

Recd
26/5

20.7.03
12-5-19

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 25-5-92

No. 356.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 26-5-92

Returned for signature. 8-6-92

Despatched in print. 8-6-92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Archaeology

Meeting that the last
statement of the actual
expenditure for 1889-90 & 1890-91 & the estimated
expenditure during 1891-92
on the preservation of bul-
dings of historical & archae-
ological interest be pre-
sented to the Superintendent
Archaeological Survey
may please submit report
& return

10. 13-5-92 - 10. 13-5-92 to 10. 13-5-92

No. 90 A.—G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
ACCOUNT.
General.

To

THE GOVERNMENTS OF MADRAS AND BOMBAY, BENGAL, THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES, BURMA, ASSAM, AND COORG.

THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA AND RAJ-PUTANA.

Simla, 6th April 1892.

SIR,

In Revenue and Agricultural Department circular letter No. 12 (Archæology), dated 24th August 1891, the Government of India drew attention to the preparation of lists of ancient remains and buildings of archæological interest. It appears, however, that in the statements of expenditure from 1887-88 to 1891-92 on the preservation of buildings of historical and architectural interest, submitted in accordance with orders issued in Public Works Department letter No. 85 A.—G., dated 5th June 1891, many buildings are entered which are devoid of archæological interest, and could not, therefore, be brought into the lists required by the August circular.

2. As the Government of India is desirous that the expenditure incurred throughout India on archæological remains should be carefully ascertained and distinguished from expenditure on any other class of buildings, I am to request that the Public Works Department lists may be subjected to examination and divided into two sections, the first of which, *viz.*, the archæological section, should contain only those buildings which either have been or can appropriately be included in the third or final list referred to in the August circular.

3. It is believed that nearly all of the buildings, &c., which are excluded from the archæological section will be found to come under the head of tombs or monuments of Europeans, which are dealt with by the Government of India in the Home Department. There are some, however, which can hardly be classed as such, as for instance, the "Residency at Lucknow," which is of historical but not strictly archæological interest. The Government of India is content to

leave it to the decision of the Local Government whether such buildings as these should be allowed to appear in the archæological list.

4. On the other hand, the Public Works Department lists do not appear to include all archæological buildings on which expenditure is incurred. An ancient palace, for instance, of which a portion is used as an office, has been omitted from the list, because the repairs come under a separate head of repairs to public offices, although the expenditure on them has been to a considerable extent directed to the preservation of the ancient building. Such omissions should be rectified. It will also be desirable that expenditure by public bodies or other departments on archæological remains should be separately exhibited.

5. As the information now called for is required for the Secretary of State, who has expressed a desire to know to what extent archæological remains are kept in repair at the public expense, it is desirable that the return of expenditure on or connected with archæological buildings, &c., should be submitted at an early date. The Government of India does not require any return of expenditure on other buildings.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. G. FORBES, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of India.

28

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Copy attached

Letter from the Government of
India No. 90 A. G. dated 6th April 1892

Proceedings Public Department
No. 452 of 28th April 1892.

Order thereon No. 1484 Wd/13 May '92

A copy of the list furnished
to the Government of India in
compliance with its letter No. 85 A. G.
dated 5th June 1891 will be forwarded
to the Public Department in view
to its examination and division
by the Superintendent Archaeological
Survey in the manner indicated
in para 2 of the letter above
recorded.

2. With reference to para 4, it is
observed that the list submitted

Pub 13/15

by the Superintending Engineer
VI Circle with his endorsement
No. 6522.C. dated 7th July 1891
made no mention of Lianmal
Naicker's Palace Madhwa. The
Superintending Engineer will now
report whether any expenditure
was incurred on the building from
1887-88 to 1891-92 on restoration
work, as distinguished from
additions and improvements carried
out to fit the interior for use
as public offices.

3. Information with respect
to expenditure by public bodies
or other departments on Archaeological
remains will be collected in the
Public Department.

4. The Government of India
will be requested to state whether
an annual statement prepared
in the manner now indicated,
will

will be required in future. 30

/ True Extract /

John J.
Col. Sec.
Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

To
The Public Department with
copy of list.

H. J.

1892. Public Dept. II
Public Works Department.

No. 1484 W.

Dated 13th May 1892

Despatched 14th May 1892

Received

Regd 16th

Encls: 2



SUBJECT.

Regarding expenditure
on the preservation of buildings of
historical & archaeological
interest

28/4/92 No. 452 Pub.
13/10/91 No. 870 Pub.
15/1/92 No. 28/9 Pub.
25 May 92 No. 356
Order.

The list will be for-
warded to the Supt.
arch. Survey for early
scrutiny, report and
return.

2. Supt. of the Secret.
ariat will be requested
to state whether any ex-
penditure on arch. re-
mains, and the S. & M.
depts. will supply infor-
mation in regard to public
bodies.

50B.
18/5

Supt. Arch. Survey will be
Supt. of the Secretariat 30/5
62/5 June 2 28/5/96

ceofs

amb
8/6

25TH MAY 1892, No. 356, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 13th May 1892, No. 1484 W., Public Works.

Read—the following papers:—

From Colonel J. G. FORBES, R.E., Secretary to the Government of India,
Public Works Department (Account-General), to the Secretary to the
Government of Madras, dated Simla, 6th April 1892, No. 90 A.—G.

In Revenue and Agricultural Department circular letter, No. 12 (Archæology),
dated 24th August 1891, the Government of India drew attention to the preparation
of lists of ancient remains and buildings of archæological interest. It appears,
however, that in the statements of expenditure from 1887-88 to 1891-92 on the
preservation of buildings of historical and architectural interest, submitted in accord-
ance with orders issued in Public Works Department letter, No. 85 A.—G., dated
5th June 1891, many buildings are entered which are devoid of archæological interest,
and could not, therefore, be brought into the lists required by the August circular.

2. As the Government of India is desirous that the expenditure incurred
throughout India on archæological remains should be carefully ascertained and distin-
guished from expenditure on any other class of buildings, I am to request that the
Public Works Department lists may be subjected to examination and divided into two
sections, the first of which, viz., the archæological section, should contain only those
buildings which either have been, or can appropriately be, included in the third or
final list referred to in the August circular.

3. It is believed that nearly all of the buildings, &c., which are excluded from the
archæological section will be found to come under the head of tombs or monuments of
Europeans, which are dealt with by the Government of India in the Home Department.
There are some, however, which can hardly be classed as such, as, for instance, the
"Residency at Lucknow," which is of historical but not strictly archæological interest.
The Government of India is content to leave it to the decision of the Local Govern-
ment whether such buildings as these should be allowed to appear in the archæological
list.

4. On the other hand, the Public Works Department lists do not appear to include
all archæological buildings on which expenditure is incurred. An ancient palace,
for instance, of which a portion is used as an office, has been omitted from the list,
because the repairs come under a separate head of repairs to public offices, although
the expenditure on them has been to a considerable extent directed to the preservation
of the ancient building. Such omissions should be rectified. It will also be desirable
that expenditure by public bodies or other departments on archæological remains
should be separately exhibited.

5. As the information now called for is required for the Secretary of State, who
has expressed a desire to know to what extent archæological remains are kept in repair
at the public expense, it is desirable that the return of expenditure on or connected
with archæological buildings, &c., should be submitted at an early date. The
Government of India does not require any return of expenditure on other buildings.

G.O., dated 18th April 1892, No. 452, Public.

Order—dated 13th May 1892, No. 1484 W., Public Works.

A copy of the list furnished to the Government of India in compliance with its letter, No. 85 A.—G., dated 5th June 1891, will be forwarded to the Public Department in view to its examination and division by the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, in the manner indicated in paragraph 2 of the letter above recorded.

2. With reference to paragraph 4, it is observed that the list submitted by the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with his endorsement, No. 652 I.C., dated 7th July 1891, made no mention of Tirumal Naick's Palace, Madura. The Superintending Engineer will now report whether any expenditure was incurred on the building from 1887-88 to 1891-92 on restoration work, as distinguished from additions and improvements carried out to fit the interior for use as public offices.

3. Information with respect to expenditure by public bodies or other departments on archaeological remains will be collected in the Public Department.

4. The Government of India will be requested to state whether an annual statement prepared in the manner now indicated will be required in future.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Public Department, with copy of list.

ORDER—dated 25th May 1892, No. 356, Public.

The list will be forwarded to the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, for early scrutiny, report and return.

2. Departments of the Secretariat will be requested to state whether there has been any expenditure on archaeological remains, and the Local and Municipal Department will supply similar information in regard to public bodies.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, with list.
„ Departments of the Secretariat.

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 25th May 1892, No. 356.

MAY.

Archæology.

Directing that the statement of the actual expenditure from 1887-88 to 1890-91 and the estimated expenditure during 1891-92 on the preservation of buildings of historical and architectural interest be forwarded to the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, for early scrutiny, report and return.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

DATE PAPER, No. 1507.
18-6-92

FURTHER PAPER, No.

3188/92

3330/92

Cus 1022/99 (P.W.D.)

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

Printed with paper

STATEMENT WITH DFTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. 80.
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter or Endorsement.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.