

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i>	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	<i>28-3-98</i>
No.	<i>256-</i>

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Sanctuary
Proprietaryship*

FURTHER PAPER, No.

397-98
18-5-93

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Code
Class.

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Madras

From
(Office)

Calcutta

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

To
(Person)

Madras.

From
(Person)

Home.

CHIEF SECRETARY
16 MAR 93
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

64 Word Form.

number 83 education
Secretary of state prepared
to sanction appointment
of doctor hultsch
as professor of Sanskrit
on 500 50 750
a month including remunera-
-tion for his duties as
curator oriental manuscripts
if no competent native
fitted for the post can be
found please state if
such native available the
allowance for epigraphy will
be separate as recommended
by you.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

MADRAS 16-3-03

Telegraph Master.

Quedonian stu. Pg. Co.—No. 13 T—1132—20,000,000.

Current No. 2-1-1

Edl. DEPARTMENT.

Telegram
From Govt (Home)

No.

Dated 16th
Received 16th
Regd 17th
Enclosures } March 1893

SUBJECT.

Informing that the Govt is pre-
pared to sanction the appointment
of Dr Stultzsch as Professor of
Sanskrit if no competent native
is found. & requesting to state if
such native is available etc.

No 516 & 17th March 1893
Transferred to the
Dept.

Attention
ag. U.S.

H.M.

Submitted. The
telegram has been
repeated to the Private
Secy to H.E. the Governor.

J.D.B.
17/3

U
17th March

There is no native with any claim
at all but Stultzsch is a down-
^{think} ~~conviction~~ that his claims such as they
are should be considered in the case.
I have read some of his philology and
lecturation and though I cannot
pretend to be an authority I know enough
of such matters to justify my expressing
the opinion that they are unsatisfactory
and worthless. He may know some Sanskrit
but the quality of his mind as revealed in
his philology appears to me to be in com-
patibility with sound teaching of any language.
It would be a calamity if a sentiment
in favour of native ~~education~~ Sanskritists
should lead to the appointment of such a
man in preference to a scholar of the
attainments & position of Dr Stultzsch.
The opportunity of acquiring for India
of the Presidency the services of such
a man as Dr H. is not to be lost.

17/3

J.D.B.
18 Mar.

Code
Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Madras

From
(Office)

Rajapour

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

To
(Person)

Madras

From
(Person)

P. S. G.

Your Telegram

Cinemas 17th Governor
Perfuram Duncan considers
Reshagiri as a complete
for Sanskrit Professor
but Indefinite equal attain
with Kullsch oblation
India

64 Word Form.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

Current No. 834

Pub. DEPARTMENT.

Tel
FromPrivate Secretary to H.E.
the Govt.

No.

Dated 18 }
Received 19 } March 93.
Regd. 20
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Re Sanskrit Professorship.

Telegram

To Home

Calcutta.

H.E. the Secy and the
Hon^{ble} Mr. Secy, C.S.

I ^{think} ~~think~~ that before this goes
H.E. should see my note of the
18th. I do not consider Lachari
Shastri the sort of man who should
be appointed to such a place. He
may know enough Sanskrit to take
the students through text books & so
forth but he is not a scientific
scholar. If he would undertake
competent to carry on Epigraphical
work, the conduct of which was
included in our proposals. If he

is appointed Professor of Sanskrit
Dr. Hultzsch will not remain for
Epigraphical work even on his pre-
sent salary; and the opportunity
of retaining his most valuable
services in this line, ~~with~~ and of
at the same time effecting a con-
siderable economy will be lost. I
consider Lachari Shastri quite unfit
for the dual work; and think that
a telegram much more strongly
worded than that proposed by H.E.
& distinctly stating that we propose
to appoint Dr. Hultzsch should go to

Your S^r Education
Discretion - Seshagiri
Sastri Upper Subordinate
Educational Service
considered competent
for Sanskrit professor.
ship but by ^{very far short} ~~no means~~
of equal ⁱⁿ attainments
with Hultzsch.

Mean see telegram from the
Private Secretary to H.E.
with which the case is
closed.

Ch
20 March

I must say that I think the appointment of
 Kashapi Shastri would be nothing short of
 a public misfortune. But our hands are
 positively tied by the orders of the Sec. of
 State - who says in so many words that
 if there is a competent native, we must
 appoint him. Now I have pressed Dr. Duncan
 most closely as to his competency, and he
 says he cannot conscientiously say that he is
 not competent - in fact he is competent
 to get through the duties attaching to the
 Sanskrit Chair - but that between him
 & Dr. Hultzsch there is no comparison.
 If we appoint him, we shall lose Dr. H.
 and we shall also lose students from the
 Presidency College - we shall lose all round.
 But we are ruled from the India Office,
 and though I have no objection to sending
 a much stronger telegram to India, I cannot
 refuse to obey the orders, wh. we have
 received. I am not prepared to adopt
 the course wh. is proposed by Sir H. Stokes -
 which amounts to a direct breach of
 discipline.
 To the draft telegram (as altered)
 I would add "and this Govt. considers
 that the loss of Dr. H. services both in this
 appointment and epigraphy would be a
 grave public misfortune".

W.

24th Mar

Letter to Mr. Stokes
Presidency College

W. H.

This draft telegram as modified is much the
 same as mine - but more somewhat amplified.
 and I approve its being sent.

W
 25 March

Respectfully
 W. H.

I don't think Dr. Duncan is an authority
 on the subject of Sanskrit Scholarship &
 he probably takes his opinion from Dr. Oppert.
 I decline to surrender my judgment in such
 a matter to either of them.

W. H.

I am ready to accept this telegram
 with slight modifications as shown
 below.

W. H.

I don't think Dr. Duncan has expressed this
 opinion; at least it does not appear from the
 paper. I would say "we again think him
 quite unfit to be Epigraphist". Then it is not doubtful that
 he is unfit to be Epigraphist which above all requires
 the highest faculty.
 on the allowance proposed, and
 considerable saving anticipated
 will not be realized.

W. H.

The Sanskrit Professorship

Readers to the Hon: Sec. St.
 Mr. Stokes to propose that we
 Dr. H. will to India that he
 does not consider Dr. Sastri
 fit to be Sanskrit professor
 and epigraphist - & that
 he therefore proposes to
 appoint Dr. Hultzsch -
 H. H. the Govt. considers he
 are bound to report that
 Dr. Sastri is considered
 competent to fill the Sanskrit
 Chair of Dr. Duncan,
 & then to point out the
 very superior qualifications
 of Dr. H. & is well prepared
 to adopt the Hon: Sec. St.
 Mr. Stokes' proposal.

To reconcile the divergence
 of views I suggest the following
 telegram to India:

Your 83, 22 March - 16th.

Director Public Instruction
 Consider Kashapi Shastri
 better qualified to fill
 senior competent to fill
 Sanskrit professorship but
 very inferior to Dr. Hultzsch and
 scholar to Dr. Hultzsch and
 unfit to discharge duties
 of epigraphist. Hultzsch
 will not remain as epigraphist
 only - loss of services of such
 a scholar would be
 serious misfortune - therefore
 Govt. therefore request sanction

to appoint Hultsch in
preference to Sastri as
Dansk Professor and
epigraphist.

Unfortunately, I doubt
but justified in making
an error setting forth
reasons for considering
S. Sastri unfit to be Danish
Professor in the Nordic
College as I know nothing
his qualifications, but
think it is a public mis-
fortune for higher educa-
tion in this University
that I of the Professorial
Chair in the Nordic College
of the history of nations &
the history of affairs with
the apparatus of a third
Chair is occupied. & I
know that Dr. Dureau
is also somewhat similar
view.

24/3/93 J.H.F.

No. 813 + 814

From

Re Sanskrit Proficiency.

Dated

189 .

FOR ORDERS.

*Submitted to H.E. Thompson
with reference to the further
remarks made by Genl.
Lambert.*

*Ch
24th Dec.*

C.No. 8134834,

order 2 March 43 #25-6

The following telegram will be
despatched to the Government of India
Home Dept:-

"Your 83 Education sixteenth.
Director Public Instruction considers
Seshagiri Sastri Upper Subordinate
Educational Service competent to
fill Sanskrit Professorship but
very inferior as Sanskrit Scholar
to Dr. Hultzsch. We agree and
think him quite unfit to discharge
duties of Epigraphist. Hultzsch
will not remain as Epigraphist
only on the allowance proposed
and considerable saving anticipated
will not be realised. Loss of services
of such scholar would be serious
misfortune Madras Govt. Therefore
request sanction to appoint Hultzsch
in preference to Seshagiri Sastri
as Sanskrit professor and
Epigraphist."

(Fair signed by C.S.)

H.E.

The telegram should
have been copied & sent
to me for signature -
Make fair copy for
despatch Dept.

Ch

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or date, located at the top center of the page.

Vertical line of text or a signature running down the right side of the page.