

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Pullin DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 31-10-92
No. 753.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 3-11-92

Returned for signature. 11-11-92

Despatched in print. 14-11-92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Charlo
any order on letter
in the Supdt. Ar.
eological Survey
re: regarding
- emigration for
tains ancient mo-
numents in certain
districts -

READ IN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

FURTHER PAPER, No. 3666

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH PHOTO.

ORDER, GOV. OF GOVERNMENT - A.

PAPER KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR REVISION OF ORIGINAL
(not pagged)

NUMBER OF COPIES
REMARKS

Order complete 4/5/92

Letter only

Order complete with letter

N.B. -

- A = Print in full
- B = Not to be printed
- P = Print abstract only
- C = Print heading only
- R = Print, but not for monthly volume

31st OCTOBER 1892, No. 753, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Bangalore, 5th September 1892, No. 319.

I have the honour to submit particulars of reports from district officers on the condition of ancient buildings.

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna, and forwarded in original, contains mention of remains which it is desirable should be conserved. Serial No. 62 is a fort which I have not inspected, but records exist as to its historical interest. Nos. 64 to 66, 68 to 95, 97 to 100, and 102 are inscriptions which I have not seen, but their dates show something of their value, and they generally require little or no expenditure.

3. The Collector of Kistna also requests that Rs. 10 might be sanctioned for clearing the Undavalli caves (List Serial No. 14) from shrubs and weeds. The caves might also be inspected by the Executive Engineer to see if any of the pillars are likely to fall.

4. The Collector of Godáviri reports that the old fort of Peddapur is in a dilapidated state and dangerous to the public. About eight cart-loads of beams are stated to have fallen, and it is proposed to sell these. Some of the rooms are stated to contain some good carved woodwork. Sanction is requested for a sum of Rs. 25 to block up a dangerous gateway and open another for the use of those who cultivate the land within the fort. Perhaps this sum might be recovered on the sale of the beams. It is further recommended that a sum of Rs. 100 might be sanctioned for repairing the rooms which are interesting on account of their carving. As the fort is not in the revised list and has not been seen by me, this latter proposal might stand over till I have had an opportunity of seeing the building, which I hope to do when I am in the district next field season.

5. The Collector of Madura states that items in the list Nos. 41, 42 and 43 are out of repair. Repairs to the temple at Kovilpati (No. 74) are reported to be necessary, but orders have lately been issued with respect to this building. (G.O., dated 7th July 1892, No. 477 P.)

6. The Collector of Salem reports that the fort at Krishnagiri (No. 86) is in fair condition, but the stones are said to be giving way in parts.

7. The following list of buildings at Vizianagrum has been sent by the Collector of Bellary. They are not in the revised list of monuments, but have been inspected by me; they are buildings worthy of being preserved. The work proposed need not be expensive, as it is principally the removal of vegetation and rubbish :—

No.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Monument in charge of Government or private bodies.
1	Bellary..	Hospet..	Vizianagrum ..	Sasuvakalu Ganapati's temple.	Deserted. Vegetation should be prevented from taking root and rubbish cleared out.
2	Do. ..	Do. ..	Kamalapuram ..	Chendrasakhara Muttum.	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
3	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Chikkhuda	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
4	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Ontigoda padasala ..	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out.
5	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Entrance to underground passage.	Requires trees to be cut and some rubbish to be cleared out.
6	Do. ..	Do. ..	Kadarampur ..	Uddana Verabhadra's temple.	In good condition, no repair required.
7	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Chendikaswaswamy temple.	Requires rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
8	Do. ..	Do. ..	Venkatapur ..	Verabhadra's temple on Mathunga.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
9	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Vyasaraya Muttum ..	Requires rubbish to be removed and scattered stones heaped up.
10	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Dasakula temple with Bazaar in front of it.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.
11	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Bazaar in front of Vithalawamy temple.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.

8. I would propose that the temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal, in the Arcot taluk of the North Arcot district, and brought to notice by the Sub-Collector, be preserved. The temple of Joligaganadhesvara at the former place is a deserted Chola temple in fair preservation with some fine sculpture and carving. Large panels on the walls are covered with clear and legible Chola inscriptions. These are worthy the attention of the Government Epigraphist. All the preservation required is the removal of some vegetation from the walls. The Perumal temple at Ukkal is almost completely ruined, and so overgrown with prickly-pear as to be inaccessible. There are some ancient inscriptions around the base which are worthy of attention. Beyond clearing a pathway to, and removing the dense vegetation from off and around, the inscriptions, nothing more in the way of preservation need be attempted.

ENCLOSURE.

From A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Masulipatam, 28th July 1892, No. 6538.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 477, dated 10th August 1891, communicating G.O., No. 4109, Revenue, dated 18th July 1891, I have the honour to forward herewith a list, marked A, showing the ancient monuments worthy of being conserved but omitted in the revised list.

2. My Sub-Collector recommends the conservation of the monuments as per Statement B enclosed which formerly found a place in Mr. Sewell's list, but have been omitted from the list under reference. I do not know whether the omission was intentional, but it is desirable to add this list to the other and to conserve the remains as far as practicable.

Serial Number	District	Taluk	Village	Name of Monument	Reference to Volume I, Sewell's List of Antiquities, pages	Reason for conservation	Serial Number	Classification	Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
58	Kistna ..	Gudirada ..	Mandapadu Agharam ..	Two inscriptions on a stone (name not known).	..	Of some importance.	1	II.	..	..	The stone containing the inscriptions was lying in a street. As it was considered of some importance the village headman has been instructed to look after it.
59	Do. ..	Do. ..	Gudirada ..	Balised Buddhist type almost demolished, but masses of large-sized brick are left.	..	Of archaeological interest.	2	II.	..	..	In the occupation of ryots under cultivation. The village headman has been instructed to look after this.
60	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	The Jain statue ..	..	Do.	3	II.	No expenditure required.	..	A colossal figure of Buddha standing in the enclosure of a choultry. The village headman has been instructed to look after it.
61	Do. ..	Sattenapalle ..	Amaravati ..	Carved marbles in a wall near the type.	63, 64	Of very great value in all respects.	58	(a)	These might all be collected and placed inside the fence. Cost Rs. 100 as the well must be rebuilt.	..	..
62	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Carved marbles at the Sivaganga tank.	63, 64	Do.	59	(c)	These might also be removed and placed inside the fence.	..	..
63	Do. ..	Do. ..	Bellamkonda ..	The fort ..	64	All old forts are to be conserved.	62	(c)	To be placed in charge of village headman with an annual grant of Rs. 24 for clearance of vegetation.	..	..
64	Do. ..	Do. ..	Phirangipuram ..	Inscription of A.D. 1409.	65	Historical ..	64	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman.	..	..
65	Do. ..	Do. ..	Ketaram ..	Inscription of A.D. 1552.	65	Do.	65	(a)	Do.	..	..

Serial Number	District	Taluk	Village	Name of Monument	Reference to Volume I, Bowell's List of Antiquities, pages	Reason for conservation	Classification			Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals	Remarks
							7	8	9			
66	Kistna	Sattampalle	Munigodu	Both the inscriptions	65	Historical	66	II	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman.	11	12
67	Do.	Do.	Pandem	Inscriptions of A.D. 1231.	65	Do.	67	II	(b)	Stands in private land; it is cared for by the owners. In charge of temple trustees.		
68	Do.	Do.	Pedamakkens	Inscription of A.D. 1160 and 1175.	65	Do.	68	II	(b)	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.		
69	Do.	Do.	Basupalem	Inscription of A.D. 1245.	65	Do.	69	II	(c)	The stone stands in a jungle. It might be fenced round with a wall cost Rs. 5, and placed in charge of village headman.		
70	Do.	Do.	Siripuram	Inscription of A.D. 1165.	65	Historical and of much importance.	70	I	(b)	In charge of temple trustees. (1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.		
89	Do.	Guntur	Kakani or Pedakani	Inscription of A.D. 1270 on stone in front of Gopalswami and of A.D. 1518.	65	Historical	89	II	(b)	Inscriptions are in charge of temple trustees. Villagers report that there is no fund available with them. Trustees execute occasional repairs. The inscriptions require repair. Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. They have no objection to the management over to Government.		
90	Do.	Do.	Koja	Inscription at the Vishnu temple, dated A.D. 1144 and 1249.	75	Do.	90	II	(b)	Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. The inscriptions are in good order. No funds are available to keep in better state. Trustees have no objection to the inspection of these by Government officers.		
91	Do.	Do.	Mangalagiri	Inscription pillar lying near foot of steps leading to temple on hill. Inscription of A.D. 1558 by an Orissan Princess.	75	Do.	91	I	(b)	This inscription is lying flat on the ground; it should be set up on a pedestal, for which Rs. 5 at least are required.		
92	Do.	Do.	Nidumukkala	Inscription No. 1.	75	Historical	92	II	(b)	Trustees desire to keep the management of the inscription.		
									(c)	The monument is in good order and is to be placed in charge of village officers.		

Serial Number	District	Taluk	Village	Name of Monument	Reference to Volume I, Bowell's List of Antiquities, pages	Reason for conservation	Classification			Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals	Remarks
							93	94	95			
93	Do.	Do.	Prattipadu	Inscription No. 1 at the temple of Anantavaram and the inscription at the temple of Venugopalswami.	76	Do.	93	II	(b)	(1) Amount of funds available for conservation is not known. (2) Government officers belonging to Hindu religion can inspect and direct and control them. (3) Yes.		
95	Do.	Do.	Yanamadala	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 3 at the temple of Veereswarami.	77	Do.	95	II	(b)	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes. Only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.		
96	Do.	Repalle	Isandole	Inscription No. 42, A.D. 1176.	78	Four inscriptions belonging to 12th century and relating to some grants made to the temple.		II	(b)	Do.		
97	Do.	Do.	Kolathur	Inscriptions of A.D. 1318, 1309, 1202.	79	Inscriptions relating to grants made to the temple.		II	(b)	No change in the condition of the inscription.		
98	Do.	Do.	Kollur	Several inscriptions on a Vishnu and five temples relating to grants of 12th century.	79	These inscriptions relate to some grants made to the temples.		II	(b)	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.		
99	Do.	Do.	Nandivallu	Inscriptions of A.D. 1132.	79	These inscriptions belong to the period of the Gana-patis and mention their titles.		II	(b)	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.		
99	Do.	Do.	Do.	Seeta Ramaswami's temple.	79	Built by Cholas		II	(b)	Do.		
100	Do.	Do.	Nidethole	Inscription of A.D. 1132.	80	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhavana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.		II	(b)	Do.		
									(c)	No change in the condition of the inscription.		
									(c)	Subsequently repaired by the villagers. The inscription is on a stone outside the compound of the temple which is in ruins. Two inscriptions on the pillars of the inner portico of the temple. The inscriptions are worn out. Care is taken to cover them.		

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Sewell's List of Antiquities, pages.	Reason for conservation.	Serial Number.		Classification.	Suggestions for conservation of monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
							7	8				
101	Kistna	Rapalle	Vallabhi	Vide remark against Bhutipolu. An inscription here on an ancient temple.	80		II	(b)			11	12
102	Do.	Do.	Voluru	Inscriptions of A.D. 1538. The Buddhist remains.	81	Records a grant made by a private person. The stupas A and C are of great importance historically and relate to Buddhist times. They create much archaeological interest.	II	(b)			Do.	Does not require repairs. The inscription is not readable.
103	Do.	Do.	Bhutipolu	Inscriptions on the temples of Vittala, Jaganmala and Malleswara.	77		II	(a)	The mound should be strictly preserved.			Does not require repairs. This is a large Buddhist stupa or mound locally called Lanjadhha. It has recently been reopened by the Government Archaeologist; the exterior casing of brick has been exposed by the excavations; relics were found in the pit under the centre of the mound. It is possible that some marbles may still be hidden under the debris. There are three inscriptions in connection with Vittala's temple—one on the south-east pillar of the main temple. Letters are legible. Belongs to Salivahana era 1460. The 2nd is on the north-east pillar of the same main temple; and the third is on a stone in the heart of the temple. 2. The inscription is in connection with Malleswara temple, is on the gate of Gajipuram, northern side.

No. 1517.

MEMORANDUM.

In paragraph 10 of G.O., dated 9th January 1891, No. 17, the Government expressed its intention of conserving only such monuments as are unique or very ancient or possess exceptional merit as typical specimens of their style of architecture. Mr. Rea will report whether his present recommendations come within the above descriptions. His attention will, at the same time, be called to the instructions in G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

23rd September 1892.

To the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 28th September 1892, No. 364.

With reference to memorandum in the Public Department, No. 1517, dated 23rd September 1892, I have the honour to report as follows:—

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna was addressed to Government, and I was thus obliged to forward it in its entirety, although several of the remains included therein had not been inspected by me.

3. The budget for the current year was prepared before G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30, was issued, and was only calculated to meet the expenses of the ordinary survey tours of the department. A special tour through a great portion of the Kistna district and into the heart of the Godavari district to inspect these remains would probably have entailed travelling expenses beyond the present year's grant. I have made a provision for conservation tours of inspection in the Conservation budget for next year.

4. As to the items in the list of the Collector of Kistna,* a number are inscriptions whose importance I can only judge of from their dates as given in Mr. Sewell's lists. Perhaps the Government Epigraphist would be best able to decide what historical interest they possess.

Item No. 1.—Two inscriptions at Gudivada, dated S. 1165 and 1159.

Item No. 2.—Ruined Buddhist Stupa at Gudivada.—It has been inspected. The remains are very ancient, dating from or before the Christian era. The mound is included in the catalogue of mounds. No expenditure is required, nor is there likely to be any.

Item No. 3.—A Jaina Figure at Gudivada.—The image is a unique piece of work.

Items Nos. 58 and 59.—Marbles at Amaravati.—These are slabs which were taken at the demolition of the stupa and built into the masonry of a well and a tank. Their importance is undoubted. It would certainly be best to remove them to the stupa enclosure, as proposed; but if Government does not consider the expenditure advisable, they might remain where they are and the village authorities receive instructions to protect them.

Item No. 62.—Hill Fort at Bellamkonda.—As stated in my letter, I have not yet had an opportunity of inspecting it.

Items Nos. 64 to 102.—Excepting Nos. 67, 96 and 101, which are inscriptions of evidently ancient date, I have not seen the others. The dates given in the Collector's list shew the other inscriptions to be ancient historical records. The Epigraphist could decide on their value.

Item No. 103—Buddhist Stupa at Bhattiprolu.—The building, which has been partly laid bare by excavation, is very ancient, dating from the 3rd century B.C.; it is one of the oldest in India. It is unique in the relics, &c., that were found in the centre.

Item No. 104—Inscriptions at the Temples of Vittalesvara and Mallesvara at Bhattiprolu.—These date from the 11th to the 15th centuries.

* *Ib.*, paragraph 3.

5. The Undavalle caves* are included in the standard list.

6. The proposed special expenditure of a small sum on the fort at Peddapur † in Godavari was suggested, as it seemed a case of urgency, the building being dangerous to the public. Mr. Sewell's

† *Ib.*, paragraph 4.

Lists (p. 23) mention it as containing some good carved woodwork. The various papers forwarded by the Collector in connection therewith note a number of interesting traditions regarding the fortress—that it was considered interesting, and that many visitors came to see it. The question of its inclusion in the list would stand over till I had inspected it.

‡ *Ib.*, paragraphs 5 and 6.

7. The items mentioned by the Collectors of Madura and Salem ‡ are included in the standard list.

8. The buildings brought to note by the Collector of Bellary || are good examples of 15th century work. They can scarcely be described as unique, yet it seemed a pity that they should be allowed

|| *Ib.*, paragraph 7.

to go to ruin when a small sum would remove the vegetation which causes it.

9. The temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal were inspected by me. The former is an ancient Chola temple of the 11th century, and is in every way worthy of being preserved. The latter is too much ruined for conservation. It was only proposed to make an attempt to preserve the inscriptions, which are ancient.

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 26th September 1892, No. 357.

I have the honour to submit in original a letter received from the Collector of Bellary.

2. I have seen the building mentioned in paragraph 1; it is worthy of conservation.

ENCLOSURE.

From R. SEWELL, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Bellary, 12th September 1892, Ref. No. 4490.

I have the honour to suggest that the handsome mandapam called the Sasuvakalu Ganapati, part of the ruins of the old city of Vijayanagar, just at the head of the descent into the modern village of Hampi from the Krishnasami temple, be entered in the list of monuments worthy of conservation in this Presidency. It is a striking object and should not be allowed to fall into decay. At present, no expenditure will be required on it, and probably it will require none for many years.

2. I am shortly about to address Government with a proposal that all the ground about the main group of palace buildings and connected temples be set apart for grazing ground, declared poramboke and all cultivation prohibited. I know that this will result in a certain loss of revenue to Government, but the amount is not very large, while the advantage of having the ruins situated in the middle of an open tract always accessible will be very great. At present every roadway and pathway is blocked by hedges of thorns put across by the ryots to prevent injury to their crops; and to get to the palace buildings at all I have had on the occasion of my present visit to pull down no less than three such barriers, while in most cases the different pathways are all ploughed over. I hope that this proposal will meet with a favorable reception from Government.

3. The Head Assistant Collector is anxious that the temple of Chandikesavulu Swami, on the road from Kamalapur to Hampi and about one-fourth mile from the Krishnasami temple, should also be entered on the Conservation list, but I do not think this advisable. There are other and finer specimens of the same style of architecture extant, while the temple is much ruined and would require considerable expenditure to maintain it.

ORDER—dated 31st October 1892, No. 753, Public.

The Government cannot definitely sanction the addition of any monument to the list of those to be conserved unless it has been inspected by the Superintendent or unless the extraordinary interest of it is vouched for either by him or by Dr. Hultzsch or Mr. Sewell. The remarks made by Mr. Sewell in regard to the temple of the god Chandikesavulu are not improbably applicable to several of the items in the present Kistna list. The Sub-Collector has apparently not seen or read G.O., No. 17, Public, dated 9th January 1891, the principles in which should still regulate action.

2. The entry in the returns furnished by Collectors of remains which are already in the accepted list is unnecessary.

3. It seems doubtful whether the information furnished in these papers in regard to the inscriptions will enable the Epigraphist to pronounce definitely as to their value. The Collector had better address Dr. Hultzsch on the subject. Probably rubbings could easily be taken and sent to Dr. Hultzsch. Proposals to preserve inscriptions about which nothing is known by entering them in the list of monuments to be specially conserved cannot be entertained. The first thing to be done in such cases is for the local authorities to put themselves in communication with the Epigraphist, to describe the inscription, to send him a copy or a rubbing of it; and if he reports that the inscription may be of extraordinary interest and the Collector cannot possibly arrange for its protection until it can be actually inspected by an expert, it will then be time to address the Governor in Council.

4. The proposal to add the Sasuvakalu Ganapati *mantapam* in Bellary district to the list is accepted. But in respect to the temple at Kulamandal, information as to the class into which it would fall should be afforded before Government passes orders. Mr. Rea should also report whether a rubbing of the ancient inscriptions at Ukkal cannot be taken.

5. The Government desires Mr. Rea's free criticisms on the proposals made by Collectors. He must not content himself with endorsing these, whether they are in accord or not with the principles which regulate conservation.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
Public Works Department.
Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.
Government Epigraphist.

Ed. A. Raiff.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st October 1892, No. 753.

OCTOBER.

Archæology.

Passing orders on letters from the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, regarding the conservation of certain ancient monuments in certain districts.

The Revenue Department. 7

" P. N. " 11

„ Supdt. Archaeological Survey. 6

„ Govt. Epigraphist. 6

with endorsing these, whether they are in
accord or not with the principles which
regulate conservation.

37
inscriptions is in the majority of cases
out of the question, but if they are per-
petuated by rubbings, drawings, or copies,
this is less to be regretted.

5. The Govt. desires Mr. Rea's free
criticisms on the proposals made by

Collectors. He must not content himself

with endorsing these, whether they are in

accord or not with the principles which

regulate conservation.

14/11/92

27 Oct

C. Nos. 3540, 3599, & 3577.

ORDER: - 31st Oct 1892. No. 753

The Govt. cannot definitely sanction the addition of any monument to the list of those to be conserved unless it has been inspected by the Supt. or unless the extraordinary interest of it is vouched for either by him or by Dr. Hultzsch or Mr. Sewell. The remarks made by Mr. Sewell in regard to the temple of the god Chandikesavulu are not improbably applicable to several of the items in the present Kistna list. The Sub Collector has apparently not seen or read G.O. No. 17 Public, dated 9th January 1891, the principles in which should still regulate action.

3. The entry in the returns furnished by Collectors of remains which are already in the accepted list is unnecessary.

3. It seems doubtful whether the information furnished in these papers in regard to the inscriptions will enable the Epigraphist to pronounce definitely as to their value. The Collector had better

address

Hell.

address Dr. Hultzsch on the subject. probably rubbings could easily be taken and sent to Dr. Hultzsch. Proposals to preserve inscriptions about which nothing is known by entering them in the list of monuments to be specially conserved cannot be entertained, ~~and it is wasting the time of Govt. to make them~~ The first thing to be done in such cases is for the local authorities to put themselves in communication with the Epigraphist, to describe the inscription, to send him a copy or a rubbing of it, and if he reports that the inscription may be of extraordinary interest and the Collector cannot possibly arrange for its protection until it can be actually inspected by an expert, it will ~~be~~ then be time to address the Governor in Council.

4. The proposal to add the Sasuvakalu Ganapati mantapam in Bellary District to the list is accepted, But in respect to the temple at Kulamandal information as to the class into which it would fall should be afforded before Govt. passes orders. Mr. Rea should also report whether a rubbing of the ancient inscriptions at Ukkal cannot be taken. ~~That the State should preserve~~

93	Do.	Do.	Do.	Pratipadu	Inscription No. 1 at the temple of Dandavaraswami and the inscription at the temple of Venugopalaswami.	76	Do.	93	II	(b)	(1) Amount of funds available for conservation is not known. (2) Government officers belonging to Hindu religion can inspect and direct and control them. (3) Yes.	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes. Only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.	Three inscriptions—one in the temple compound exposed to weather; much worn out. Second on the stone beam in the inner portico of the temple. Third on the Kalyana Mantapam over two pillars. These are legible enough.
95	Do.	Do.	Do.	Yanamadala	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 3 at the temple of Veeswaraswami.	77	Do.	95	II	(b)			
96	Do.	Répalle	Do.	Isandole	Inscription No. 42, A.D. 1176.	78	Four inscriptions belonging to 13th century and relating to some grants made to the temple.	..	II	(b)			
97	Do.	Do.	Do.	Kolahalur	Inscriptions of A.D. 1318, 1309, 1202.	79	Inscriptions relating to grants made to the temple.	..	II	(b)			Do.
98	Do.	Do.	Do.	Kollur	Several inscriptions on Vishnu and Siva temples relating to grants of 13th century.	79	These inscriptions relate to some grants made to the temples.	..	II	(b)		Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 classes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.	
99	Do.	Do.	Do.	Nandivelugu	Inscriptions of A.D. 1152.	79	These inscriptions belong to the period of the Gaudas, and mention their titles.	..	II	(b)		Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect.	No change in the condition of the inscription.
99	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Seeta Ranaswami's temple.	79	Built by Cholas	..	II	(b)		Do.	Subsequently repaired by the villagers.
100	Do.	Do.	Do.	Niderhole	Inscription of A.D. 1152.	80	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II	(b)		Do.	The inscription is on a stone outside the compound of the temple which is in ruins. Two inscriptions on the pillars of the inner portico of the temple. The inscriptions are worn out. Care is taken to cover them.

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Sowell's List of Antiquities, pages	Reason for conservation.	Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
101	Kistna ..	Bépalie ..	Vallatur ..	Vide remark against Bhatiprolu. An inscription here on an ancient temple.	80		..	II	(b)		Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect.	Does not require repairs. The inscription is not readable.
102	Do.	Do.	Voluru ..	Inscription of A.D. 1538. The Buddhist remains.	81	Records a grant made by a private person. The stupas A and C are of great importance. Historically and relate to Buddhist times. They create much archaeological interest.	..	II	(b)		Do.	Does not require repairs.
103	Do.	Do.	Bhatiprolu ..	..	77	..	..	II	(a)	The mound should be strictly preserved.	..	This is a large Buddhist stupa or mound locally called Lanjadibba. It has recently been reopened by the Government Archaeologist; the exterior casing of brick has been exposed by the excavations; relics were found in the pit under the centre of the mound. It is possible that some marbles may still be hidden under the debris.
..	Do.	Do.	Do.	Inscriptions on the temples of Vittaleswara and Malleswara.	78		..	II	(b)		Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes; none but the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.	There are three inscriptions in connection with Vittaleswara's temple—one on the south-east pillar of <i>Nade</i> mantapam. Letters are legible. Belongs to Salivahana era 1460. The 2nd is on the north-east pillar of the same mantapam; and the third is on a stone in the heart of the temple. 2. The inscription is in connection with Malleswara temple, is on the gate of <i>Galigipuram</i> , northern side.

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Sowell's List of Anti- quities, pages	Reason for conser- vation.	Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A.											
..	Kistna ..	Gudivada ..	Mandapadu Agrabaram.	Two inscriptions on a stone (name not known).	..	Of some importance.	1	II.	(a)		The stone containing the inscriptions was lying in a street. As it was consi- dered of some importance the village headman has been instructed to look after it.
..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Gudivada ..	Ruined Buddhist tope almost demo- lished, but masses of large-sized brick are left. The Jain statue ..	..	Of archaeological interest.	2	II.	(b)		In the occupation of ryots under cultivation. The village headman has been instructed to look after this.
..	Do. ..	Do. ..	..	..	..	Do. ..	3	II.	(a)		A colossal figure of Buddha standing in the enclosure of a choultry. The village headman has been instruct- ed to look after it.
B.											
58	Do. ..	Sattenapalle.	Amaravati ..	Carved marbles in a well near the tope.	63, 64	Of very great value in all respects.	58	1	(a)	These might all be collected and placed inside the fence. Cost Rs. 100 as the well must be re- built.	
59	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Carved marbles at the Sivaganga tank.	63, 64	Do. ..	59	1	(a)	These might also be removed and placed inside the fence.	
62	Do. ..	Do. ..	Balamkonda..	The fort ..	64	All old forts are to be conserved.	62	II.	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman with an annual grant of Rs. 24 for clear- ance of vegetation.	
64	Do. ..	Do. ..	Pirangipuram	Inscription of A.D. 1409.	65	Historical ..	64	II.	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman.	
65	Do. ..	Do. ..	Ketavaram ..	Inscription of A.D. 1552.	65	Do. ..	65	II.	(a)	Do.	

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Bowell's List of Anti- quities, pages	Reason for conser- vation.	Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments [(b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
								7	8			
				4	5	6				10	11	12
66	Kistna	Sattenapalle.	Munigoda	Both the inscriptions.	65	Historical	66	II.	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman.	Stands in private land; it is cared for by the owners. In charge of temple trustees.	
67	Do.	Do.	Pandem	Inscriptions of A.D. 1231.	65	Do.	67	II.	(b)		(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
68	Do.	Do.	Pedamakkana	Inscription of A.D. 1180 and 1175.	65	Do.	68	II.	(b)			
69	Do.	Do.	Barupalem	Inscription of A.D. 1245.	65	Do.	69	II.	(c)	The stone stands in a jungle. It might be fenced round with a wall, cost Rs. 5, and placed in charge of village headman.		
70	Do.	Do.	Siripuram	Inscription of A.D. 1155.	65	Historical and of much importance	70	I.	(b)	In charge of temple trustees. (1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.		
89	Do.	Guntur	Kakani or Peda- kakani	Inscription of A.D. 1270 on stone in front of Gopala- swami and of A.D. 1518.	65	Historical	89	II.	(c)	Inscriptions are in charge of temple trustees. Villagers report that there is no fund available with them. Trustees execute occasional repairs. The inscriptions require repair. Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. They have no objection to the inspection.		
90	Do.	Do.	Kaja	Inscription at the Vishnu temple, dated A.D. 1144 and 1249.	75	Do.	90	II.	(c)	Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. The inscriptions are in good order. No funds are available to keep in better state. Trustees have no objection to the inspection of these by Government officers.		
91	Do.	Do.	Mangalagiri	Inscription pillar lying near foot of steps leading to temple on hill. Inscription of A.D. 1558 by an Orissan Princess.	75	Do.	91	I.	(b)	This inscription is lying flat on the ground; it should be set up on a pedestal, for which Rs. 5 at least are required.		
92	Do.	Do.	Nidumukhala	Inscription No. 1	75	Historical	92	II.	(c)	Trustees desire to keep the management of the inscription. The monument is in good order and is to be placed in charge of village officers.		

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 28th September 1892, No. 364.

With reference to memorandum in the Public Department, No. 1517, dated 23rd September 1892, I have the honour to report as follows:—

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna was addressed to Government, and I was thus obliged to forward it in its entirety, although several of the remains included therein had not been inspected by me.

3. The budget for the current year was prepared before G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30, was issued, and was only calculated to meet the expenses of the ordinary survey tours of the department. A special tour through a great portion of the Kistna district and into the heart of the Godavari district to inspect these remains would probably have entailed travelling expenses beyond the present year's grant. I have made a provision for conservation tours of inspection in the Conservation budget for next year.

4. As to the items in the list of the Collector of Kistna,* a number are inscriptions whose importance I can only judge of from their dates as given in Mr. Sewell's lists. Perhaps the Government Epigraphist would be best able to decide what historical interest they possess.

* My letter, No. 319, of 5th September 1892, paragraph 2, and enclosure.

Item No. 1.—Two inscriptions at Gudivada, dated S. 1165 and 1159.

Item No. 2.—Ruined Buddhist Tope at Gudivada.—It has been inspected. The remains are very ancient, dating from or before the Christian era. The mound is included in the catalogue of mounds. No expenditure is required, nor is there likely to be any.

Item No. 3.—A Jaina Figure at Gudivada.—The image is a unique piece of work.

Items Nos. 58 and 59.—Marbles at Amaravati.—These are slabs which were taken at the demolition of the stupa and built into the masonry of a well and a tank. Their importance is undoubted. It would certainly be best to remove them to the stupa enclosure, as proposed; but if Government does not consider the expenditure advisable, they might remain where they are and the village authorities receive instructions to protect them.

Item No. 62.—Hill Fort at Bellamkonda.—As stated in my letter, I have not yet had an opportunity of inspecting it.

Items Nos. 64 to 102.—Excepting Nos. 67, 96 and 101, which are inscriptions of evidently ancient date, I have not seen the others. The dates given in the Collector's list show the other inscriptions to be ancient historical records. The Epigraphist could decide on their value.

Item No. 103.—Buddhist Stupa at Bhattiprolu.—The building, which has been partly laid bare by excavation, is very ancient, dating from the 3rd century B.C.; it is one of the oldest in India. It is unique in the relics, &c., that were found in the centre.

Item No. 104.—Inscriptions at the Temples of Vittalesvara and Mallesvara at Bhattiprolu.—These date from the 11th to the 15th centuries.

† *Ib.*, paragraph 3.

5. The Undavalle caves † are included in the standard list.

6. The proposed special expenditure of a small sum on the fort at Poddapur ‡ in Godavari was suggested, as it seemed a case of urgency, the building being dangerous to the public. Mr. Sewell's

Lists (p. 23) mention it as containing some good carved woodwork. The various papers forwarded by the Collector in connection therewith note a number of interesting traditions regarding the fortress—that it was considered interesting, and that

many visitors came to see it. The question of its inclusion in the list would stand over till I had inspected it.

* *Ib.*, paragraphs 5 and 6.

7. The items mentioned by the Collectors of Madura and Salem* are included in the standard list.

8. The buildings brought to note by the Collector of Bellary† are good examples of 15th century work. They

† *Ib.*, paragraph 7.

can scarcely be described as unique, yet it seemed a pity that they should be allowed

to go to ruin when a small sum would remove the vegetation which causes it.

9. The temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal were inspected by me. The former is an ancient Chola temple of the 11th century, and is in every way worthy of being preserved. The latter is too much ruined for conservation. It was only proposed to make an attempt to preserve the inscriptions, which are ancient.

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 26th September 1892, No. 357.

I have the honour to submit in original a letter received from the Collector of Bellary.

2. I have seen the building mentioned in paragraph 1; it is worthy of conservation.

ENCLOSURE.

From R. SEWELL, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Bellary, 12th September 1892, Ref. No. 4490.

I have the honour to suggest that the handsome mandapam called the Sasuvakalu Ganapati, part of the ruins of the old city of Vijayanagar, just at the head of the descent into the modern village of Hampi from the Krishnasami temple, be entered in the list of monuments worthy of conservation in this Presidency. It is a striking object and should not be allowed to fall into decay. At present, no expenditure will be required on it, and probably it will require none for many years.

2. I am shortly about to address Government with a proposal that all the ground about the main group of palace buildings and connected temples be set apart for grazing ground, declared poramboke and all cultivation prohibited. I know that this will result in a certain loss of revenue to Government, but the amount is not very large, while the advantage of having the ruins situated in the middle of an open tract always accessible will be very great. At present every roadway and pathway is blocked by hedges of thorns put across by the ryots to prevent injury to their crops; and to get to the palace buildings at all I have had on the occasion of my present visit to pull down no less than three such barriers, while in most cases the different pathways are all ploughed over. I hope that this proposal will meet with a favorable reception from Government.

3. The Head Assistant Collector is anxious that the temple of Chandikesavulu Swami, on the road from Kamalapur to Hampi and about one-fourth mile from the Krishnasami temple, should also be entered on the Conservation list, but I do not think this advisable. There are other and finer specimens of the same style of architecture extant, while the temple is much ruined and would require considerable expenditure to maintain it.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Bangalore, 5th September 1892, No. 319.

I have the honour to submit particulars of reports from district officers on the condition of ancient buildings.

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna, and forwarded in original, contains mention of remains which it is desirable should be conserved. Serial No. 62 is a fort which I have not inspected, but records exist as to its historical interest. Nos. 64 to 66, 68 to 95, 97 to 100, and 102 are inscriptions which I have not seen, but their dates show something of their value, and they generally require little or no expenditure.

3. The Collector of Kistna also requests that Rs. 10 might be sanctioned for clearing the Undavalli caves (List Serial No. 14) from shrubs and weeds. The caves might also be inspected by the Executive Engineer to see if any of the pillars are likely to fall.

4. The Collector of Godavari reports that the old fort of Peddapur is in a dilapidated state and dangerous to the public. About eight cart-loads of beams are stated to have fallen, and it is proposed to sell these. Some of the rooms are stated to contain some good carved woodwork. Sanction is requested for a sum of Rs. 25 to block up a dangerous gateway and open another for the use of those who cultivate the land within the fort. Perhaps this sum might be recovered on the sale of the beams. It is further recommended that a sum of Rs. 100 might be sanctioned for repairing the rooms which are interesting on account of their carving. As the fort is not in the revised list and has not been seen by me, this latter proposal might stand over till I have had an opportunity of seeing the building, which I hope to do when I am in the district next field season.

5. The Collector of Madura states that items in the list Nos. 41, 42 and 43 are out of repair. Repairs to the temple at Kovilpati (No. 74) are reported to be necessary, but orders have lately been issued with respect to this building. (G.O., dated 7th July 1892, No. 477 P.)

6. The Collector of Salem reports that the fort at Krishnagiri (No. 86) is in fair condition, but the stones are said to be giving way in parts.

7. The following list of buildings at Vizianagram has been sent by the Collector of Bellary. They are not in the revised list of monuments, but have been inspected by me; they are buildings worthy of being preserved. The work proposed need not be expensive, as it is principally the removal of vegetation and rubbish:—

6. No. 2340.

No.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Monument in charge of Government or private bodies.
1	Bellary..	Hospet..	Vizianagrum ..	Sasuvakalu Ganapati's temple.	Deserted. Vegetation should be prevented from taking root and rubbish cleared out.
2	Do. ..	Do. ..	Kamalapuram ..	Chendrasakhara Muttum.	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
3	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Chikkhuda	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
4	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Ontigoda padasala ..	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out.
5	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Entrance to underground passage.	Requires trees to be cut and some rubbish to be cleared out.
6	Do. ..	Do. ..	Kadarampur ..	Uddana Verabhadra's temple.	In good condition, no repair required.
7	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Chendikaswasamy temple.	Requires rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
8	Do. ..	Do. ..	Venkatapur ..	Vorabhadra's temple on Mathunga.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
9	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Vyasaraaya Muttum ..	Requires rubbish to be removed and scattered stones heaped up.
10	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Dasakula temple with Bazaar in front of it.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.
11	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Bazaar in front of Vitha-laswamy temple.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.

8. I would propose that the temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal, in the Arcot taluk of the North Arcot district, and brought to notice by the Sub-Collector, be preserved. The temple of Joligaganadhesvara at the former place is a deserted Chola temple in fair preservation with some fine sculpture and carving. Large panels on the walls are covered with clear and legible Chola inscriptions. These are worthy the attention of the Government Epigraphist. All the preservation required is the removal of some vegetation from the walls. The Porumal temple at Ukkal is almost completely ruined, and so overgrown with prickly-pear as to be inaccessible. There are some ancient inscriptions around the base which are worthy of attention. Beyond clearing a pathway to, and removing the dense vegetation from off and around, the inscriptions, nothing more in the way of preservation need be attempted.

ENCLOSURE.

From A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Masulipatam, 28th July 1892, No. 6538.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 477, dated 10th August 1891, communicating G.O., No. 4109, Revenue, dated 18th July 1891, I have the honour to forward herewith a list, marked A, showing the ancient monuments worthy of being conserved but omitted in the revised list.

2. My Sub-Collector recommends the conservation of the monuments as per Statement B enclosed which formerly found a place in Mr. Sewell's list, but have been omitted from the list under reference. I do not know whether the omission was intentional, but it is desirable to add this list to the other and to conserve the remains as far as practicable.

No. 1517.

MEMORANDUM.

In paragraph 10 of G.O., dated 9th January 1891, No. 17, the Government expressed its intention of conserving only such monuments as are unique or very ancient or possess exceptional merit as typical specimens of their style of architecture. Mr. Rea will report whether his present recommendations come within the above descriptions. His attention will, at the same time, be called to the instructions in G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

23rd September 1892.

To the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.

From The Supt. Arch. Survey.

Dated 5th September 1892.

FOR ORDERS.

Para 2. It is proposed to call attention to G.O. of 15.1.92, No. 30, and to say that the Govt. is not prepared to depart from the rule already laid down. His recommendations must be based on personal inspection.

Paras 3, 4, 5 & 6 might be disposed of in P.W. Dept.

Paras 7 & 8 are submitted for orders, and attention invited to G.O. of 9.1.91, No. 17. The intention of Govt. is to conserve (vide para. 10) only such monuments as are unique or very ancient or possess exceptional merit as typical specimens of their style of architecture. Mr. Rea does not report fully the reasons for his recommendations in para 7.

J.F.P.

13/9

15/9

23/9/92

15/9

19/9

When they are within the description

14/9

archaeological ruins

Hon Sir H. S. Stokes K.

Public 75-3-31-

C 15/9

Camp Kumbhakonam
26th September 1892.

No. 357. CURRENT NO. 257

From

A. Rea Esquire, M.R.A.S.,
SUPERINTENDENT,
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit in
original, a letter received from the Collector
of Bellary.

2. I have seen the building men-
tioned in paragraph 1; it is worthy of
conservation.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Yours most obedient servant

A. Rea

SUPERINTENDENT
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

Public (1892) Sept.

From, Mrs. Sept.
Archaeological Survey
Madras

No. 357.

Recd 26/9 }
29/9 } Sept 1892
Rep. 30/9 }
Enc. 1

Subject

Submitting with remarks
from the collector of Bellary
suggesting that Sasivikalan
Ganapathi at Hampi in
Hospet Taluk be entered in
the list of monuments
worthy of conservation.

a proposal
the main
and
apart for
proposed.
I know
certain
but the
while the
the ruins situated
tract always
at present
highway is
crossed by a
to their
Palace buildings
occasion of
down no
while in
to pathways
I hope that
with a favourable
to
tant Collector

is anxious
Kesarulu
Kamalapur
mile from
should also

List, but I
There are old
the same
while the tea
would require
to maintain

to suggest that the
ndapam called the Sasivikalan
of the ruins of the old
sagar, just at the head
Go into the modern village
the Krishnasami Temple
the list of monuments
conservation in this Presidency
object, and should not
to fall into decay
expenditure will be required
probably it will require
my years.

Shortly about to
address

BELLARY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

29 SEP 92

SECRETARY
29 SEP 92
BELLARY

address Government with a proposal
that all the ground about the main
group of Palace buildings and
connected temples be set apart for
grazing ground, declared *poramboke*
and all Cultivation prohibited. I know
that this will result in a certain
loss of Revenue to Government, but the
amount is not very large, while the
advantage of having the ruins situated
in the middle of an open tract always
accessible will be very great. At present
every roadway and pathway is
blocked by hedges of thorns put across
by the ryots to prevent injury to their
crops, and to get to the Palace buildings
at all. I have had on the occasion of
my present visit to pull down no
less than 3 such barriers, while in
most cases the different pathways
are all ploughed over. I hope that
this proposal will meet with a favourable
reception from Government.

3. The Head Assistant Collector

is anxious that the temple of Ch.
Kesava be on the road from
Himalapur to Hampi, and about $\frac{1}{4}$
mile from the Krishnaswami Temple
should also be entered on the Conservation
List, but I do not think this advisable.
There are other and finer specimens of
the same style of architecture extant
while the temple is much ruined, and
would require considerable expenditure
to maintain it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Ch. P. Murtsete
For Collector

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

FROM
THE COLLECTOR OF
BELLARY.

To Chief
Secretary to Government
Public Department
Through Superintendent Archaeological Survey

Reference No. 6490

Dated 12 September 1897
Despatched 13
Received

Enclosures

Abstract

Suggesting that Siva
Ganapati at Hampi
in Hospell Taluk of the
District be entered in
the list of monuments

(4) Ib. paras.
5 and 6.

the collectors of Madras
Salem (4) are included in

the standard list.

8. — The buildings brought to
note by the collector of Bellary (5)
are good examples of 15th
century work. They can
(5) Ib. para. 7. scarcely be described as

unique, yet it seemed a pity that they
should be allowed to go to ruin, when
a small sum would remove the vege-
-tation which causes it.

9. — The temples at Hulamandal
and Ukhal were inspected by me. The
former is an ancient Chola temple of
the 11th Century, and is in every way
worthy of being preserved. The latter is
too much ruined for conservation.
It was only proposed to make an
attempt to preserve the inscription
which are ancient.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. M. S.

SUPERINTENDENT,
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS

From,
The Supdt.
Archaeological Survey,
Madras,

No. 364

Dated 28th Sept
Recd 1st Oct } 1892
Resp. 3rd Oct
Enc. "

Subject

Reporting on certain ancient
inscriptions in the districts of
Mistur, Godavari, Kadapa & Salem.

Archaeological Survey, and Conservation
of Ancient Monuments, and
of the

very of a well and a
Their importance is
obtained. It would certainly
be to remove them to
a safe enclosure, as pro-
posed; but if Government
do not consider the expendi-
ture advisable, they might
be left where they are, and
local authorities receive
instructions to protect them.
At Bellamkonda
mentioned in my letter, I
have not yet had an oppor-
tunity of inspecting it.

" No 62

" Nos 64 to 102

Excepting Nos 67, 96
and 101, which are inscrip-
tions of evidently ancient
date, I have not seen the
rest. The dates given in
the collector's list, show the
inscriptions to be
ancient historical records,
which a philologist could
value on their value.
The stupa at Bhatti-
nagar. The building
has been partly
destroyed by excavations.

" No 103

is very ancient
the 3rd century, dating from
one of the oldest B.C.; it is
It is unique in India.
that were found in the relics &c.
" No 104. Inscription found in the centre
of Vittaleswara at the temples
-swara at Gara and Malli-
date from the 11th to the 15th
centuries.

(2) The Undavalle Caves⁽²⁾
are included in the standard
list.

6. - The proposed
structure of a small sum special expend
Peddapur⁽³⁾ on the fort at
(3) It, para 4. suggested, in Godavari, was
a case of " as it seemed

- ing being dangerous to the agency, the build
Sewell's List (p. 23) mention it as
Containing some good carved wood
work. The various figures forward-
ed by the collector in connection there-
with, note a number of interesting tra-
ditions regarding the fortress. That it
was considered interesting, and that
many visitors came to see it. The
question of its inclusion in the list
would stand over till I had inspected
it.

7. - The items

Government Archaeological Survey, and Conservation
Ancient Monuments in Southern India,
Camp, Kumbakonam.

28th September 1892.

No. 3614.

58
CHIEF SECRETARY
1 OCT 92
GOVERNMENT

From

A. REA, ESQUIRE, M.R.A.S.,

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYOR TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS,
SUPERINTENDENT,
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to Memorandum in
Public Department, No. 1517, dated
1st September 1892, I have the honour to
report as follows: -

2. The list sent by the Collector of
Kistna was addressed to Government, and
I was thus obliged to forward it in its
entirety, although several of the remains
included therein, had not been inspected
by me.

3. The budget for the current year
was prepared before G.O., dated 15th January
1892, No. 30, was issued, and was only
calculated to meet the expenses of the ordinary
survey tours of the Department. A special
tour through a great portion of the Kistna
district

Nos 58 and 59. Marbles at Amaravati.
These are slabs which were

district
 proba-
 bly
 have
 been
 value

(1) Hy
 of 5th
 para.
 from
 list
 phist
 histor
 item

No.

6. Collector of Salem reports that the fort at Suagiri (1086) is in fair condition but the stones are said to be giving way in parts.

7. - The following list of buildings at Vijayanagar, has been sent by the collector of Bellary. They are not in the revised list of monuments, but have been inspected by me; they are buildings worthy of conservation being preserved. The work proposed need not be expensive, as it is principally the removal of vegetation and rubbish.

Name of Monument	Monument in charge of Government or private bodies.
Sakshi Ganapati temple.	Deserted, vegetation should be cut from taking root and rubbish cleared out.
Chandrasakshara Mathur.	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
Chikkahudra	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
Ontigoda padasalu	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out.
Entrance to underground passage.	Requires trees to be cut and some rubbish to be cleared out.
Haddana Terabhadra's temple.	In good condition, no repair required.
Chandikawannam temple.	Requires rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
Terabhadra's temple, Mathur.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
Narasimha Mathur.	Requires rubbish to be removed and scattered stones heaped up.
Sakshu temple with Nagan in front of it.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.
Nagan in front of Sakshu temple.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.
Sakshu temple.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.

the temple of Joligaganadhevara at
 place is a deserted Chola temple
 preservation with some fine sculpture on
 carving. Large panels on the walls are
 covered with clear and legible Chola in-
 scriptions. These are worthy the attention
 of the Government Epigraphist. All the
 preservation required is the removal
 of some vegetation from the walls. The
 Perumal temple at Ukhal is almost
 (1) completely ruined, and so overgrown
 of 5 ft with prickly pear as to be inaccessible.
 There are some ancient inscriptions
 round the base which are worthy of
 attention. Beyond clearing a pathwa
 and removing the dense vegetation
 off and around the inscriptions
 nothing more in the way of preservation
 need be attempted.

I have the honour to be
 Sir,

Your most obedient servant

V. M. A.
 SUPERINTENDENT,
 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

CURRENT NO. 2540

Government Archaeological Survey, and Conservation of
 Ancient Monuments in Southern India

Bangalore,

5th September 1892.

No. 319.

From

A. REA, ESQUIRE, M.B.A.S.,

~~ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYOR TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS,~~
 SUPERINTENDENT,
 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY, MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary
 to Government.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit particulars of
 reports from district officers on the condition of
 ancient buildings.

2- The list sent by the Collector of Kist
 and forwarded in original, contains mention
 of remains which it is desirable should be con-
 served. Serial No 62 is a fort which I have
 not inspected, but records exist as to its
 historical interest. Nos 61, to 66, 68 to 95, 97
 to 100, and 102 are inscriptions which I have
 not seen, but their dates show something
 of their value, and they generally require
 little or no expenditure.

3 The bells

from shrubs and weeds. The caves might also be inspected by the executive Engineer to see if any of the pillars are likely to fall.

14. - The collector of Godavari reports that the old fort of Biddapur is in a dilapidated state, and dangerous to the public. About eight cart-loads of beams are stated to have fallen, and it is proposed to sell these. Some of the rooms are stated to contain some good carved woodwork. Sanction is requested for a sum of Rs 25¹⁰ to block up a dangerous gateway and open another for the use of those who cultivate the land within the fort. Perhaps this sum might be recovered on the sale of the beams. It is further recommended that a sum of Rs 100 might be sanctioned for repairing the rooms which are interesting on account of their carving. As the fort is not in the revised list, and has not been seen by me, this latter proposal might stand over till I have had an opportunity of seeing the building, which I hope to do when I am in the district next field season.

5- The Collector of Chaudhara states that items in the list nos 41, 42, and 43, need repairs. Repairs to the temple reported to be

B
the
shof.
also
resp

rical
ch

ical

7th
W/

anb...

11. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 843. 844. 84

56. 2

So

7 al

8-11-50

1892

FROM,
THE COLLECTOR,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

TO,
THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Through the Superintendent
Archaeological Survey

Dated 28th July 1892

Despd: 5th August 1892

Recd:

Enclosures. inc. list

No. 6538.

Subject.

Requesting to add the lists
A & B (enclosed) to that of monuments
worthy of being conserved.

No. 6538

OFFICE
OF THE

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,
MASULIPATAM,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

28th July 1892.

FROM,

J. Arundel Esq. M.A.
Collector,

TO,

Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Through the Superintendent
Archaeological Survey

SIR,

With reference to Board's proceedings No 471
dated 10th August 1891 communicating G.O.
No 4109 Rev. no dated 18th July 1891 I have the
honor to forward, herewith a list marked A
showing the ancient monuments worthy of being
conserved but omitted in the revised lists.

2. My Sub-collector recommends the
conservation of the monuments as per
statement B enclosed which formerly had
a place in the list.

desirable to add this list to the other and
to conserve the remains as far as ~
practicable.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. V. Palit
For Collector

11/8
2/8

Roca

A. & B
worthy

idā
pāda
Agraharam

Two inscriptions
on a stone
(name not
known)

of son

Guvāsa

Ruined Buddhist
Stupa almost demolis
ed, but masses of
large sized brick
are left.

of archa
interest

The Jain statue

6

7

8

10

4

10

des
to
h

then and

on
inscriptions

II

(a)

no expendi-
ture at present
required.

2

The stone contain-
ing the inscriptions
was lying in a ditch
as it was considered
of some importance
the village headman
has been instructed
to look after it.

In the occupation
of ryots under
cultivation. The
village headman
has been instructed
to look after this

II

II

(a)

no ex-
penditure
required

A colossal figure
of Buddha stain-
ing in the en-
closure of a
choultry. The
village headman
has been instructed
to look after it.

10

10

3
3
3

I
Recd.

A. & I
worthy

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------|---------------|---------------|--|--------|-------|----|-----|--|---|---|
| d 58 | Kistna | Sattendapally | Amaravati | Carved marbles in a well near the lode | 63, 64 | great | 18 | 1 | (a) | These might all be collected and placed inside the fence. Cost Rs. 100 as the well must be rebuilt. | 4 |
| 59 | 80 | 80 | 80 | Carved marbles at the Sivaganga tank | 60 | 59 | 1 | (a) | These might also be removed and placed inside the fence. | | |
| 62 | 80 | 80 | Bellamkonda | the fort | 64 | 62 | | II | (a) | To be placed in charge of village head man with an annual grant of Rs. 24 for clearance of vegetation | |
| | | | Phirangipuram | Inscription of A.D. 1409 | 66 | His | | II | (a) | To be placed in charge of village head man | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------|---------|----|-----|--|--|
| 69 | Kistra Saltenapath. Ranzupalem | Inscription of A.D. 1245 | 65 | History | 69 | II | (a) | The stone stands in a place. It is fenced round with a wall cost Rs. 5 and placed in charge of Village headman. | |
| 70 | So | So | So | So | 70 | I | (b) | In charge of temple trustees. | |
| 89 | Guntham | Kakani or Peda kakani | Inscription of A.D. 1270 on stone in front of Gopaulaswami of A.D. 1518. | ed. | History | 89 | II | (b) | 1) No fund do
(2) Yes
(3) Yes. |
| 90 | So | So | So | So | 90 | II | (b) | Inscriptions are in charge of temple trustees. Villagers report that there is no fund available with them. Trustees execute occasional repair. The inscriptions require repair. Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. They have no objection to the income. | |
| | Haja | | Inscription at the Vishnu temple dated A.D. 1144 & 1249 | 75 | | | | | |
| | Mangalagiri | | Inscription pillar lying near foot of steps leading to temple on hill. Inscription of A.D. 1558 by an Orissan Princess. | id | | 90 | II | (b) | Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. The inscriptions are in good order. No funds are available to keep in better state. Trustees have no objection to the inspection of these by Government officers. |
| 92 | Nidumukhala | | Inscription No. I | id | | 91 | I | (b) | This inscription is lying flat on the ground; it should be set up on a pedestal, for which Rs. 5 at least is required. |
| 93 | Prathipadu | | Inscription No. 1 at the temple of Banadeswara swami and the inscription at the temple of Venugopalaswami | 76 | | 92 | II | (a) | Trustees desire to keep the management of the inscription. |
| | Pranamadala | | Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 3 at the | 77 | | 93 | II | (b) | The monument is in good order and is to be placed in charge of village officers. |
| | Pranamadala | | | | | 95 | II | (b) | 1. Amount of funds available for conservation is not known
2. Government officers belonging to Hindu Religion can inspect and direct and control them. |

10

96

Kistna Repalli To andole

Inscriptions no 42
D. 1176

78

4 inscriptions
longer
to some extent
the temple

inscriptions
the temple
relating
to the temple

II (b)

Temple
Trustees
(1) Funds are
available
(2) Yes only 1st
4 classes of Hindus
are allowed to
inspect.
(3) yes

3 inscriptions
in the temple
compound exposed
to weather; much
worn out.
2nd on the stone
beam in the inner
porch of the temple
3rd on the Kalyana
mantapam over
two pillars. These
are legible enough

97

Kolakat

Inscriptions of
AD. 1318, 1309, 1202

79

Inscriptions
to grants
to the temple

relating
to the temple

II (b)

Do

No change in the
condition of the
inscription

98

Kollur

Several inscriptions
on Vishnu and
Siva temples
relating to grants
of 12th Century

79

These
relating
grants
to the temple

relating
to the temple

II (b)

Temple trustees
Funds are
available
(2) yes only
the 1st 4 classes
of Hindus are
allowed to in-
spect.
(3) yes

No change in the
condition of the
inscription

99

Nandavolu inscriptions of
AD. 1132

79

These
inscriptions
relating
to the temple

relating
to the temple

II (b)

Temple trustees
(1) Funds are
available

is not visible

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|----|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----|-----|----|---|
| 11 | 75 | Thiruvannamalai | Seeta Ramaswami's temple. | 79. | Built by | Cholas | II | (b) | Do | Subsequently repaired by the villagers. |
| 100. | 80 | Do | Inscription of A.D. 1132. | 80. | Records of the private the 17 th of glorious of Tribhuv Chakravarti Sri Vikramaditya | rection by a son in the years | II | (b) | Do | The inscription is on a stone outside the compound of the temple which is in ruins. Two inscriptions on the pillars of the inner portico of the temple. The inscriptions are worn out. Care is taken to cover them. |
| 101 | 80 | Do | Villal | Vide remark against Bhatti prole. | An inscription here on an | | II | (b) | Do | Does not require repair. The inscription is not present. |

102

Kistna Repalli Voleru

Inscription of A.D.
1538.

81

Records
made by
person

ant
private

II (b)

do

Does not require
repairs.

103

do do Shattin
prolen

The Buddhist
remains

77

The stupas
are of great
importance
historical
and religious
Buddhist
They are
much as
inter

2 & c

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

to

II (a)

The mound
should
be strictly
preserved

This is a large
Buddhist stupa
or mound
locally called
Lanja dibba

It has been re-
cently been re-
opened by the
Government archae-
ologist. The exterior
casing of brick
has been exposed
by the excavation.
Relics were found
in the pit under the
centre of the mound.

It is possible
that some marble
may still be hid
under the debris

finds on

102

3

10

103

This is the collector's
Masulipatan
dated 27th July

R. S. S.
Collector

Hindus are
allowed to pillar of made
in split. Mantapam. Letters are
(3) Yes. legible. Belongs
to Salivahana
era 1460. The
2nd is on the
northeast pillar
of the same
Mantapam; and
the third is on
a stone in the
heart of the
2. The inscript
is in connection
with Malliswara
temple is on the
gate of Gali
-gopuram
northern side.

ians and Military Officers in Civil Employ for the year 1891.

| REGISTERED COMPANIES IN WHICH SHARES ARE HELD. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Names of Companies. | Where they carry on operations. | Whether the shares convey any right to acquire landed property, and if so, to what extent. | Whether the right so conferred has been availed of, and if so, to what extent. | REMARKS. |
| 1 Travancore Land Planting & Agricultural Society (Limited)
2 Indian Valley Planting Co. (Limited) | Travancore only shares in No. 1
give any right to obtain land.
do — The Collector is unable to issue the land. Proposed State Water Project of. | | The right has been availed of this betwixt the full extent | |
| 3. Pothamm Pothamm Co. (Limited) | | | | holds one share of P.O. in the Pothamm of the Pothamm |

Civilians and Military Officers in Civil Employ for the year 1891.

[illegible]

Return of Lands or Interest in Lands held by Covenanted

| Particulars of Land held. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|---|
| No. | Name of the Officer. | Official Designation and Station. | Where situated, | Description of the Land. | Extent in Acres. | Assessment or Quit-rent payable, if any. | Purpose for which the land is acquired and how actually |
| | | | i.e., District and Taluk. | | | | |
| | <u>Secunderabad</u>
Mr. J. Srinivasulu | Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | H. Srinivasulu | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | W. S. Srinivasulu | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | C. S. Srinivasulu | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | <u>Secunderabad</u>
Mr. J. S. Srinivasulu | Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | J. S. Srinivasulu | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | Burhanuddin Khan | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | Sahib. Bahadur | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | <u>Secunderabad</u>
Mr. J. S. Srinivasulu | Collector | | | | Nil | |
| | Mr. J. S. Srinivasulu | Deputy Collector | | | | Nil | |

Return of Lands or Interest in Lands held by Covenanted C

| No. | Name of the Officer. | Official Designation and Station. | PARTICULARS OF LAND HELD. | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | Where situated,
i.e., District and Taluk. | Description of the Land. | Extent in Acres. | Assessment or (rent payable, if any). | Purpose for which the acquired and how actual |
| | <u>Bichna</u> | | | | | | |
| | Mrs. H.T. Mendum | Collector | Rohamunda
Taluka | Dry | About 600 | about 800 rupees | for house and |
| | J. J. A. Westonsmith Esq | Collector | | | | | |
| | <u>Perincoot</u> | | | | | | |
| | Mrs. C. B. Singh | Collector | | | | | |
| | <u>Khadrao</u> . | | | | | | |
| | Mrs. W. P. Candlin | Collector | | | | | |

Return of Lands or Interest in Lands held by Covenanted

| No. | Name of the Officer. | Official Designation and Station. | PARTICULARS OF LAND HELD. | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Where situated, i.e., District and Taluk. | Description of the Land. | Extent in Acres. | Assessment or Quit-rent payable, if any. | Purpose for which the acquired and how actually used. |
| | <u>Makalasa</u>
In: T. & P. Revenue
T. Wang
P. Wangpala showing the right | dy Collector
dy Collector | Channarayana St. Channarayana
Channarayana | Channarayana | 2. 000
11. 95 00
23. 45 00 | Nil
Nil
90-8- | Structure
that the land
the land
the land
the land |
| | J. H. Batten | dy. Col. with | Channarayana St. Channarayana
Channarayana | Channarayana | 2. 000
11. 95 00
23. 45 00 | Nil
Nil
90-8- | Structure
that the land
the land
the land |
| | <u>Belthare</u> | | | | | | |

Return of Lands or Interest in Lands held by Covenanted Citizens

| No. | Name of the Officer. | Official Designation and Station. | PARTICULARS OF LAND HELD. | | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------|--|---|
| | | | Where situated,
i.e., District and Taluk. | Description of the Land. | Extent in Acres. | Assessment or Quit-rent payable, if any. | Purpose for which the land is acquired and how actually acquired. |
| | <i>Salem.
Am. L. Officer.</i> | <i>Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | <i>Revenue</i> |
| | <i>D. Broadfoot
Treasurer
Am. Lm. Trustees</i> | <i>Deputy Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>W. L. Churchill</i> | <i>Deputy Collector (Mechanics)</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |

Return of Lands or Interest in Lands held by Covenanters

| No. | Name of the Officer. | Official Designation and Station. | PARTICULARS OF LAND HELD. | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Where situated,
i.e., District and
Tahle. | Description of
the Land. | Extent in
Acres. | Assessment or
Quit-rent
payable, if any. | Purpose for which
acquired and how |
| | <i>W. D. Williams</i> | <i>Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>W. D. Williams</i> | <i>By. & Rate Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>E. H. Elwin</i> | <i>Senior Rate Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>W. H. Michael</i> | <i>Rate Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>W. D. Taylor</i> | <i>Manager, Kyphat
estate</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>W. B. Cupling</i> | <i>Rate Collector</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |
| | <i>E. B. Elwin</i> | <i>Rate Collector
attached to the
Party No. 55, 56, 57</i> | | | | <i>Nil</i> | |

Don Publie 245, 192

Board of Revenue
Hon: Mr. J. Seie

" Mr. S. Seeger

By Secretary,
Board of Revenue
Land Revenue.
By: Commissioners
of Salt & Marine
Dept: Revenue,
on Special Duty

[illegible]

Amos. Hall

Short. Collectors

Will

Bellevue

Am. J. R. D. D. D.

attacked by the
Party. W. T. J. R. D.

Collectors

Will

L. J. Mac. G. G. G.

by Collectors. now
Private operating
also collecting
the persons
by the State Collectors

Will

H. V. L. G. G.

Will

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|------|
| | | | | | |
| " J. Andrews | By: M. Pratt Collector | | | | Recd |
| " E. Scott | By Lewis Pratt Collector | | | | Recd |
| " L. W. Spaulding | By Spl. Pratt Collector | | | | Recd |
| " P. P. Rice | Pratt Collector attended
to Settlement Party
Nov. 5. Suite No. 212 | | | | Recd |
| <u>Goosare</u> | | | | | |
| By: W. S. Hapwell | Collector | | | | Recd |
| " J. H. Hancock | Sub Collector | | | | Recd |
| " J. L. O. Cartledge | By Spl. Pratt Collector | | | | Recd |
| " W. W. Lyman | By Spl. Pratt Collector | | | | Recd |
| " A. E. Miller | Manager of the
Pittsburg Estate | | | | Recd |

11

in instant



The Central Mexican
Railway

None

Atlantic
Railway Co. America

bill
bill
bill
bill
bill

bill
bill
bill
bill

bill

bill
bill

bill

Amesbury

Eastern Ry. Union

Que. Canal
Coastwise
of N. Atlantic & Gulf

bill

bill

President's Office

by Collector
by the Post Office

by Collector
by the Post Office

Special Agent
Said Agent
Post Office


" H. J. Foster

La Aurora South
San: W. L. Brodie
" Wm. Smith

La Aurora South
San: J. Thompson
" Wm. Thompson

" J. H. Thompson

" J. H. Thompson



hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

Atlantic
Railway Co. America

Force

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

hit

St. Thomas

Central Mexican
Railway

Feb

Feb

* This is the 1st account of
the Dist. has since been
settled and the account
must proceed. He
has not the figures
by him.

Feb

Feb
Cous
saw
a
but
saw
to
n

1. Consolidated 164 mi. B. L. ar
Ludran Co.
2. Handicrafts 5 mi. Burma
3. Hampton
Reef Co.
4. Oregon Co.
5. Burma
Ruby Co.

Howe

Howe.

Lib

Lib

402

Back of
head

head

not known

hil

hil

hil

hil

hil

hil

hil