

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i>	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>6-1-92</i>	
No. <i>5</i>	

INDEXED.*When given for copying* *6/1*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Permitting Mr. W.F.
L. Rahane C1 to hold
certain land under
tax condition—*

BY AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 3698

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

N^o 790.

From,

W. F. Grahame Esquire, C.S.
District and Sessions Judge
Tinnevely.

To

The Honourable Mr J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the remarks in
G.O., 788, Public, dated 12th September 1897,
I beg permission to offer the following remarks.

2 I bought Sir Vere Lvinge's house at
Kodaikanal and the land attached to it
with the intention of settling there when
I should retire from the public service.
My wife and my children lived there
from February 1885 to October 1885 and
continuously from February 1886 to my
wife's death in February 1890, after which
my children continued to live there till they went to England in April

1891.

3. By far the greater part of the land attached to the house is rocky hill on which hardly anything can be grown, the only exceptions being a vegetable garden of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 acres, a small orchard of about 1 acre made at considerable expense by terracing the hill, and about 1 acre of land on which stands some tea, also terraced at considerable expense. The tea is picked and made for my own consumption. On part of the land, I cannot say on what extent, Sir Vere Levinge planted gum and acacia trees, but quite three fourths of the land is bare open rocky hill side.

4. My wife had some valuable Australian and half bred cows and had a great objection to send them out to graze with other cows at Kodaikanal which go out in large herds. She lost one valuable cow by sending it out with the herd. Therefore she bought in her own name land on which to graze her own cattle. She had previously bought some land to prevent our house from being overlooked, and to prevent a Roman Catholic Chapel being built close to us, being

taken thither with all the concomitant inconveniences of different kinds which attend all large gatherings of natives. She had also bought some land for houses and gardens for our servants. I may observe that my wife had property of her own in Ireland quite independent of me. By her will, executed $2\frac{1}{2}$ months before her death, she left me trustee of all her property for the benefit of our children, and in that she included her property at Kodaikanal. When she learnt that she was suffering from a mortal disease from which she could not possibly recover she decided to transfer to me the land she had bought at Kodaikanal, that I might deal with it in connection with the house and land we had bought from Sir Vere Levinge. This accounts for the increase of 37 acres in the property I hold, to which Government refers.

5. At present my house is let to Mr. J. Weir and I do not interfere with the place in any way. I have still the intention of settling at Kodaikanal where I retire

retire from the public service. I need scarcely point how that it is extremely disagreeable to live in a house which is at all overlooked, and that therefore I did not hesitate to allow my wife to buy land to prevent our house from being overlooked.

6. I trust that the above remarks will show that I have not unwarrantably or unnecessarily increased my property. Except for grazing the cows I have marked, which still remain at the house, I have made and intend to make no use of the land, but, if Government wish it, I have not the least objection to put the extra land in the hands of Trustees or of the Administrator General until I retire from the Public service. I would not put the house in the hands of Trustees because I may make use of it from time to time. After Mr. Weir gives it up it will be a source of expense to me and not of profit. I have no great wish to let it at any time and certainly I would not let it to a stranger.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant
W. R. Shama
District and Sessions Judge.

.. of {1895} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 15th February 1895, No. 120.

FEBRUARY.

Land Returns.

Directing, with remarks, that the submission of
— by members of the Indian Civil Service other
than Natives of India be discontinued.

15TH FEBRUARY 1895, No. 120, Public.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—File of land returns for 1894 submitted by members of the Indian Civil Service.

ORDER—dated 15th February 1895, No. 120, Public.

Recorded.

2. In supersession of all previous orders on the subject, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the submission of land returns by members of the Civil Service be discontinued. Officers who have not yet submitted returns for 1894 need not do so.

3. The attention of all members of the service will be drawn to the fact that they are prohibited from acquiring or holding land within the Presidency except such as may be attached to a house purchased for residential purposes. Natives of India are exempt from this rule and must continue to submit land returns as heretofore.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service
serving in the Madras Presidency.
„ the Revenue Department.

1891.
Public Department

Mr.

Submitted.

The wording of the
exception is "This prohib-
ition does not extend to
land occupied merely by
buildings for residence
and their usual appur-
tenances." Mr. Graham
apparently makes nothing
out of the land.

on,

W. F. Graham Esq.
District and Sessions Judge
Tinnevely

70B.
17/12

The land occupied by Mr. Graham
meets the intent contemplated
by the rules - he houses appears to
make no use of it and it seems to have
been acquired merely to keep off the
pleasant neighbours and not with
a view to any profit

No 790.

dated 12th

to.

received
15th

} December
1891.

Enclosure

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 DEC 91
GOVERNMENT

19 Dec

There is no limit of extent in the
rules. So far as the 37 acres is grazed
land for domestic cattle it may properly
be considered as an appurtenance
of the dwelling house. Nothing
said in the rules, I think, so long as
the land is not used otherwise
than for the

20 Dec

Order 6 Jan 92 No 5

Submitting remarks with
reference to G. O. No 788,
dated 12th September 1891.

There is no objection
to Mr. Graham holding
the land referred to so
long as it is not used
otherwise than for the

/ From order

WITH ORDER

70B.
19/12/91
W. F. Graham Esq.
11 JAN 92
M.S.