

2 1914

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *5-4-98*
No. *264*

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Directing the re-
moval of insolvent
Clark S. Rethers (Chet.
li of the Auditor's
office from the pub-
lic service—*

FURTHER PAPER, No. **2318**

OF 1893

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

*With reference to the
only relevant*

A A

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Enclosure: Kertina Chai

*From Mr. H. V. Stokes P.S.A.
Hon. Mr. Garstin C.S.D.*

C.25/a

Approved for Release

Submitted to the Honorable
Mr. Garstin C.S.I.

10.
 seen.
 Ch.
 by hand
 Sent to H. L. the for. -
 I have seen the document which
 the discharges are conditional
 in the first and then + are now
 made absolute till 12 years
 have passed for 1/3 of the soldiers
 who have been discharged
 12/3/50

~~W. H. C. 1888~~
W. H. C. 1888

Public Department:

Order: 5 April 93 K 264

The circumstances of the case show that Insolvent Clerk S. Rathna Chetty of the Accounts General's office has been guilty of the utmost recklessness in the management of his affairs.

2: After full consideration the Government is unable to accept the Accountant General's Recommendation on his behalf, and directs his ^{removal} ~~dismissal~~ from the Public Service.

/ from orders. /

१८३
१७३

Ch
19 March

9.

The Accountant General.
with Ledgers.

DESPATCHED
7 APR 66
BY M.S.

$\frac{C_1}{C_2} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{C_1}{C_2} = \frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{C_1}{C_2} = \frac{1}{4}$

Hon. Sir H. E. Stokes K.C.S.G.

Resubmitted with
reference to the Hon.
Mr. Lyall's remarks.

The cases - four - of
dismissal for indebted-
ness during the past
two years are put up.
They include the one
referred to by the Hon.

J.B.
28/2

1 March

(5)

I am not prepared to make
any exception in this case - nor to
depart from the ordinary course adopted on
these occasions. I do not think it would
be fair to others who have been similarly
treated, and to re-open the door to these
would lead to endless confusion.

If it is the case that these sentences
are too severe, and operate to the disad-
vantage of the public service - let them
be revised, but I am not aware that
they do.

W

15 Mar.

I think that the order on the subject
of dismissal for indebtedness & bankruptcy
are thoroughly sound in principle and
that strictness in their enforcement
is wholesome and the only way of checking
the great evil to the public service which
must result from the impecuniosity
& harassment of public employees.
It may seem severe & harsh in indi-
vidual cases, but it is kindness to
the whole service in the long run as
enforcing thrift & checking the reckless
extravagance which is too common
my own colleagues seems to admit
that this is as bad a case as could be
found & so I think. The course which
he proposes of revising the decision
in other similar cases implies that
he is prepared to abandon the standard.

C.17/m
C.25/2
R.25/2

(189 .) DEPARTMENT.

Put go. 331 25-4-91 orig
.. 849 27-12-92 mis

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 461.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

MADRAS.

$$T_0$$

THE *Chief Secretary to Government*

Public Department

— Fort. St George

No. 376

Dated

Received

Rep. 4

Enclor. 5-

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 FEB 93
Subject: GOVERNMENT OF ARIZONA

Forwarding papers regarding the insolvency of G. Rathna Bhetty, a clerk in the Accountant General's office on a salary of Rs 105 per mensem, who filed his schedule in the Insolvent Debtors Court on the 14th March last & was discharged on the 2nd December, and recommending his retention in his appointment as he is very hard working, intelligent and useful man and did not allow his troubles to impair the efficiency of his work.

FOR ORDERS.

This man has certainly been very
reckless in borrowing his money, it was
at least the reckless use of his wife's money
I have borrowed money & paid
in some cases up to 9 months
beforehand. The Anti-Slavery
bills in D.D. & the fact about the
man & his former - & now under
his being heard to him in the
service. As he was under it ~~and~~
as the fact that the High C. & his firm
have a conditional discharge from
liability - however I shall allow it, but
in the case, the case of the P.S. which
with D.D. & with 10 children who were
readily turned out for being in debt to
smaller sum, D.D. & his wife.

Then change thought that it was all
 utterly bad policy to put a premium
 on loans to public officers, by making
 their salaries attackable (as to a
 limit of 1/2) and destruction of debt, &
 that it would have been better if there
 had refused to hypothecate loans to a
 public officer. However, the
 1st of Parliament 1294: the
 21st lays down the existing
 law for loans to the C.C. 11th of
 1294 and it is only
 about 50 years. However, the
 House of Commons has always been
 suspicious of persons in debt
 though debt in two crimes - so
 that my proposal is a change in
 the long established policy.

20/2/93

a telephone message
saying "Nearly 17 years
pensionable service
from 10th April 1876".

I concur with the C. S. The man
has been utterly reckless. His length
of service is unstartled.

Dated 10th February 1893.

The Liberator appears to have
been not recklessly and he
does not appear to be called
to much consideration. The
Schedule shows that he borrowed
large sums from me 7 paper
times this means at frequent
intervals e.g. \$4,000 in Feb
1911 & again \$4,500 in April
1911. The necessity for borrowing
such large sums is not satisfactorily
explained. It is stated that
the disbursements of his wife cost him
\$4,000 & the mortgage of his
Mortgage \$1,500 but this
leaves a very large balance
unaccounted for. The conditions
known are not much to the
point. The man only received
\$4,400 in cash & has repaid
\$5,039. Such is the interest
deducted in advance amounts
to \$3,690.

The Connecticut General Assembly
says that the man is not
allow his travels to interfere with
working & has made his
attention. This house is
hardly advisable. C.

The enormous difference ~~afforded~~ is accounted for as follows:-

Bills backed for others	348. 8-6
Bonds given without consideration	930. - - -
Appropriated to discharge of previous bonds. 1868. 8- -	
Amount paid, but bond retained	360. - - -
Interest prepaid by deduction from principal 8369. - - -	
Court Costs	350. 9- -

Total. Rs. 12,226. 9-6

4. In the petition attached to his Balance Sheet also enclosed, the Insolvent attributed his difficulties to the severe illness of his wife which lasted for 18 months during the years 1888 and 1889 and cost him about Rs. 1000/- and to the marriage of his daughter soon after at a cost of 1500/- including 500/- for the purchase of jewels necessary as a dowry to secure a respectable son-in-law. These sums he raised from money lenders on bonds which he was unable to discharge as they fell due, for his pay was then only 60/- a month. He was accordingly obliged to renew & raise fresh loans and after another in the vain hope of overtaking an ever increasing debt and of keeping his affairs out of Court - and the consequence is that he has now had to go to the Court with debts to an amount which he can never by any possibility pay.

In the exercise of the discretion left, Heads of Departments in G.O. 331 already referred to did not suspend the Insolvent on his taking the

the benefit of the Act. But after making full allowance for the influence of caste feeling and the desire to secure a son-in-law who could support his daughter, I cannot acquit him of extravagance in borrowing 1500/- for the marriage of his daughter at a time when his pay was small, and he was already embarrassed by the expenses connected with his wife's illness or of extreme folly in deferring so long an appeal to the protection of the Court.

6. The Insolvent is a very hardworking intelligent and useful man. He did not allow his troubles to impair the efficiency of his work and I trust that the Government may be pleased to permit his retention in his appointment.

I have the honor to be,

Sir
Your most obedt. servt

Heurhies
Accounts General

is a bench mark
worked for.

by running.

It is known

Non OWL a factor.
Chapman.

CURRENT NO. 4

Fort Saint George
Accounts General's Office
10 February 1893

N^o 376

From

J. W. Rawlins Esq.,
Accountant General,
Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Public Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. N^o 331, Public
dated 25th April 1891, I have the honor to report that
S. Rattina Chetti, ex Clerk in my Office at present
enjoying a salary of Rs. 105 a month, filed his
Schedule in the Insolvent Debtors' Court on the
14th March last and received his discharge
on the 2^d of December.

2. From the Schedule and Abstract
enclosed, the condition of his affairs appears
to have been as follows:—

Debts Scheduled ————— Rs. 15,327-1-11
said to have been received in Cash — 8940-12-0
said to have been repaid in do. — 5839-8-0

Balance of principal still due ————— 3,101-4-0

Difference ————— 12,226-9-11

he suggested my asking
you to lend it to Mr.
Garden.

If the case is one
that you can work
properly lend him
other members I should
be much obliged if you
would lend it - The
man has been a fool
and an idiot. But he
is a good man. Right
at the top of the clerical
staff. & registered for
promotion the Lib^l

Account Service, &
it would be very hard
of his 17 years service
were forfeited.

I think it would be
well for the Service if
clerks took advantage of
the Act more freely. The
probability of their victims
escape by that door
could not possibly make
^{money lenders} ~~them~~ want harsher terms
than they ^{of the worth} now do, and
would in many cases
lead them to refuse all
accommodation whatever.

Accountant-General
Fort Saint George, MA. AS.
the 23rd of Feb 1893
CHIEF SECRETARY
23 FEB 93

My dear Guelton

Sir Henry Stobbs
told me last night
that he had "executed"
my bankrupt clerk
J. Rabinovich. but
that he did not know
whether the "decoration"
would be carried into
effect as he did not
know whether the case
was going before the
Recorder, or what trial
he would take. and

D. C.



FORT ST. GEORGE,

Feb 23 1893

My Dear Sir,

I enclose a note
from Rumbin's about Rumbin
Chatter's case. The papers
have been sent to you already.

Yours sincerely
C. J. S. S.