 see infra

The present interpre-
 -tation of the intention
 of the Govt. of India as
 expressed in Mr. Mac-
 kenzie's letter of the
 21st May 1885 comes as
 a surprise. His Govt.
 is now told that "tenable"
 "by uncovenanted agree-
 "when covenanted med-
 "cal officers are not avail-
 "able" meant "tenable"
 "by covenanted medical
 "officers when available
 This solution of the
 difficulty is evidently a
 after-thought for in the
 telegr

Telegram of the 14th
 February the condition
 laid down is "that an
 officer is withdrawn
 from one of dist II stations",
 but now that condition
 has been hardened into this
 that the new appt: shall
 not be recruited for so
 long as any appt: in
 "dist II is held by a comd.
 Medical officer".

It is submitted that
 this ruling on the sub-
 ject - which may be
 termed entirely new -
 should call for the a
 strong protest from
 this Dept.

Meanwhile perhaps
 steps for filling the new
 appt: had better be
 held in abeyance.

For orders.

Medical Officer for
 Civil Station

11/4/93 - 11/4/93

Against
 H.E. The Governor

This is protesting - the expression
 "to be by uncorrupted agency when
 Government officers are unavailable"
 admits of the construction put on it by
 the Govt. of India. - as to be put
 they will only "for an undisturbed
 as". The telegram of Feb 14th does
 not prove anything. They looked down
 Civil Station as had some
 Civil Station Officer holding position in
 II is applied the condition in the
 telegram accordingly.

27/3 The Govt. of India certainly
 seems to have shifted
 ground - a protest seems
 necessary. In view of the
 Surgeon General should state
 he directed to take steps
 for filling up the new appt:
 position into which seems
 urgently required subject to
 the Govt. of India sanction.
 20 March.

Yes - better try - but I don't expect it will succeed.
 I don't suppose it is much use pro-
 testing. However I think we
 should do it.
 Yes - withdrawing an officer of whom is
 in a list II appt:
 C-28/3
 11/4 - 11/4/93
 29 March 5/4/93

Public DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:-

Again G.O., dated 17 January 1893, No. 22
 Again G.O., dated 18, No.
 Current No. 486 of 1893, dated from
 Current No. 897 of 1893.
 Current No. 914 of 1893, dated from
 Telegrams 106 and 141.

ORDER:- 11 April 93 - 293

Communicated to the Surgeon-General
 who will take early steps for filling up
 the new appointment subject pending
 receipt of the Secretary of State's sanc-
 tion, by withdrawing an officer from a
 List II appointment.

2. The following letter will be ad-
 dressed to the Govt. of India.

TO
 The Surgeon-General.
 Accountant - General

Note. on re-perusal of G.O. 761 of
 1885 it seems clear from the
 headings I & II on page 2 that
 the words "when no cov. med. offrs:
 are available" in II refers to the
 reserve of 31 offrs: main-
 tained in dist I. The heading of I
 is very clear.

LETTER:-
 TO
 The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
 Home Department.

I am directed to acknowledge the
 receipt of your letter No. 147 of the
 29th March and to state that the Surgeon-
 General has been directed to fill up the

permitted to continue or steps taken for
the inclusion in List I of the stations
originally noted owing to their
importance as medical charges.

Anantapur.
Chatrapur.
Chingleput.
Cochin.
Guntur.
Negapatam.
Tellicherry.

3573.
6/4

Ch.
6 April.

now appointment at the General Hospital
and the receipt of
the receipt of the Secretary of State
and the receipt of the Secretary of State
and the receipt of the Secretary of State

2. The Government, to request a re-
consideration of the decision that the
recruitment of medical officers will not be
recruited for as long as any appoint-
ment in List II is held by a Com. assign-
ed medical officer. The construction
now held by the Govt. of India on the
expression "At appointments for incumb-
ent medical officers when no com-
missioned medical officers are available"
used in the enclosure to Luckes' letter

No. 24 of the 22-1-33 was not before
contemplated by this Govt. being
principally to the importance of the
charges included in List II. Particu-
lars were referred in paragraph 5 of
this Govt. letter No. 839 of the 14th
December last and the enclosures for-
warded therewith.

3. In these circumstances I am to
convey the strong recommendation of
this Govt. that existing arrangements
under which List II appointments are
held by Uncommissioned officers only
when the services of Commissioned
officers are not available may be
permitted

I am to submit that the fact that
Commissioned officers are
occasionally
available to fill List
II appts under a recruitment
for List I only, is not sufficient
reason for refusing to recruit for
a permanent addition to List I of
appointments.

Wes
7 Apr

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—also the following papers:—

Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, Calcutta, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated 14th February 1893.

No. 72, Medical. Your telegram, No. 23, 17th January, Madras General Hospital. Appointment of additional Surgeon on Rs. 550 approved on condition that an officer is withdrawn from one of list II stations and subject to Secretary of State's sanction. Letter follows.

Telegrams to the Government of India, Home Department, Calcutta, dated 28th February and 19th March 1893, Nos. 106 and 141 respectively.

Telegram from the Government of India, Home Department, Calcutta, dated 23rd March 1893.

From C. J. LYALL, Esq., C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Medical), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, 22nd March 1893, No. 147.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letters, noted in the margin, regarding the provision of relief to the staff of the General Hospital at Madras. The Governor in Council still urges the necessity for the immediate appointment

No. 869 (Public), dated 14th Dec. 1892.
" 73 (") " 2nd Feb. 1893.

of two additional commissioned medical officers.

2. The Government of India have now very carefully re-considered the case, and have given close attention to the circumstances set forth in your letters cited above, but they regret that they are unable at present to advise the Secretary of State to sanction the appointment of more than one additional commissioned officer to the staff of this hospital. In my telegram, No. 72, dated the 14th ultimo, I conveyed to the Madras Government the approval of the Government of India, subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State, to the appointment of an additional Surgeon on a pay of Rs. 550 a month, in accordance with the request contained in your telegram, No. 23, dated the 17th January, on the condition that a commissioned officer was withdrawn from one of the medical charges in List II. The Government of India are now addressing the Secretary of State recommending the creation of an additional (List I) appointment in the cadre of the Indian Medical Service for the new Surgeon of the Madras hospital on the distinct understanding that this appointment, if sanctioned, will not be recruited for so long as any appointment in List II is held by a commissioned medical officer.

3. In paragraph 5 of your letter, it is stated that the Governor in Council agrees with the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras that none of the List II appointments should become permanent uncovenanted charges. Reference is made to

Home Department (Medical) letter, No. 203, dated the 21st May 1885. It appears to the Government of India that there is some misapprehension on the part of the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras regarding these appointments and as to the effect of the orders of May 1885. These orders do not include the List II appointments in the Madras Presidency within the cadre of the Indian Medical Service, but were intended to mean that whenever it should happen that, all the cadre (List I) appointments being held by members of the Madras Medical Service, other members of that service were still available for duty, the superfluous officers should be posted to the appointments in List II. The following appear to be the List II appointments at present sanctioned for the Madras Presidency:—Chingleput, Cochin, Anantapur, Chatrapur, Negapatam, Tellicherry and Guntur. From the last Madras Civil List corrected to the 1st January 1893, it is observed that at the beginning of this year there were commissioned medical officers at Chingleput, Anantapur, Negapatam and Tellicherry.

ORDER—dated 11th April 1893, No. 293, Public.

Communicated to the Surgeon-General, who will take early steps for filling up the new appointment sub. *pro tem.*, pending receipt of the Secretary of State's sanction, by withdrawing an officer from a List II appointment.

2. The following letter will be addressed to the Government of India:—

(Here enter No. 294, dated 11th April 1893).

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General.
„ Accountant-General.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th April 1893, No. 293.

APRIL.

General Hospital, Madras.

Communicating to the Surgeon-General, with instructions, letter from the Government of India stating that recommendation is being made to the Secretary of State for the creation of an additional appointment in the cadre of the Indian Medical Service for the new Surgeon of the — on certain conditions, and addressing the Government of India.

11th April 1893, No. 294, PUBLIC.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
HOME DEPARTMENT.

SIR,
I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 147, of the 22nd March, and to state that the Surgeon-General has been directed to fill up the new appointment at the General Hospital sub. *pro tem.*, pending the receipt of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State's sanction, by withdrawing an officer from a List II appointment.

2. I am, however, to request a reconsideration of the decision that the new appointment will not be recruited for so long as any appointment in List II is held by a commissioned medical officer. The construction now placed by the Government of India on the sentence "II. Appointments for uncovenanted medical officers when no covenanted medical officers are available" used in the enclosure to Mr. Daukes' letter, No. 26, of the 22nd January 1885, was not before contemplated by this Government. I am to submit that the fact that commissioned officers are occasionally available to fill List II appointments under a recruitment for List I only is not sufficient reason for refusing to recruit for a permanent addition to List I appointments.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
11th April 1893.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Begr. No.

HOME 1893 DEPT.

[]

From the Government of
Fort St. George.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

Letter, 11th April 1893, No. 294.

APRIL.

General Hospital, Madras.

requesting reconsideration of the decision that
additional appointment in the cadre of the
Indian Medical Service for the new Surgeon of the
— is not to be recruited except on certain condi-
tions.



Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Block No. /

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer,

Dept.

Press 293-94-11-4-93 Rank No. _____
 Mis. No. _____, dated _____ Range, _____
 Rout. _____

Date taken out	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department	C. No.	Year.			
6 11-12	HARC	3974	12-07-12		13/11/12	<i>[Signature]</i>