

No. 46

CURRYEN 26
City Police Office.

Madras, 3rd August 1872

From

Colonel H. W. H. Cox.
THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
with the Governor
Stonehouse Hill.

Sir

In forwarding for the information
of Government copy of a statement
made by Mr. Hill, Superintendent,
Government Press, regarding the charge
of Forgery and Criminal Breach of
Trust against the Cash keeper Vengu
Pillay I have the honour to report
that I propose to prosecute Vengu Pillay
under Sec^{ns} 471 and 409 IPC for the
offences numbered 11. 12. 13. 14. 15 and 16
and under Secⁿ 409 only in respect of
the sum of Rs. 1585-0-2 found deficient
in the Cash Chest on 15th July 1872

2- These enclosures little more than

third of the total amount alleged
have been embezzled by Vengn Pillai
at this invidious trial as it
unlikely that the High Court would
the result of the first and second
ding in Courtroom proceed with the
trib, I think it would be a waste of
the Magistrate's time to put up all the
charges in the first instance

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Muesay Col.
Commissioner of Police

MSB

Rowland Hill residing at Chetpott.
Stales.

I am the Superintendent of
the Government Press. Mint buildings &
Black Town. I know the accused He is
the Cash keeper of the Press and has been
such for over 12 years. Receipts are given
for all sales of publications made at the
Press and a Counterfoil of such receipts
is kept while the receipts are given or sent
to the purchasers. The particulars of these
Counterfoils are entered in a rough Cash
book and from this rough Cash book the
Cash keeper posts up the fair Cash book.
Twice a month (at the middle and the
end of each month) the balance is struck
and all cash in hand is remitted to the
Madras Bank to the credit of Govern-
ment. With these remittances a covering
letter is sent to the Bank giving the
totals under each classification (Sales of
Gazettes, Indian Law reports, Civil accounts
Certs.

Codes &c) and the total amount remitted.
This letter is prepared by the Cash keeper
(accused) and submitted to the accountants
for check. The accountants check the
items and totals with the Cash book and
on satisfying himself that all is correct
he sends it on with the Cash book. They
compare the total in the Cash book with the
total in the letter and after satisfying
myself that they agree I sign the letter and
return it with the Cash book to the accountants.
The letter is then given to the Correspondence
clerk to number, date, and to note in the
letter book the amount remitted. The letter
is then given to the Cash keeper whose duty it is
to take the letter remittance to the Madras Bank
and pay it in. The receipts for these remittances
are usually received the following day under
cover to my address. The receipts are then
dated by me, sent into the office to the Accountants
who send them to the Cash keeper to check
and file. At the end of every official year
the accounts of the Press are audited by an
official from the Accountants General's
Office. On the 15th ultimo on information

received

received. I examined the accounts of the
Press for the past three years and discovered

1. That the remittance for the second
half of July 1889 of Rs 74-13-6 was not
paid into the Bank by the Cash keeper although
the Cash book had been checked and the
letter to the Bank was written, signed, numbered
and dated as usual to accompany the
remittance. This is proved by an entry
in the letter book. The letter in this case must
have been destroyed and no Bank receipt
for this amount is to be found in the office
file.

2. That the remittance for the second
half of October 1889 of Rs 122-9-11 was
not paid into the Bank. There is no entry
in the letter book to show that the letter to the
Bank was written but there is a ticking off
mark of mine to show that I compared the
amount when signing the usual letter. The
letter in this case also must have been des-
troyed and no Bank receipt for this amount
is to be found in the office file.

3. That the remittance for the second
half of February 1890 of Rs 255-2-5 was
not

c. That the remittance for the first half of May 1890 of Rs 402-13-3 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank was written remitting this amount. In this case also the letter must have been destroyed and no Bank receipt for the amount is to be found in the office file.

d. That the remittance for the second half of May 1890 of Rs 402-13-3 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank was written remitting this amount. In this case also the letter must have been destroyed and no Bank receipt for the amount is to be found in the office file.

e. That the remittance for the second half of June 1890 of Rs 794-12-8 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank was written remitting this amount. In this case also the letter must have been destroyed and no Bank receipt for the amount is to be found in the office file.

f. That the remittance for the second half of July 1890 of Rs 1846-...-4 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows

Shows that the letter to the Bank was written remitting this amount. In this case also the letter must have been destroyed and no Bank receipt for this amount is to be found in the office file.

g. That the remittance for the first half of August 1890 of Rs 1049-12-6 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank was written remitting this amount. In this case also the letter must have been destroyed and no Bank receipt for this amount is to be found in the office file.

h. That the remittance for the second half of January 1891 of Rs 3071-4-9 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank remitting this amount was written. This letter has evidently been destroyed and a Bank receipt dated 5th February 1890 for Rs 3071-4-9 with the date altered to 4th February 1891 is found in the office file in support of this payment to the Bank.

i. That the remittance for the first half

half of April 1891 of Rs 1782-11-3 was not paid into the Bank. The letter book shows that the letter to the Bank remitting this amount was written. This letter has evidently been destroyed and a Bank receipt dated 18th April 1889 for Rs 1782-11-3 has been altered to 18th April 1891 and was found in the office file in support of this payment.

10. That the remittance for the second half of September 1891 should have been Rs 996-14-6 but only Rs 196-14-6 was paid into the Bank. The letter forwarding the remittance of Rs 996-14-6 and signed by me has evidently been destroyed and a letter in the handwriting of the accused forwarding Rs 196-14-6 with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 196-14-6 to Rs 996-14-6 was found in the office file in support of this payment.

11. That the remittance for the second half of October 1891 should have been Rs 569-12-9 but only Rs 169-12-9 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 569-12-9 and bearing my signature has

has evidently been destroyed and a letter bearing Rs 169-12-9 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 169-12-9 to Rs 569-12-9 was found in the office file in support of this payment. The office letter book shows an entry that Rs 569-12-9 was noted as the amount remitted.

12. That the remittance for the second half of November 1891 should have been Rs 688-14-6 but only Rs 188-14-6 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 688-14-6 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 188-14-6 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 188-14-6 to Rs 688-14-6 was found in the office file in support of this payment. The office letter book shows an entry in the handwriting of the accused that Rs 688-14-6 was noted as the amount remitted. The reason for this entry having been made by the accused was because the

Correspondence

~~Correspondent~~ clerk was otherwise engaged.

13. That the remittance for the first half of January 1892 should have been Rs 2098-6-0 but only Rs 2098-6-0 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 2098-6-0 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 2098-6-0 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 2098-6-0 to Rs 2098-6-0 was found in the office file in support of this payment.

14. That the remittance for the first half of February 1892 should have been Rs 1698-1-5 but only Rs 1198-1-5 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 1698-1-5 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 1198-1-5 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 1198-1-5 to Rs 1698-1-5 was found in the office file in support of this payment. The office letter book shows an entry that Rs 1698-1-5 was noted as the amount remitted.

15. That the remittance for the first half of March 1892 should have been Rs 2092-11-0 but only Rs 1092-11-0 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 2092-11-0 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 1092-11-0 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 1092-11-0 to Rs 2092-11-0 was found in the office file in support of this payment. The office letter book shows an entry that Rs 2092-11-0 was noted as the amount remitted.

16. That the remittance for the second half of March 1892 should have been Rs 2603-1-0 but only Rs 2103-1-0 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 2603-1-0 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 2103-1-0 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 2103-1-0 to Rs 2603-1-0 was found in the office file in support of this payment. The office letter book shows that an entry in the accounts handwriting that Rs 2603-1-0 was

was noted as the amount remitted.

17. That the remittance for the first half of April 1892 should have been Rs 1792-14-4 but only Rs 1192-14-4 was paid into the Bank. The letter remitting Rs 1792-14-4 and bearing my signature has evidently been destroyed and a letter forwarding Rs 1192-14-4 in the handwriting of the accused with my signature forged was delivered to the Bank and a Bank receipt for this amount altered from Rs 1192-14-4 to Rs 1792-14-4 was found in the office file in support of this payment.

On the 15th July 1892 I examined the monies in the Cash Chest in presence of the accused and found a deficit of Rs 1000-0-2 which the accused was unable to account for.

Musty
Prising Police

1892
Pub. Dept.
Encls. No. One
To
a. No. 2060

Public Dept

From

(C)

The Commissioner of Police
Madras

To The Chief Secretary to Govt.
with the Governor
St. Andrew's Hill

Order 5th Aug. 92 No. 543

CHIEF SECRETARY
84 AUG 92
MADRAS

Dated 3rd

Received

August 1892.

Enclosure 1.

Recorded with 1

The Govt. considers necessary that the
Cash keeper should also be charged
with the duty of the letter referred to by
Mr. Will be in existence in the future
Prof (from 10.10.92)

5 Aug

To The Commr. of Police J. J. J.
The ~~Police~~, ~~Madras~~ 5/8/92
6-309/92

Cash keeper - Govt. Secy

Proposing to prosecute
the — under sections
47 and 49 of I.C.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagod).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No. 2269/92

OF 18

A A

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *5-29-92*
No. *543.*

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Govt. Insp. duplications
Receiving letter from the
Comptroller of Police pro-
posing to prosecute the
cashkeeper under section
471 & 409 of the I.P.C.