

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 10-2-93
No. 113.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

From which Mr H Board.
has a collection of resolutions
into a complete copy of G.
10 Oct 92. h 706 & of the Auto.
pub's letter of 30 Jan 93. h 240.
with reference to his inquiry
of the Auto pub's interpreta-
-tion of the word "imputations"
in the above order is
correct:—

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC.

A

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 10th October 1892, No. 704.

OCTOBER.

Civil Service.

Giving the future interpretation of the term
"junior officer" used in G.O., dated 15th March
1883, No. 560.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

79

333

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

with copy 40 704
10/10/92

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

See the copy
4 to be made of

is junior in the gradation list, but the officer who is last appointed to be or to act as a Commissioner. I am to suggest for the consideration of the Madras Government that in order to obviate any further difficulties regarding the relative seniority of Covenanted Civilians, Statutory Civilians and Provincial officers appointed to the posts of Judge and Collector, the term "junior officers" in the order of the 15th March 1883, above referred to, should be declared to mean the officers last appointed to be Collector or Judge, irrespective of their length of service or position in the gradation list.

* * * * *

ORDER—dated 10th October 1892, No. 704, Public.

The term "junior officer" used in G.O., dated 15th March 1883, No. 560, will in future be interpreted as meaning the officer whose first appointment as acting or permanent Collector or Judge is latest in date.

* * * * *

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue.)
„ all Collectors.
„ all District Judges.

Public (189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS.

To

THE Chief Secretary to Govt.
Public Depts.
— Fort St. George.

No. 240

Dated

Received

Recd 1st Feb/93

Enolo, me.

No.

31 JAN 73
Subject: 10

Reporting the Circumstances
under which the retrenchment
has been ~~made~~ made from the Junior
Officers referred to -

Ref

Pub. of ~~reprints of~~ 704
10-10-92

A specimen of *Arct. long. lat.*

Ed. which was begun by

$$\frac{A}{3/2}$$

H. M. C. 200 79 + 333

For Orders

W. Bradley, like other Collectors, was furnished with only para 1 of G.P. of 10. Oct 1892, No. 704. (copy A in the file). Submitted that in reply to his letter (C. No. 79) he may be supplied with a complete copy (3 new file). This will explain to him the reason for the retrenchment that has been made.

Cf. $\frac{1}{3/2}$ You write the case
for ϵ where $\epsilon = 333$.

I have no more of the
 Review the known suite
 will.

Read. C. No 79.
CS No 333
 6/10/18

Order 10 Feb 93 k 113.

Mr. Bradley will be furnished
with a complete copy of Vol. of 10.
Dec 83 - No 704 ~~1074~~ and a
copy of the Alb's letter of 30. 1. 93.
No 240.

No 240

(From Order)

DE STATE

14136

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 15th March 1883, No. 560, Public.

Read—again G.O., dated 9th September 1882, No. 935, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Ruling that, pending the decision of Government of India and Secretary of State on the district arrangements, the junior Collector and junior Judge are to be considered second-grade officers.

Order—dated 15th March 1883, No. 560, Public.

On reconsideration, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the fairest arrangement will be that the two junior officers, whether acting as Collector or as Judge, shall be considered second-grade officers, pending further orders. The Accountant-General will act on this view from the 1st proximo inclusive.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
,, Board of Revenue.

READ—also the following papers:—

G.O., dated 4th August 1892, Nos. 537, 538, Public.

From C. J. LYALL, Esq., C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Establishments) to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 12th September 1892, No. 403.

2. It appears from your letter that the term "junior officers" has hitherto been interpreted in the Madras Presidency as meaning the officers who are junior in respect of their length of service or standing on the gradation list. This interpretation, though possible under a state of things in which only Covenanted and Statutory Civilians were appointed to Collectorships and District Judgeships, will require modification now that a certain number of these appointments have been thrown open to members of the Provincial Service; for it will not be possible to determine the relative seniority of Covenanted Civilians and Provincial officers with reference to the date of their first appointment to Government service. A somewhat similar question was raised recently in Bengal in connection with the interpretation of the term "Junior Commissioner" (who draws a lower rate of salary than other Commissioners). The Government of India and the Secretary of State have decided that the term should be interpreted as meaning, not the officer holding the appointment of Commissioner who.

Q = Copy heading

1.92
From

H. B. P. E. Enquire
Ag. Collector of Malabar

To

The Honble J. F. Price
Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 704 dated
October 10, 1892, I have the honor to inquire
whether it was intended that the State of
things laid down in the G.O. should have
retrospective effect. From the wording of the
order it appears that this was not the
intention of Government—"will in future
be interpreted". But the Accountant
General quoting the G.O. and applying
it to the case of Mr. C. Ramachandra
Aiyar has sent me a retrenchment
order for Rs 649-8-6, the retrenchment
commencing from January last. I have
honor to inquire whether the Accountant
General's interpretation of the words
"in future" is correct.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant

Herbert Noble

Ag. Collector

No. 240

From
J. W. Rawlings Esq.,
Accountant General,
Madras.
To
The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Public Department,
Fort Saint George.
Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No. 76 dated the 18th January '93, I have the honor to state that I, in giving retrospective effect to para 1 of Madras Government order No. 704, Public dated 10th October '92 defining the term "Junior Officer", have merely carried out the specific directions contained in para 2 of the same order in which it was directed that the emoluments of Mr. C. Ramachandra Aiyar from the date of his confirmation as District Judge should be regulated in accordance with the ruling in para 3 of the Government of India letter No. 403 dated 12th September '92, that the standing of Mr. C. Ramachandra Aiyar as District and Sessions Judge should reckon from the date of his confirmation in that appointment and not from the date of his admission to the statutory service.

Under this ruling, Mr. Ramachandra Aiyar has been given acting allowance as a 1st grade judge from the 27th June '91 and the Junior Officer who had benefitted by the rule previously in force of this Office have been


restricted

ali

From
The Collector
of Malabar
To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Public Department

Dated 9th January 1893
Despd.

Recd.
Sd/- 14th Jan/93



Sd/-

Inquiring whether the
Accountant General's
interpretation of the
words "in future" in G.O.
No. 704, dated 10 Oct 92, is correct.
Referred.

M.

2718 January 1893 No. 76
Referred to the Accountant

General for favour of report as to
the circumstances under which
the retrenchment has been made

Ag. Chief Secretary

Public

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedt. servt.

and all
H. M. M.
Account General.

W. S.