

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 31-5-98
No. 429.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 2-6-99

Returned for signature. 11-6

Despatched in print. 11-6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Secretaries to the Com.
Commissioners of Land Revenue
Hall & Akbari &
Separate Revenue

Recording letters from
the Govt. of India
regarding the sala-
ries of the — while
appointed in other
appointments & pay.
— as shown entire
rule in connection
therewith.

NOTES
PRINTED.

Recd 2/6
13-5-05 ✓ 27/2/05
28/11/10 ✓
7-12-12 ✓

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

ORDER PAPER, No.

80 286 of

1893

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

*Print all these papers
and send to
make note for signature
please*

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

2/6

ORDER, E.C., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged.)

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete. 80.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
H = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Handwritten signature

II.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 429, PUBLIC, DATED 31st MAY 1893.

[pages 2-3 of the collection.]

From the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, No. 1765-Ex., dated 26th April 1893.

For the Chief Secretary—

For orders whether the rule referred to in paragraph 3 of India's letter should be introduced.

C.M.S.—3-5-93.

H.M. and circulate—

J. F. PRICE—4-5-93.

I agree fully with paragraph 2 of the Government of India letter and was never in favour of the proposal to disturb the present arrangements, though I yielded to the views of my honourable colleagues in the matter.

Having regard to the opinion now expressed by the Government of India, I would not make any rule either as to present or future incumbents.

H. E. S[TOKES]—6-5-93.

I disagree. The Government of India in the Revenue and Agricultural Department (*vide* their letter, No. 257-F., dated 24th February 1892) expressed the view that Mr. Hammick's acting as Collector, and drawing Rs. 200 a month extra because his permanent appointment is that of Secretary to the Board, was "something

very like an abuse of the acting allowance rules" and proposed how the arrangement might be obviated. It is very possible that this letter from the Government of India may have suggested to Mr. Meyer the action which he subsequently took. At any rate when we addressed the Government of India in the sense of their own letter pointing out how Mr. Hammick and Mr. Bradley drew allowances of which we considered that a part at least should go to the officers acting for them in the Board's office, they reply to us (in the Finance Department) that the arrangement complained of does not seem to involve "any very great hardship or inconvenience," and they propose a rule for

Does * this mean that, in the event of one of these Secretaries acting as Collector or Judge; the *suitable post* to which he should be transferred, on the earliest opportunity in the regular line, is the one which he would naturally be in if he had never been Secretary, *e.g.*, that Hammick and Bradley would become *pucca* Sub-Collectors—Acting Collectors?

W[ENLOCK].

* Note.—This is believed to be the proper construction to be placed on the words.

C.M.S.

J.F.P.

higher grades of appointment; and secondly, it will make itself first felt in the case of the gentleman who has put us to so much trouble by starting the hare if he goes to act as Collector or Judge.

J. H. G[ARSTIN]—11-5-93.

The second letter from India is rather curious when read along with the other (in the Revenue and Agricultural Department). As they will not help us in the matter, I am willing to adopt a rule as they propose in the hope that it may prevent any recurrence of the very anomalous and inequitable arrangement now existing; believing this rule to operate as I suppose—*vide supra*.

W[ENLOCK]—12-5-93.

For the Chief Secretary—

Draft order is submitted. Instead of the words "transfer him to a suitable post in the regular line" (paragraph 3 of the Government of India letter) would it not be better to say "provide him with a suitable permanent post in the regular line"?

C. M. SCHMIDT—16-5-93.

H. M. and circulate—

J. F. PRICE—17-5-93.

This is what is meant, but better expressed.

H. E. S[TOKES]—18-5-93.

J. H. G[ARSTIN]—20-5-93.

I think so.

W[ENLOCK]—26-5-93.

[G.O., No. 429, Public, dated 31st May 1893.]

5. The present Acting Secretary in the Land Revenue department holds a substantive appointment carrying a pay of Rs. 1,200—40—1,400. The result of the arrangement proposed in the last paragraph in his case is shown in the following table:—

Period.	Would draw as permanent Secretary.	Will draw as Acting Secretary.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1st January to 1st July 1893	1,540	1,540	..
1st July to 1st October 1893	1,560	1,540	20
1st October 1893 to 1st July 1894	1,560	1,580	20
1st July to 1st October 1894	1,620	1,580	40
1st October 1894 to 1st July 1895	1,620	1,620	..
1st July to 1st October 1895	1,680	1,620	60
1st October 1895 to 1st July 1896	1,680	1,660	20
1st July to 1st October 1896	1,740	1,660	80
1st October 1896 to 1st July 1897	1,740	1,700	40
1st July to 1st October 1897	1,800	1,700	100
1st October 1897 to 1st July 1898	1,800	1,700	100

6. The present Acting Secretary in the Salt and Abkari department holds the substantive appointment of Head Assistant Collector (pay Rs. 768—5—4) and the result in his case would be as shown below:—

Period.	Would draw as permanent Secretary.	Will draw as Acting Secretary.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1st January to 1st April 1893	1,500	1,256	244
1st April 1893 to 1st January 1894	1,500	1,296	204
1st January to 1st April 1894	1,560	1,296	264
1st April 1894 to 1st January 1895	1,560	1,336	224
1st January to 1st April 1895	1,620	1,336	284
1st April 1895 to 1st January 1896	1,620	1,376	244
1st January to 1st April 1896	1,680	1,376	304
1st April 1896 to 1st January 1897	1,680	1,416	264
1st January to 1st April 1897	1,740	1,416	324
1st April 1897 to 1st January 1898	1,740	1,456	284
1st January to 1st April 1898	1,800	1,456	344

7. The salaries at present drawn by Messrs. Hammick and Bradley are Rupees 2,316—10—8 and Rs. 2,256—10—8 *per mensem*, respectively; and the latter will be entitled to a final increment of Rs. 60 on the 16th April next. Were they appointed permanent Sub-Collectors continuing to act as Collectors, their emoluments would be Rs. 1,994—7—1 *per mensem*. His Excellency the Governor in Council is, I am to say, averse to inflicting monthly losses of Rs. 322 and Rs. 262 on these officers by an immediate change, even if that were possible, and considers the proposal put forward in paragraph 4 above would, under all the circumstances of the case, be more equitable to all concerned.

8. I am to request the sanction of the Government of India to this proposal.

C.M.S.—16-1-93.

C.A.G.—19-1-93.

[Paragraph 3.] The papers about the Registrarship, High Court, are not, I think, quite complete. There was a limitation or a stipulation arrived at to the effect that deputation of the Registrar for a period not exceeding six months should be tolerated before vacancy should be deemed to accrue. This is only fair and proper, for it is obvious that the changes of suitable appointments as Acting Collector and as *pucca* Sub-Collector are not likely to occur together; and some such condition should be stated. The draft does not show the amount of loss which would be inflicted on Mr. Hammick and Mr. Bradley sufficiently clearly, nor does it call attention to the injustice of inflicting this loss by an immediate change affecting present incumbents. The latter, however, is the basis of our proposal in order to mitigate the transition and should be impressed on the Government of India.

H. E. S[TOKEs]—22-1-93.

J. H. G[ARSTIN]—28-1-93.

W[ENLOCK]—31-1-93.

For the Chief Secretary—

With reference to the note of the Hon'ble Sir H. Stokes on the accompanying draft, search has been made for papers connected with the ruling quoted by the Honourable Member, but none can be traced.

I know there is such a ruling or understanding. It may have been arrived at demi-officially with the Chief Justice. In Mr. Horsfall's own case, he left the High Court on the 21st February 1890, and he was not confirmed as Principal Assistant till the 29th December 1890. Till the latter date Mr. Foster was Acting Registrar.

H.E.S.—6-2-93.

It will be seen from the concluding words of paragraph 1 of the High Court's letter in G.O., R. No. 1317, Judicial, dated 16th December 1890, that the only ruling referred to on that occasion, when the question of Mr. Horsfall's vacating the Registrarship was raised, was the Secretary of State despatch in G.O., Nos. 1174-75, dated 8th June 1878.

A new paragraph 7 is submitted for approval with reference to the instructions to show the loss which would be incurred by Messrs. Hammick and Bradley more clearly. Paragraph 3 has also been modified.

C.M.S.—4-2-93.

Hon'ble Sir H. Stokes, K.C.S.I.

„ Mr. Garstin, C.S.I.

C. A. GALTON—6-2-93.

In Mr. Bradley's case the deductions must be limited so that they don't reduce his salary below the Rs. 1,994—7—1 which he is entitled to as a Sub-Collector acting as Collector. At two periods of the scale given in the draft, viz., between January and April 1897 and January and April 1898, this would be the case as shown below:—

RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
2,316	10	8	2,316	10	8
324	0	0	344	0	0
1,992	10	8	1,972	10	8

The draft must be altered to meet these cases though they will probably not arise as Mr. Bradley will be a *pucca* Collector or Judge probably before 1897 or 1898. Then will arise the difficulty of his falling to a second-grade Collector's or Judge's allowance. This can't be helped.

J. H. G[ARSTIN]—8-2-93.

The difficulty will not arise. There are only seven men between Mr. Bradley and *pucca* Collectorate, and as *pucca* Collector even in the second grade he will draw Rs. 2,316—10—8, as he is sure to be acting first grade. In fact he is now acting first grade, there being five Acting Collectors and Judges below him. However, I don't object to the addition proposed.

H. E. S[TOKEs].

For the Chief Secretary—

An addition has been made in [] to paragraph 4 of the draft letter with reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Garstin's remark.

C.M.S.—8-2-93.

Hon'ble Sir H. Stokes, K.C.S.I.

„ Mr. Garstin, C.S.I.

C.A.G.—10-2-93.

Seen.

H.E.S.—10-2-93.

H.G.—10-2-93.

The letter may issue?

C.M.S.—11-2-93.

Yes.

C.A.G.

[Letter No. 117, Public, dated 11th February 1893.]

If he continues to act in his present acting appointment for the next five years his salary will be—

From 1st October 1893	..	..	..	..	Rs. 1,280 + 300 = 1,580
„ 1st „ 1894	..	..	..	..	„ 1,320 + 300 = 1,620
„ 1st „ 1895	..	..	..	..	„ 1,360 + 300 = 1,660
„ 1st „ 1896	..	..	..	..	„ 1,400 + 300 = 1,700
„ 1st „ 1897	..	..	..	..	„ 1,400 + 300 = 1,700

If he be confirmed in his present acting appointment, say, on 1st January 1893, his pay for the next five years will be—

						RS.
1st January 1893	..	..	..	..	..	1,540
1st July 1893	..	..	..	..	..	1,560
1st „ 1894	..	..	..	..	..	1,620
1st „ 1895	..	..	..	..	..	1,680
1st „ 1896	..	..	..	..	..	1,740
1st „ 1897	..	..	..	..	..	1,830

(2) Mr. Horne's substantive appointment is Head Assistant Collector, South Arcot, the pay of which is Rs. 768-5-4, and his acting appointment, Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt—the pay of which is Rs. 1,500-60-1,800.

						RS.	A.	P.
His present salary is—								
Substantive pay	..	..	..	..	..	768	5	4
Acting allowance	..	..	..	..	..	487	12	5
Total	..	..	..	..	..	1,256	1	9

If he continues to act in his present acting appointment for the next five years his salary will be (1st April 1893 when he completes one year's acting service)—

						RS.	A.	P.
1st April 1893	..	..	..	..	..	Rs. 768 5 4 + 527 12 5 = 1,296	1	9
1st „ 1894	..	..	..	..	..	„ 768 5 4 + 567 12 5 = 1,336	1	9
1st „ 1895	..	..	..	..	..	„ 768 5 4 + 607 12 5 = 1,376	1	9
1st „ 1896	..	..	..	..	..	„ 768 5 4 + 647 12 5 = 1,416	1	9
1st „ 1897	..	..	..	..	..	„ 768 5 4 + 687 12 5 = 1,456	1	9

If he be confirmed his pay will be—

						RS.
1st January 1893	..	..	..	..	..	1,500
1st „ 1894	..	..	..	..	..	1,560
1st „ 1895	..	..	..	..	..	1,620
1st „ 1896	..	..	..	..	..	1,680
1st „ 1897	..	..	..	..	..	1,740

I return Meyer's letter.

Demi-official from C. F. MacCARTIE, Esq., I.C.S., Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th January 1893.

The enclosures now returned relate to a request orally preferred by Horne on account of himself and Meyer to be confirmed in their appointments in which they are acting, Hammick and Bradley being ousted and made Sub-Collectors. His Excellency the Governor will bring it up in Council. I told him I thought Horne's proposal would have an injurious effect on promotion all down the line by turning out two *pucca* Sub-Collectors and 2 *pucca* Head Assistant Collectors, merely to give him and Meyer a few more rupees. Both have had very quick promotion and have no cause to grumble.

Order in Council.

Letter to India suggesting that effect should be given to the arrangement that the Secretaries to the Board in Land Revenue and Salt departments should draw the pay of the appointment only so long as they do the work and shall revert to the ordinary line when they cease to do so, and that as Messrs. Hammick and Bradley cannot be provided for immediately in the ordinary line they should be permitted to continue to draw the difference between what Messrs. Meyer and Horne will be entitled to as *pucca* Secretaries and the emoluments which they are entitled to under present arrangement—same rule as in the High Court as to holding the Secretaries' appointment for six months.

C. A. GALTON—10-1-93.

Draft letter to the Government of India is submitted. As regards Mr. Bradley [paragraph 6 of the draft letter] it may be observed

	Per mensem.
	RS.
that on and after 16th April next he will, so long as he holds his present substantive appointment, draw	2,316
as a permanent Sub-Collector acting as Collector he would draw	1,994
Difference	322

From January to April 1897, from January to April 1898 and after the latter date he would therefore, under the proposed arrangement, draw less than if he were a *pucca* Sub-Collector acting as Collector.

C. M. SCHMIDT—16-1-93.

H.M. and circulate—

C. A. GALTON—19-1-93.

Draft letter to the Government of India.

I am directed to address you with reference to the appointments of Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue and Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkari Revenue in this Presidency.

2. The pay of both these appointments is Rs. 1,500 per mensem rising to Rupees 1,800 by annual increments of Rs. 60, but the permanent incumbents have, owing to their standing in the service, been for some time past acting as Collectors. The result of this is that the acting salary of these officers being calculated on their permanent pay which is higher than that of a Sub-Collector (Rs. 1,166-10-8), they draw more than their contemporaries in the service, whilst the officers who are officiating in the appointments in question are deprived of the full pay attached to them.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council considers this arrangement an unsatisfactory one and proposes to rule that in future the Secretaries to the Board of Revenue in the Land Revenue and Salt departments shall draw the pay attached to these posts only so long as they do the work and shall revert to the ordinary line when their actual connection with the Board ceases. [As however the chances of suitable appointments as Acting Collector and as permanent Sub-Collector are not likely to occur together, deputations of Secretaries for a period not exceeding six months should, it is considered, be permitted before a vacancy is deemed to accrue.] This arrangement is in accordance with the views expressed by the Government of India on the subject in Sir E. Buck's

letter of 24th February 1892, No. 257-F., G.O., Nos. 332, 333, Revenue, dated 5th April 1892. and is similar to the practice that now obtains in regard to the Registrar of the High Court.

4. It is however impossible immediately to provide posts in the regular line for the permanent incumbents of these two offices and, as the most equitable arrangement to all the officers concerned, this Government proposes to permit the acting incumbents to draw, until confirmed or otherwise provided for, the difference between their present emoluments and what they would be entitled to as permanent Secretaries, this sum being deducted from the salary of the permanent Secretaries in their officiating appointments [subject to the proviso that in no case shall these reduced emoluments be less than the salary of a permanent Sub-Collector acting as Collector or Judge.]

5. The present Acting Secretary in the Land Revenue Department holds a substantive appointment carrying a pay of Rs. 1,200--40--1,400. The result of the arrangement proposed in the last paragraph in his case is shown in the following table:—

Period.	Would draw as permanent Secretary.	Will draw as Acting Secretary.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1st January to 1st July 1893	1,540	1,540	Nil.
1st July to 1st October 1893	1,660	1,540	— 20
1st October 1893 to 1st July 1894	1,660	1,580	— 20
1st July to 1st October 1894	1,620	1,580	— 40
1st October 1894 to 1st July 1895	1,620	1,620	Nil.
1st July to 1st October 1895	1,680	1,620	— 60
1st October 1895 to 1st July 1896	1,680	1,680	— 20
1st July to 1st October 1896	1,740	1,680	— 80
1st October 1896 to 1st July 1897	1,740	1,700	— 40
1st July to 1st October 1897	1,800	1,700	— 100
1st October 1897 to 1st July 1898	1,800	1,700	— 100

6. The present Acting Secretary in the Salt and Abkari Department holds the substantive appointment of Head Assistant Collector (pay Rs. 768-5-4) and the result in his case would be as shown below:—

Period.	Would draw as permanent Secretary.	Will draw as Acting Secretary.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1st January to 1st April 1893	1,500	1,256	244
1st April 1893 to 1st January 1894	1,500	1,296	204
1st January to 1st April 1894	1,560	1,296	264
1st April 1894 to 1st January 1895	1,560	1,336	224
1st January to 1st April 1895	1,620	1,336	284
1st April 1895 to 1st January 1896	1,620	1,376	244
1st January to 1st April 1896	1,680	1,376	304
1st April 1896 to 1st January 1897	1,680	1,416	264
1st January to 1st April 1897	1,740	1,416	324
1st April 1897 to 1st January 1898	1,740	1,456	284
1st January to 1st April 1898	1,800	1,456	344

7. The salaries at present drawn by Messrs. Hammick and Bradley are Rupees 2,316-10-8 and Rs. 2,256-10-8 per mensem respectively, and the latter will be entitled to a final increment of Rs. 60 on the 16th April next. Were they appointed permanent Sub-Collectors continuing to act as Collectors, their emoluments would be Rs. 1,994-7-1 per mensem. His Excellency the Governor in Council is, I am to say, averse to inflicting monthly losses of Rs. 322 and Rs. 262 on these officers by an immediate change, even if that were possible, and considers the proposal put forward in paragraph 4 above would, under all the circumstances of the case, be more equitable to all concerned.

8. I am to request the sanction of the Government of India to this proposal.

From J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 26th April 1893, No. 1765-Ex.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 117, dated the 11th February 1893, proposing (1) to rule that the permanent Secretaries to the Madras Board of Revenue in the Land Revenue and Salt Departments if they vacate the appointment of Secretary to officiate in another appointment shall not be allowed to carry their substantive Secretariat pay with them for more than six months, and (2) that in the case of the present Secretaries, Messrs. Bradley and Hammick, who are acting as Collectors the proposed rule be not enforced, but that they be allowed to retain their

substantive Secretariat pay until they are confirmed as Collectors, their acting allowances, however, being reduced by an amount sufficient to make up the salary of the Acting Secretaries to the substantive pay of the appointments.

2. In reply, I am directed to say, with reference to the first proposal, that it does not seem to the Governor-General in Council that the present arrangement involves any very great hardship or inconvenience. It is true that the Acting Secretaries are receiving less than they would receive if they were appointed substantively, but it may be said on the other hand that the present substantive Secretaries were probably at one time Acting Secretaries and received less than the full pay of the appointments, and that the present Acting Secretaries will no doubt act hereafter as Collectors and will then receive increased emoluments in compensation for their reduced salaries at the present time.

3. If, however, His Excellency the Governor in Council still desires to change the present arrangement which is considered an unsatisfactory one, the Governor-General in Council has no objection to a rule being laid down to the effect that when a substantive incumbent of either of the appointments in question is appointed to act in another appointment, the earliest opportunity should be taken to transfer him to a suitable post in the regular line.

4. With reference to the second proposal, I am to say that, while agreeing with the Government of Madras that the present substantive Secretaries should not be made subject to the new rule proposed, the Governor-General in Council regrets that he is unable to recommend to the Secretary of State the proposal to deduct a portion of the salary of the substantive Secretaries and add it to the salaries of the Acting Secretaries. The proposal would involve a very inconvenient precedent and appears unnecessary in view of the remarks made in paragraph 2 of this letter. The new rule might be made a condition of appointment of the next permanent incumbents of these offices.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras.

(Signed) J. F. FINLAY,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ORDER—dated 31st May 1893, No. 429, Public.

Recorded.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that in future whenever a substantive incumbent of either of the appointments of Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue or Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue is deputed to act in another appointment, the earliest opportunity shall be taken to provide him with a suitable permanent post in the regular line.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
" Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" " (Separate Revenue).
" Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Moses.

D. 11-2-93

NP

31st MAY 1893, No. 429, PUBLIC.

Appl. of Secretaries in
the Board

Circulate
Print

Resubmitted to H. E. the
Governor who does not appear
to have seen the paper

CS
25/5

2575793

Office
Despatch but
show me how
please
31/5
Print statement affirmed
by C. A.
12/6
10/6/93

12/5
12/5
R 20/4

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

Letter from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, dated Fort St. George, 11th February 1893, No. 117.

I am directed to address you with reference to the appointments of Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue and Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkari Revenue in this Presidency.

2. The pay of both these appointments is Rs. 1,500 per mensem rising to Rs. 1,800 by annual increments of Rs. 60, but the permanent incumbents have, owing to their standing in the service, been for some time past acting as Collectors. The result of this is that, the acting salary of these officers being calculated on their permanent pay which is higher than that of a Sub-Collector (Rs. 1,166-10-8), they draw more than their contemporaries in the service, whilst the officers who are officiating in the appointments in question are deprived of the full pay attached to them.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council considers this arrangement an unsatisfactory one and proposes to rule that in future the Secretaries to the Board of Revenue in the Land Revenue and Salt Departments shall draw the pay attached to these posts only so long as they do the work and shall revert to the ordinary line when their actual connection with the Board ceases. As, however, the chances of suitable appointments as Acting Collector and as permanent Sub-Collector are not likely to occur together, deputation of the Secretaries for a period not exceeding six months should, it is considered, be permitted before a vacancy is deemed to accrue. This arrangement is in accordance with the views expressed by the Government of India on the subject in Sir E. Buck's letter, dated 24th February 1892, No. 257 F., and is similar to the practice that now obtains in regard to the Registrar of the High Court.

4. It is, however, impossible immediately to provide posts in the regular line for the existing permanent incumbents of these two offices and as it would be hard upon these officers to apply to them a new rule which, as will appear below, involves a very heavy reduction of their salaries, this Government proposes to permit the acting incumbents to draw, until confirmed or otherwise provided for, the difference between their present emoluments and what they would be entitled to as permanent Secretaries, this sum being deducted from the total emoluments now drawn by the permanent Secretaries in their officiating appointments subject to the proviso that in no case shall these reduced emoluments be less than the salary of a permanent Sub-Collector acting as Collector or Judge.

12

13

Read Jo. 117
11.2.93. *Public Dept*
C. No 1268. *See only*

Order, 31 May/93. No 429.
Recorded.

seen
also
copy

W
26 May

Hf
20/5/93

2. H. E. the Gov. in Council ~~is~~ ^{in future} ~~planned to~~ direct that ^{whenever}
a substantive incumbent of either
of the appointments of Secretary
to the Commissioners of Land
Revenue or Secretary to the
Commr. of Salt, Athari, &
Separate Revenue, is ^{deputed} appointed
to act in another appnt, the earliest
opportunity shall be taken to
transfer him to a suitable post
in the regular line]

[From Order]
Hf
17/5/93

CS
16/5

For Order.

Instead of the words in brackets
would it not be better to say
{provide him with a suitable
permanent post in the regular
line}?

CS.

This is what is meant.
but better expressed.

Also
I think so too.
W
26 May

Revenue Dept. 7
Board of Rev (Land Rev) 2
" " (Sep. Rev) 6
Act. Genl. 6
slipped
14-6-93

Does this mean that in the event of one of these Secretaries acting as Collector or Judge, the suitable post to which he should be transferred, on the earliest opportunity, in the regular line, is the one which he would naturally be in, if he had never been Secretary? e.g. That Hambrick & Bradley would become pro Sub-Collectors - acting Collectors? W

Note

This is believed to be the proper construction to be placed on the words.
H.S.
C.

Office, the reply to us (in the Finance Dept.) that the arrangement embodied does not seem to involve any very great hardship or inconvenience and they propose a rule for an adoption to the effect that whenever the incumbent of either of these 2 offrs. is offr. to action another offr. the earliest opportunity shall be taken to transfer him to a suitable post in the regular line.
I consider we should adopt this suggestion & make such a rule, because it will have 2 good effects. It will tend to make these officers shy of obtaining for acting Collector or Judge & so retain them in the 1st offr. till they can be offd. from month to month to the higher grades of offr.; & secondly it will make itself felt in the case of the gentleman who has put so much trouble & anxiety to the Govt. if he goes to a lower post 11/5/90 H.S.
a just

This second letter from India is rather curious - when read along with the other (in the Rev. & Agr. Dept.), as they will not help us in the matter, I am willing to adopt a rule as they propose. In the hope that it may prevent any recurrence of anomalous & inequitable arrangements now existing; believing this rule to operate as I suppose - W
1. supra. 12 May

Pub Dept

Recommending
No 1765, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000

From

The Govt of India
Finance & Commerce Dept

No 1765

Dated 26th April
Recd 2nd May

Subject

Regarding the ~~Salaries~~ of the
Secretaries to the Board
of Rev: [L.R. and Salt Dept]

Circulated

For orders whether the
rule referred to in para 3 of
India's letter should be
introduced

C.S.
3/5

HP
4/5/93

I agree fully with para 2 of the Govt
of India letter, & in view of the
of the proposal to disturb the present
arrangements, though I yielded to the
views of my honourable colleagues
in the matter.

Having regard to the opinion now
expressed by the Govt of India I would
not make any other ~~arrangements~~.
either as to present or future in connection

U.S.
May

I disagree. The Govt of India in
the letter of 24/2/92 (No. 2577) expressed the view
that Mr. Hambrick's acting as Col. &
during 200, Mr. Hambrick's acting as Col. &
his permanent appointment is that of Col. to the
Bt. for "something very like an abn."

"of the acting allowance rules". I proposed
that the arrangement might be
obviated - I am very possible
that this letter from the Govt of India
may have suggested to Mr. Hambrick the
action which he subsequently took.

At any rate that we address the
Govt of India in the sense of their
on letter pointing out how Mr.
Hambrick & Mr. Hambrick's
allowance of which he received
the amount of about Rs. 8000
is acting for them in the Bt.

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH REMARKS.

Note for the C.S.

DO H.L. & the Hon. Sir H. Stokes agree
the amended passage? Sir H. Stokes
marks might be read either way

C.S.
27/5

rule might be made a condition of ap-
pointment of the next permanent incum-
bents of these offices.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. M. M. M.
Secretary to the Govt of India.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General,
Madras.

one, the Governor General in Council has
no objection to a rule being laid down to
the effect that when a substantive incumbent
of either of the appointments in question is
appointed to act in another appointment, the
earliest opportunity should be taken to
transfer him to a suitable post in the
regular line.

4. With reference to the second proposal,
I am to say that while agreeing with the
Government of Madras that the present
substantive Secretaries should not be made
subject to the new rule proposed, the Governor
General in Council regrets that he is
unable to recommend to the Secretary
of State the proposal to deduct a portion
of the salary of the substantive Secretaries
and add it to the salaries of the acting
Secretaries. The proposal would involve
a very inconvenient precedent and appears
unnecessary in view of the remarks made
in paragraph 2 of this letter. The new

3
however being reduced by an amount sufficient to make up the salary of the acting secretaries to the substantive pay of the appointments.

2. In reply, I am directed to say, with reference to the first proposal, that it does not seem to the Governor General in Council, that the present arrangement involves any very great hardship or inconvenience. It is true that the acting Secretaries are receiving less than they would receive if they were appointed substantively, but it may be said on the other hand that the present substantive Secretaries were probably at one time acting Secretaries and received less than the full pay of the appointments, and that the present acting Secretaries will, no doubt act hereafter as collectors and will then receive increased emoluments in compensation for their reduced salaries at the present time.

3. If however His Excellency the Governor in Council still desires to change the present arrangement which is considered an unsatisfactory

me

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous
Routine

No. 429, dated 31-5-1903

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
13-5-05	Police				7/5/05	
24-11-10	In. R. C.	24261	10		26-4/11	
5/12/12	Q. R. C.	45731			25/2/13	