

Public Dept

Memo No 979
27/6/92

Dr. Hultsch is re-
-quested to expedite
the submission of
the report on the pro-
-gress made in the
search for Sanskrit
manuscripts called
for in G.O. dt 24.1.89, no
86. The Govt. of Indi
has again asked for it

30.13.
26/11.

Melpoot Epigraphist. / Copied
Bangalore 3/5

2 JUL 92

IN M.S

Rev. 3/5-

CS.

Pub. Enr. 2693 - 008/dep

Enr. 6

737-38

21-9-81

do

757-58

20-11-90

Enr. 86 Public of 24/1/89.

This purap for Ed. & H.

1396 of 98 on

17-1-99.

No. 201.

From

Dr E. Hultman,
Government Epigraphist,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.



Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 979, dated the 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that the G. O. referred to therein (No. 86, dated 24th January 1889) is not in my file and does not seem to have been communicated to me by Mr. Rogers, under whose orders I was at the time. May I request to be supplied with a copy of it.

W. H. S. P.
all right

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. Hultman,

Epigraphist.

9 June 92 R. No. 589
 copy furnished.
 an early reply
 is requested.

J.D.B.
 for C.S.
 9/6/92

From

The Govt. Epigraphist
 Bangalore.

No. 201.

Dated 3rd

Received

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be supplied with a
 copy of G.O. No. 86 & 24th Jan'y/89 as
 it was not communicated to him
 by Dr. Burgess.

Govt. Epigraphist, Bangalore
 with G.O. quoted

Dr. E. Hultsch,
 Government Epigraphist,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
 Madras.

RECEIVED
 11 JUN 92
 GOVERNMENT

Sir,
 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt
 of G.O., dated 9th June 1892, R. No. 589, and
 enclosing copy of G.O., dated 24th January 1889,
 No. 86, by which I was directed to submit a report
 on the search for Sanskrit manuscripts in the
 Madras Presidency after two years. As stated in
 my letter dated 3rd instant, No. 201, the last
 mentioned G.O. which was addressed to me through
 Dr. Burgess, never reached me, nor is there any
 reference to it in Dr. Burgess' semi-official letters
 of this time. It may, therefore, be concluded
 that the G.O. in question has not reached Dr.
 Burgess at all, & perhaps through the mistake of
 the post office, and owing to the continual

changes.

for and upon on ~~manuscripts~~ I
regret that I am unable ~~to~~ furnish the report
which G. O., dated 2nd June 1892, No. 979, Public,
directs me to submit.

3. From my files I find that I
expressed my views on, and made certain suggestions
regarding, the collection of Sanskrit manuscripts
in a letter to Mr. Burgess, dated Koptet, the 28th
January 1889, No. 32, with reference to certain
Government Orders received with Mr. Burgess'
endorsement No. 910, dated 21st December 1888,
but am not aware if orders were since passed
on the subject.

4. I avail myself of this opportunity
for stating that I shall be very glad to superintend
the ~~search~~ for Sanskrit manuscripts in future,
and suggest that Government might be so good
as to sanction the appointment of an experienced
Brahmin assistant, who might visit and tabulate
the great private manuscript collections of the
Madras Presidency and make extracts from

From

The Govt Epigraphist
Bangalore

No 207

20th June 92
21st June 92
22nd June 92

Attesting the receipt of
G.O. of 9th June 92 No. 509 Pub
I enclose copy directing to sub-
mit a report on the search for
Sanskrit manuscripts in the
Madras Pres. for two years.

Have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
E. H. H. H.
Epiphany.

Public: dept

Sanskrit Manuscripts

In June 88 India
Enquired what progress
had been made in the
search for Sans. Mss. and
was furnished with a
report by Dr. Oppert, the
Curator. In Sep. of the
same year ^{India} enquired how
long the search should
continue in this Presy.
Both the Curator & the
D. P. I. were of opinion
that 3 years more were
necessary. The Govt. con-
curring, India was
informed accordingly.

G.O. dt 17.8.88, No 485.A.
Educ.

G.O. dt 16.12.88, No 708. Educ.

Shortly afterwards - on
the 13th Dec - Dr. Hultzsch's
opinion was asked & he
was requested to state
what work he had done
in regard to searching
for Sans. Mss. He was of
opinion that at least
3 years more were nec-
essary - he had been unable
to do much in the line
himself but if his engage-
ment as Epigraphist
was renewed he wd. do
his best to search for Mss.
and wd. arrange to

See the 1st ofcc - first sheet
of orig: G.O. dt 29.3.89,
No 2930. ab.

town so as to enable him to visit libraries of rare manuscripts. He asked for the necessary funds for the employment of native assistants and for the purchase & copying of MSS.

This matter was specially brought to India's notice when Dr. Hultsch's reengagement was recommended.

Previous to this, India had asked that a report might be furnished after two years showing the progress made in the search. The letter was couched to Dr. H. thro' Dr. Burgess, "for information & guidance".

India's reply to the letter of March '89, quoted above was the Resolution of 5th May 1890.

In March last India sent a reminder for the report asked for in January 1889, and on Dr. Hultsch's return from privilege leave at the beginning of this month he was requested to expedite the report.

In reply Dr. Hultsch asked for a copy of G.O.

G.O. d/29.3.89, N^o 293 Pub.

Letter d/29.3.89, N^o 294 Pub.

G.O. d/24.1.89, N^o 86. Pub.

G.O. d/2.6.90, N^o 395. Pub.
see also - 396. -

G.O. d/30.3.92, N^o 211 Pub.

Memos. d/2.6.92, N^o 979.

G.O. d/9.6.92, N^o 557 Pub.

Current 1527.

d/24.1.89, N^o 86; it was furnished.

Dr. Hultsch now reports that the order in question did not reach him thro' Dr. Burgess, and as he did not receive any orders on his previous suggestions in regard to the search he has done nothing in that direction and is consequently not in a position to furnish the report called for by the Govt of India.

He adds that he will be glad to superintend the search in the future and asks for an experienced Brahmin asst.

May Dr. Oppert now be asked what progress has been made up to date - so that some sort of a report may be sent on to India?

The original of G.O. d/24.1.89, N^o 86, shows that a copy of it was despd: to Dr. H. thro' Dr. B. on the 25th idem.

Yes. There is a provision year by year in the budget for the purchase of books & MSS. What has been done in it this year?

See
18 June

30B.
15/11.

18 June

Search for Sanskrit
Manuscripts

Note for

Sir Henry Stokes K.C.S.I.

The Curator's report for 1891-92 has just come in. A spare copy of it & of the report for 1890-91 are submitted - also the G.O. containing the report for 1889-90. The expenditure has been Rs. 510; Rs. 599-12-0; Rs. 600, respectively out of an annual allotment of Rs. 600 to the Library. A copy of this report goes to India in the Home Dept from the Local Educational Dept. Full information in regard to the work done in the past year is given in para. 6 of the current report.

20/6.

in which the manuscript has been sent to the Librarian.

C. 17/6
R 1896 (Saturday night)

C. 22/6 23/6
R 24/6

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The H.M. has directed that report be made up based on efforts reports. That is easily done - but India is already in possession of that information for 1890-91 and 1891-92 will shortly go on to India from the Educl. Dept in ordinary course. In letter 211 of 1891-92 India was told that H.M. had asked to report on his return from leave and the question now subd. for instructions is whether the story about Dr. H. M. non receipt of the G.O. is to be repeated to India or no mention made of it. Apparently the latter is H.M.'s intention, but it is not clear. There can be no doubt that India would at once ask for the report from Dr. H. promised in the letter of the 30th March, and in these circ: instructions are solicited before the answer to India is drafted.

F.S.B.

27/6.

P.S. The Educl draft on this year's report (1891-92) has just returned from circ: Para. 2 refers to the work of search having been handed over to H.M. and it is going to India. No apparently explanation is given as to why nothing has been done.

4778 Public Dept
7 Jul 92

12 JUL 92
IN M.S.

Letter.

Copied

The Secy to the Lg. of India
House Sept.

Sir,

In continuation of my
letter of the 30th March
No. 211, I am directed to in-
form you that on Dr.
Hultzsch's return from
privilege leave he was at
once asked to expedite the
report called for in Mr.
Hewett's letter of the 2nd
January 1889, No. 10. This
letter was commd. to Dr.
Hultzsch, through Dr.
Burgess, with L.O. of
24. 1. 89, No. 86.

2. In reply Dr. Hultzsch
states that the letter in
question did not reach
him nor did Dr. Burgess
refer to it in any of his
semi-official letters of
the time, and in conse-
quence he is unable
to submit the required
report as he has taken
no action in regard to
the search for Sanskrit
manuscripts.

3. * I am therefore to
give a reference to the

* Is this sufficient or should
what is said in each report
regarding Sanskrit manuscripts

of the curator,
 the Oriental Manuscript
 library, forwarded
 with the endorse-
 ments marginally quoted
 from which it will be
 seen that during the
 past three official years
 292 Sanskrit Manu-
 scripts were acquired
 for the library. This con-
 stitutes the work that
 has been done during
 the period named but
 there can be no doubt
 that many & valuable
 additions could be made
 were an officer to carry
 out a properly organized
 search for MSS. I am to
 say that in the event of
 Dr. Hultsch's services
 being retained in this
 Presidency on the expiry of
 his present agreement
 arrangements will
 be made for such a
 search being carried out
 by him.

2 July.

30/13.
 30/VI.

Note
 Orders on para: 4 of C.1527
 are requested. It is subd. that
 as Dr. H. has only a short time
 more to complete his agree-
 ment action may be deferred
 until it is known whether he
 is to remain or a successor
 is to be appointed to this post.

It is submitted that order may be passed
 for Dr. H. to be retained - including
 contingency - whose is approved
 Sanskrit reference will have to do
 the work.

No. 758 Dated 20.11.90.
 " 738 Dated 21.9.91.
 " 488 Dated 28.6.92.

C 47
 R 67

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
 18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No. 2229/92-2/2/92
 945 of 94

10/2/92
 25/4/92

A A
 Copied
 7/5

ORDINARY, MS.

Pathe Despatch
G.O. Date *7-7-92*
No. *475*

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Addressing the
part of India regard-
ing the report call-
ing for the pro-
prietorship in India
for Sanskrit-etc.*