

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6-6
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்
(3) ஆதாரக் குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொது துறை

அரசாணை எண். 343 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 18-5-1893	1892

மடிக்கலா துண்டியடி கையாளலோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	18-5-92
No.	343

INDEXED

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing that the
 Secretary of State be
 addressed in view to
 the Secretary of the
 India, being instructed
 to oppose a copy of
 the files by Shan-
 mura Garaya Munda
 against the decision
 of the Madras High Court
 in the seven pagoda
 compensation case.

5-2-06

20-2-08

1-4-08

20-1-09

28-7-10

22-11-10

2-2-12

10/2/15

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No. 158/92.

OF 18

1918.13.3-88

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

GOVT. OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

PUBLIC (1892) *DEPARTMENT.*

**LETTER to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for
India.**

Dated 18th May,

No. 8 of 1892.

*Requesting that the Solicitors to the India Office
may be instructed to oppose a cross appeal said
to have been filed by Shanmugaraya Mudaliar
against the decision of the Madras High Court
in the Seven Pagodas compensation case.*

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD,

In continuation of our letter of the 3rd March 1891, No. 6, Public, we have the honor to request that the Solicitors to the India Office may be instructed to oppose a cross appeal which we have reason to believe has been filed by Shanmugaraya Mudaliar against the decision of the Madras High Court.

2. A concise statement of the facts of the case was forwarded to your Lordship with our letter quoted above.

We have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) H. E. STOKES.

(") J. H. GARSTIN.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18th May 1892.

Ed. A. Raitt.

Public Dept

Read Current 1314.

Order 18 May 92 A 343.

The R. & the S. of S. for
India will be addressed.

To the Bd. of Rev.

(Land Revenue).

Govt Secy.

Letter. No 8

19-5-92

The R. & the S. of S. for I.

20/5. My Lord,

In continuation

• of our letter of the 3rd
March 1891, o. v. to Public,
we have the honor to re-
quest that the Solicitors
~~to the India Office~~ may
be instructed to oppose
a cross appeal which we
are informed has been
filed by Shanungaraya
Mudaliar against the
decision of the Madras
High Court.

2. a concise statement
of the facts of the case was
forwarded to your Lordship
with our letter quoted above.

(from order 10)

Copy Despatch sent to

Govt Secy. 26/5/92

J. B.

18/5

For M. Secy.

18 May 1892

engaged in the appeal pre-
ferred to the Privy Council
on the half of Govt.

Government Pleader's Office.

High Court,

MADRAS, 13th May 1892.

No. 478

From

S. SUBRAMANIA IYER,

ACTING GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

Sir,

In reference to the Proceedings
of Government No. 291 dated the 2nd May 1892,
I have the honor to state that a concise statement
of facts and printed copies of the records in the
Shimmugalaya mudala's. Seven Pado's compensation case were submitted
to Government with ^{the office} letter No. 167 dated 24th Feb
- May 1891.

2. I am not aware of any order permitting
the Government Pleader to address the Solicitors
for the Secretary of State in England direct
I believe the instructions to the Solicitors are
usually

Public Dept^{ts}

3/3/91 No 6 Dept^{ts}

From

THE GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

Dated

13th May 1892

Recd.

14 MAY 92

GOVERNMENT No.

478

ENCLOSURE.

Stating that a concise statement
of facts & printed copies of the
record in the Seven pagoda
Compensation case were sub-
mitted to Govt on the 24th
February 1891 with his letter No 167.

Mr.

The papers referred to
were forwarded to the
Secy of State with letter No.
6 Public d/3. 3. 91. There
have been subsequent
proceedings in the High
Court it is understood
to which the Govt Pleader
does not refer. It is no
use addressing the House
Court without sending
papers. The encls. to P. d/3
2. 6. 92, No. 291, has gone
back to the Bd. of Revenue.

50 B.
7/8.

17 May.

The Govt Pleader's letter of 24th Nov
in No. 2 May 92 is not sent up.
but it is clear what has happened.
S. Munt? has prepared a case against
against the H.E. decision. S. Munt
obtained leave from. All that need
be done is to send a draft with to the
H. E. regarding him to instruct
the solicitor who is in full possession
of the facts to prepare the case against

W. M.
18 May

c17/5

usually sent from the Government Office.

I beg therefore that further orders may be issued to me, if I am in error -

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obed^t servant

J. S. Munneman

Ap. for Pleader