

[71]

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 29-11-92
No. 826.

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 29/11

[Despatch Abstract.]

Investing that the
service of the wretched
at the Amasavate steppe
be dispensed with & the
Collector to instruct
the village authorities
to prevent the remain-
ing stone being cho-
tched —

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

despd
30/11/92

J V H P

cpw
29
11

A A

Purport of both the current
forwarding copy of a letter
from the Collr of Kistna +
submitting ~~is~~ a refer
re the markers left at the
Amaravati stupa.

Public (1892) Sept

CURRENT NO. 2963

From

The Superintendent
Archaeological Survey
Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Fort. St. George.

Dated 28th October
Despatched 28th 1892.
Received 31st 1892.

No. 399

Enclosure sub.

Subject

Submits remarks on
the markers still
remaining at the
Amaravati stupa.

31/10/92 20753 Order
21552

C. Nos 2576 + 2963.

Order 29 Nov 92 N 826

B The Govt: does not
consider the retention of
the watchmen's services
necessary. They should
be dispensed with. The
stones &c will be left as
they are. The collector
will issue instructions
to the village authorities
to prevent their being
disturbed.

/from orders/

28th

30B
22/11

Supt. arch. survey. &
P. W. Dept
Collr. of Kistna.
despd
30/11/92
cpw
29
11

Ret.
So. not controlled.
I don't know
any more
8/11/92
ORDER
5/11/92

to remove them, as a sufficiency of complete rail panels had been sent to Madras.

3- If some sort of watch is not kept, it is almost certain that these stones and the brick walls will be gradually broken up and removed. In connection with the watching, there also remains the question as to what Government decides regarding the slabs, which, till now, have been built in the masonry of some adjoining tanks, as referred to in paragraph 4 of my letter No 364 of the 28th September 1892, and which could not previously be taken into consideration, as there seemed no possibility of their even being removed.

I have the honour to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Ma
Superintendent.

Archaeological Survey, Madras.

Bangalore, 28th October 1892.

CURRENT NO. 2963.

No 399.

From

A. Rea, Esquire, M. R. A. S.,

Superintendent,

Archaeological Survey, Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary

to the Government of

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum in the
Public Department No 1671, dated 25th
October 1892, I have the honour to report
as follows. —

2. The stones are not worth removing,
for several reasons. The few large slabs
yet remaining, are interesting in
themselves, and as they stand in position,
they indicate to some extent, what the
plan has been. It is not desirable to
remove them, on account of the expense
which would be necessary in bringing
up mechanical appliances for the
purpose. Of the fragments, a good many
are carved portions of rail panels.
It would be a pity if they were des-
troyed, yet it was not necessary

Public Current 2963.

Submitted with

reference to the Ins's remark
on the draft order in the file.
Definite orders might at
the same time be passed in
regard to items 58 & 59 at
page 7 of G.O. 31.10.92, No. 753,
vide also page 3 of d.o. -
18 Nov.

It is submitted that
Mr. Rea's proposals may
be sanctioned.

This seems hardly worth while. Mr.
Rea does not make out a particularly
strong case.

18 Nov.

The watchman - I suppose there
is one. - should be dispensed
with, & the stones left as they
are with instructions to the
village officers to prevent their
being disturbed.

16 Nov

20 Nov

CURRENT 110, 20/11

Public (1892) Dept

From,

The Supt.

Archaeological Survey,
Madras

No. 356

Dated 26th

Recd 29th

Repd 30th

Sept 92

See: 1

Subject

Submitting copy of a letter
received from the collector of
Kistna regarding the marbles
yet remaining at Amaravati.

W Ref.

6/4/91 No. 264 No

28/5/91 No. 417 -

13/6/91 No. 465 -

24/6/91 No. 504 Incl

30/6/91 No. 524 Park

13/1/90 No. 79 -

Ref. 08/25 Oct. 92, No. 1671

As the marbles left at
the site are said to be
not worth removing
the Supt. Arch. Survey
is requested to report
what necessity there is
for their being watched,
and for the shed being
kept up.

/from orders/

75/3.
22/10

24 Oct -

To

The Supt., Arch. Survey. Desptd
26/11

Archaeological Survey, Madras.
Camp Kumbakonam
26th September 1892.

No 356. CURRENT NO. 2576

From

A. R. Esquire, M. R. A. S.,

SUPERINTENDENT,
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit a copy of a letter received from the Collector of Kistna regarding the marbles yet remaining at Amaravati.

2. As stated in paragraph 1, the most important and valuable of them have been removed. Those still left at the stupas are not worth removing. They are principally broken fragments, uncarved stones, and a few which are too large for removal. Considering the importance of the site and the fact that traces of buildings yet remain, I think that these stones should be allowed to lie where they are.

3. The proposal to repair the watch-tower might be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. R.

SUPERINTENDENT,
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

1892.

From

The Collector

Kistna Dt.

To

The Superintendent
of Archaeological Survey

Dated 31 August 1892

Desp. 8-9-92.

Recd. 18. 9. 92.

Enclosures.

No. 7367

Subject.

Submitting copy of letter
No. 994 from the Sd. Sub
Collector Kistna Dt. and
requesting him to inform
whether the 250 slabs still
left at Amaravati are
required by his Depart-
ment or may be disposed
of by sale.

1002
Sub-Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To
C. No. 2576.

True copy of letter No. 994, from
20-8-92

J. E. H. Wedderburn Esquire, Ag. sub collector, Kistna District, to the collector, Kistna District.

With reference to your letter No. 5445, dated 28-6-92,

I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Rea removed the more important of the marble slabs at Amarawati to Madras, and that there are about 250 left without any special watch: these may disappear before long. The Schielder consequently recommends the repair of the shed.

2. If Mr. Rea does not want them and thinks their reservation unnecessary, they may be removed to Bezwada.

No. 7367
8-9-92

Forwarded to the Superintendent of Archaeological Survey with the request that he will please inform the undersigned whether the 250 slabs still left at Amarawati are required by his Department or whether they may be disposed of by sale if of no archaeological or other value.

The Sub Collector requests sanction for Rs 13-5-0. for the repairs of the watcher's shed to look after the slabs in question.

Kistna Collectorate } (Signed) C. Venkatachary
Maulipatnam }
31 August 1892. } For Ag. Sub Collector in Charge.

201 N

True copy

A. V. C.

Enclosure
No. 386
1

Public Dept.

Current 2576.

Order.

The remaining mar-
bles should be left where
they are. The watchman's
shed should be repaired.
The P. W. D. will be request-
ed to provide the amount
(Rs. 13-5-0) required.

30/10.
14/10.

15/10

It seems hardly worth while as the
marbles left are said to be of no
value to go to any expense for
watching them

15/10

to think
ask why they need be watched
or the shed kept up.

16/10