

Rd
and I

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	29-6-92
No.	447 448.

INDEXED.*When given for copying.*

[Despatch Abstract.]

Regarding the ques-
-tion of refund of the
cost of a telegram
sent by the Head
of the Collector Pal-
ghat - closed as
State —

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

Desps 7/7/92

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

9

*650
117*

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

*With links to
Desps 7/7/92*

N.B.:-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Public Dept
Letter IN M.S. N° 448

To The Secy. of Telegraphs.

Sir,
With reference to yr.
letter N° 6167 of the 16th
February last requesting
that a sum of Rs. 3/4/0
might be recovered from
the Head Asst Collector,
Palghat, on acct of a tele-
gram sent by him in
June 1891, regarding his
leave, which was classed
"State", I am directed to
inform you that the
message in question was
despatched by him in
reply to a telegram from
Govt: enquiring whether
he required the privilege
leave which he had
applied for in the previous
April ^(which has been temporarily refused) and, if so, directing
him at apply at once
as other ^{similar} applications
were ~~made~~ before Govt.

2. In these circum-
stances I am directed
to say that this Govt: is of
opinion that the claim
for a refund should not
be pressed.

1 from orders /
20 June

20 B.

CURRENT NO. 1566
Public Dept
Revenue 1892.
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

Current Number 1566

To

The Secretary to Govt.
Rev Secy.

Recd in Public 16/6/92

Encls: one

Recd 8 June

Proceedings, dated 3rd JUN 92
No. 3303
MIS. Routine

Subject

Submitting with
Board's concurrence
letter from the Collector
of Malabar stating
that Mr. P. Rajagopa-
la Chari Esq. Asst. Col-
lector may not be
ordered to repay the
cost of certain telegram

WITH ORDER

The Board of Revenue

Ex. 252 & 26-2-92
19/6/91 R. 656
C. 1647/91-1
12/6/91

Encl: no. 90

Transferred to
the Public Department

A. K. M. V. S.
12.6.92

Agd under Secy to Govt.

13 June 1892

Here.


Submitted that in the
circumstances the claim
shd. not be pressed and the
Dy. may be advised accordingly
a deferred telegram wd. probably
have done as well as an urgent
one, altho' he was told to apply
sharp.

20 B.
24/6.

order. 29 June 92 N. 447.

The circumstances will be
considered by the D. Secy. of Telegraphs
with the intimation that in
opinion of Govt: the claim for
refund should not be pressed
It is however observed that a
deferred telegram would have
been just as urgent as an urgent
one and would have cost on
average the amount.

20 B. 1 from orders / 21/6



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),

Dated 3 June

1892.

No. 3303 Mis.
Routine.

The Hon Mr H.W. Bliss

READ Letter from the Collector of Malabar
dated 6 May 1892 Ref on c No 1543
P.92

Resolution.

Submitted to Government
with reference to G.O dated 26.
February 1892 No 257

2. The Board concurs with the
Collector.

(True Extract)
[Signature]

Registrar
For As: Secretary

To
The Secretary to Govt Rev Dept
with letter in original to be
returned.
Copy to collector of Malabar.

great responsibilities with it. During
my absence on tour which covers
a period of 5 1/2 months, the year
he is necessarily placed in sole
charge of my office, but should he
fall sick or require leave there is
no one in the office who could take
his place. This contingency has just
occurred. The Manager and Assistant
has had to go on sick leave and there
was no one competent to take his
place. The result is that I have been
tied to the office and have had to
bring in a clerk from outside - and
from the Local and Municipal
Department of the Chief Secretary's
Office - to conduct the work. This man
is new to the work and it must
necessarily take some time before he
will be conversant with his duties
and be of any real use to me as my
Manager and Assistant. It is
therefore very necessary that there
should be an Assistant Manager
who would also be Head Statistician
clerk on Rs 100 rising, say, to Rs 125 by
increments of Rs 5 every year. In the
statistical

statistical branch of this Department the duties are responsible and considering the large number of returns and reports received and their tendency to increase day by day, the pay proposed is not high. He will be put in charge of the various statistical returns and have to conduct the minor correspondence of my office.

He will relieve my Manager and Assistant of some of his multifarious duties and will, during the latter's illness or absence, be able to act for him. In many of the other offices there is not, I believe, such a great difference of pay between the Manager and the clerk next to him in rank, and so, if anything happens to the Manager there is some one familiar with the work and competent to fill his place. Thus the Office established by the Government of Madras and the Sanitary Engineer are free from this defect, as will appear from the particulars furnished in the margin:

(2) The necessity for an attender is much

Surgeon General's Office
 one Secretary in charge of office — Rs. 100
 1 Manager — Rs. 100
 1 Asst. Manager — Rs. 100
 1 Head Statistical Clerk — Rs. 100
 1 1st class clerk — Rs. 60

Sanitary Engineer's Office
 1 Office Assistant — Rs. 100
 1 Manager — Rs. 100

much to be felt. There is no one specially appointed for this work and so it cannot be done in as satisfactory a manner as could be wished. Under the existing arrangement the work (which will have to be done by this means) is carried on in an unsatisfactory manner by the clerks much to the detriment of the proper discharge of their assigned duties.

(3) The staff of persons allowed to me is not only inadequate, but is also insufficiently paid. There are 3 persons on Rs. 9 each and one on Rs. 8. When the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner and myself are both on tour, the office is left with but 2 persons to do all the work, and when in Madras the 4 persons have all to remain in office to help in getting through the work, so that if at any time official letters have to be sent from either the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner's house or mine, private agency has to be employed. I have therefore recommended that 6 persons be allowed to this office, one on Rs. 9, one on Rs. 8 and four on Rs. 7 each.

each. The pay on Rs 6 has been found too low to obtain anything like suitable men in Madras and when one is appointed he very soon leaves. To the Surgeon General's Office 7 peons are allowed. one on Rs 9, one on Rs 8, and five on Rs 7 each, and in the Sanitary Engineer's Office 6 peons besides 4 lascars are employed, although in neither of these offices can they have more work for them than in mine.

6. I trust I may not be understood as having instituted any invidious comparison by my having mentioned the above facts, but they have been merely called into requisition with the sole object of showing Government how weak and undermanned my establishment is and how necessary it is to augment it.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant

Das Anderson Raising.

Surgeon Lieut Col

Sanitary Commissioner
Madras



CU. DEPT. NO. 1624

Public Department

From

L.C.C.

SURGEON-MAJOR J. A. LAING,

SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS.

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government

Dated

17

Despatched

18

June 1892

Received

Regd 232

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Forwarding Proposition
statement for a revision
of Office Establishment20B.
25/6Sanitary Comm.
sent Dept with P.S.

IN M.S.

Madras

order. 29 June 92 441

A person on Rs. 2141
and four persons on Rs.
seven are sanctioned,
but the Govt. is of opinion
that the Sanitary Comm.
has not shown a case
for the other hands he
asks for.

2. If a clerk more nearly
approaching the Manager
in pay is required Dr. Laing
must provide for the app.
by a rearrangement of his
existing establishment
involving no increase
in cost. The pay of the
Manager seems unne-
cessarily high.

3. The proposition ob-
ject will be transferred
to the Financial Dept.

/ from order /

J. Laing

FOR WHAT PURPOSE

Date taken out.

Depart-
ment.

C. No.

Year.

Initials of
clerk taking
the paper or
of attender.

Date returned.

Initials of
attender.

WITH ORDER

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press _____
Miscellaneous No. _____ dated _____ 189_____
Routine _____

FOR WHAT PURPOSE.				Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
Date taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
4/4/00	Pat	821	1899			
22. 4. 10	Law	1030	1900		17-6-10	

Date taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.	Signature of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge the Referencer.

Press _____
Miscellaneous No. _____, dated _____ 189_____
Routine _____

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
22-7-50		2254	50		22/1/50	M.