

Recd
8/12

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	5-12-92
No.	0046.

When given for copying. 5/11/92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Making order on
explanation of the
single full with
reference to the order
renewing the dis-
pensary report for
1891—

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras, 10th Novr 1892.No. 731

From

SURGEON MAJOR-GENERAL W. F. DEFABECK, M.D.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Government*
Public Department.

SIR,

In continuation of my No 682 of 22/10/92 dated 11th ultimus, I have the honor to observe with reference to the remarks of Government in para. 8 of their Review order dated 1st September 1892 No 610 611 Public that as the information regarding the class of dispensaries is given against each dispensary in Statement II of the Annual Returns, I did not deem it necessary to repeat it in the financial Statements II as was done in last year and V Part I as now suggested by Government. Should however such repetition be considered desirable, it will be complied with in future Reports, but this question largely depends on the decision of Government on my letter No 698 of the 18th Oct 92. As desired by Government the Charge allowance will in future be

Shown in a foot note.

3. Government observes that "Baran-
balore Dispensary sold securities for Rs.
1328 and received Rs 74 as interest, but
invested nothing" I beg to refer Govern-
ment to the foot note in page 137 of the
report, and would enquire if the President
of a District Board is free to dispose
in part or wholly, of the amount
endowed by private charity for purposes
other than those contemplated by the
original donors.

4. With reference to the foot note
on page 121, which the Government Con-
siders unintelligible, I beg to submit
the following explanation. In the Financial
Statement appended to the Annual Report
for the Black Town Dispensary a sum
of Rs 204-11-0 was shown under Govern-
ment contribution towards the cost of
European medicines supplied to the Dis-
pensary; but subsequently in reply to a
reference from this Office, the Surgeon
District reported that this amount
represented the receipts from the Municipality
and not from Government. As it was too
late to make any alterations in the body
of the Statement, the item was allowed
to stand and the correction made in
a foot note. The statistics of the District

Para 2 p. 60-1
1-7-90

Dispensary were received too late in the year
for incorporation in the Report. The
Secretary of the Free Church Mission Dispensary
has promised to furnish his report this
year.

5. As regards the remark by Govern-
ment that "the necessity for this column
is not apparent" it is not quite clear
to which column reference is made, the
remark coming immediately after the
correction pointed out in Column 5,
it would naturally be inferred that
Column 5 is meant, but the drift of the
remark points to Column (9); I presume
this then is the one referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt servant

W. H. Blackman Secy. G.
J. H. Surgeon General
with Govt of Madras

W

DEPARTMENT NO. 3214

Public (1892) DEPARTMENT.

From

SURG. MAJOR-GEN. W. F. DEFABECK, M.D.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,


Madras,

No. 931

Dated

Recd.

10th November 1892



Subject.

Submitting explanation
of certain points noticed in
the review order of 1st Sept.
1892 Nos: 610-11. Public.

11/10/92 No 682 Dec
1/9/92 No 610/11 - u
22/10/92 No 30. Dec
7/11/92 No 772/11 Dec

Public Current 3214

Order 5 Dec '92 N° 846

*G.O. d/ 7. 11. 92. cV° 772.

Orders on the S. G's letter
N° 698 of the 18th Oct. have
already issued. Para. 3 of
the letter under disposal will
be transferred to the L. coll.
dept.

2. The Surgeon General
has not explained the words
"and the Provincial contrib-
ution still undrawn" in
the foot note on page 101 of
the report. Explanatory foot
notes should not require
explanation.

3. With reference to
para 5 it is observed that
the remark in para 8 of
G.O. d/ 1. 9. 92, N° 610, regard-
ing column (g) terminates
with the words "invested
nothing". The portion of
that para. quoted by the S.
G. immediately follows a
reference to column 5 of
Statement V, Part I, and
clearly adverts to that
column - viz "cash balance
in hand" &c

WLS
3 Dec

To the S. G. } despatched
"L. coll. dept." } 7/11/92

B.

30 Nov