

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	17-12-92
No.	880.

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 17/12

Copied

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving with remarks
the form of reports on
Civil Hospitals, worked
under the dispensary rules
to be submitted by Dist.
Surgeons—

No.

From

Dated

189 ,

FOR ORDERS.

The ^{draft} review on the report on Hospitals and Dispensaries is submitted. It is much behind hand. It reached the Office only on the 15th inst. and I make sure that Statement V contained no blunders. I had it checked by Madras.

The English fault excuse for being the delay is it is submitted not a bolder one. If he had looked after that report himself it might have been in long ago.

The report is carefully written and no care seems to have been taken to correct the proof.

No care appears to have been taken in drawing up the brief reports on the different districts. They are based upon no one model. It is submitted that they in future should all contain similar information.

X
30 Aug.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

2509

OF 1894

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 3419

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

From

THE SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras.

No.

781

Dated 3

December 1892.

Received

Regd 5

Encls. one.

No.

UNDER SECRETARY
1892

SUBJECT.

Submitting for approval
of Govt. a skeleton form of the
Reports which should, in future, be
prepared & submitted by the
District Surgeons.

order 17 Dec 92 J. P. P. O.

[Submitted for order]
The form is approved but the in-
formation referred to in VIII is un-
necessary, particularly the concluding
portion. The heading should be
the [something] perhaps remain
[the] general remarks. But I prefer
stating being told to make under the
anything. That they may wish to
say which has not been touched
upon under previous heads in
the report.

13 Dec.

from order 17

25/12/17/12

DESPATCHED

19 DEC 92

IN M.S.

Surg. Genl.
with enclosure

17/12

WITH ORDER

14/12
R. 17/12

CURRENT No. 3647

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

No. 781

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 3 December 1892.

From: Surgeon Major Genl: H. F. de Figueiredo M.D.

~~THE~~ SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

SIR,

In compliance with the Public Dep^t
Memorandum N^o 1538 dated 28th September/92
I have the honor to submit for the approval
of Government a skeleton form of the
reports which should in future be prepared
and submitted by District Surgeons.

2. I request the favor of early orders being
passed on this letter to admit of timely
instructions being issued to District Medical
Officers for the use of the new form when
submitting their reports for 1892.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. F. de Figueiredo Surg.
Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

Memo.

Issued from District Medical Officer
28/12

Public Dept

Memo. of 28 Sep 92, No. 1538

Copied
30/9

It is observed that the brief reports on the different districts embodied in the Surgeon General's report for 1891 on Civil Hospitals worked under dispensary rules are not based upon any one model. It is desirable that they should be in future, in view to their containing ^{which have the common} similar information. The Surgeon General is requested to submit for approval a skeleton form of report suitable for all districts.

J.B.
26/9

S
27 Sep

DESPATCHED

300192

IN M.S.

To The Surg. Genl.

Copied
30/9

Note.

this was with the file - it appeared to have escaped from the C. B. room.

J.B.

28/9