

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	21-2-93.
No.	145

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing with remarks
that the Collector of
Madras should pro-
ceed as already di-
rected under section
8-12 of the Income
Tax Act in the case
of the income found
in Kuttanur Anson
Palpam Koluk—

Collector's Office
Camp Trivittala 6th February 1893

Sir,

Herbert Bradley Esq.

Acting Collector of Malabar

Sir,

The Hon^{ble} C. A. Galt, M.A.

Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Public Department

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. no 59 dated January 30, 1893, I have the honor to express my regret that my proceedings was not quite clear.

2. The facts of the case are set out shortly, but I failed to point out that there is every reason to suspect that the remainder of the holders of the temple joined with Govinda Mannadiar, who was convicted under section 20 of the Treasure Trove Act, in misappropriating part of the hidden treasure. Thus one of the joint owners of the place in which the treasure was found has been convicted under section 20 of the Act, as the finder of the treasure, for not giving notice, and although the finding of the treasure became sufficiently public to reach the ears of the Adhigari, none of the remaining joint owners of the place took any steps, it is, I think, clear that they abetted the offence under section 20 committed by Govinda Mannadiar.

3. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request instructions whether it is necessary for me to proceed further under sections 8-12 of the Act.

I have the honor to be

Sr.

Your most obedient servant

Herbert Bradley

Acting Collector

was generally
and was not

vide 521

For further orders, The actual person was a work man. Submitted to the procedure laid down in the act should be carefully followed and that the ^{conviction} provisions of the Wraper under § 20 is of doubtful legality.

30B. order 21 Feb 93 A-145

The person who was convicted (Gordon Linnardie) was not the friend of the Treasurer and he should have been convicted under Sec 21 for obtaining a document under Sec 20. But there is little conviction as the whole of a transaction cannot [be] taken into consideration in consequence of the conviction of one member of the body of members. The Ch. should proceed as already directed under section 8-12 of the act.

[Lancaster] 16 Feb
17 Feb.

/ from orders /
20 Feb.

30B.
18/2.

DESPATCHED
23 FEB 93
M M.S

The Bill of exchange

C. 16/2
R. 8/2

From

CHIEF SECRETARY
11 FEB 93

The Collector
of Madras

The Chief Secretary to
Government
Public Department
Madras

Dated 6th February 1893

Despd

Recd

Handwritten notes in margin

Replying to G.O. dated 30th January 1893 No. 59 Public and requesting instructions as to whether it is, under the circumstances set forth, necessary to proceed further under the Treasure Trove act, in the matter of the treasure found in Ruttanur Amud...

WITH ORDER