

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	2-5-92
No.	298

INDEXED.

~~When given for copying~~

[Despatch Abstract.]

Resolution of
the provincial chie-
-go in the Yanga-
-patan district.

1. 21
I 4/5/7

10/1/01
11/1/01
25-5-14
12-7-23
13-2-36

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 16

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

A A

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.

For WHAT PURPOSE

Public Dept

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
REVENUE.

Ct.
Mis

Order 2 May 92 A 298

Recorded.

Issued

Recd. 24th } April 1892 — Encl.
Recd 25th

LC 4

G.O., 28th March 1892, No. 297.

*Note Public can take no
action ~~but~~ it is decided
which of the Head Assistant
ships - Nellore, Cuddalore,
or Salem - is to be
abolished*

C.

MARCH.

Divisional Charges.

Board's recommendation for the redistribution of the — in the Vizagapatam district and for replacing the General Charge Deputy Collector by a European Covenanted officer of Head Assistant Collector's standing as well as for adopting the taluk establishment proposed by the Collector for Palakonda taluk, approved.

3. Pending decision of the question as to which Head Assistant should be withdrawn, the construction of buildings for the Head Assistant at Gúdúr should not be proceeded with.

(True Extract.)

Ch. C. C.
Secretary to Government.



To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
„ the Financial Department.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Public Department.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Aubert.

70 Copies.

5. The Board agrees with Mr. Willock in considering that the proposals summarized above would be sufficient and proper, and recommends them for adoption.

6. Mr. Willock also submits a proposition statement for the constitution of the two estates into a taluk. The establishment proposed is as follows:—

Existing establishment.			Proposed establishment.		
RS.			RS.		
Deputy Tahsildar	...	100	Tahsildar	...	150
Head Gumastah	...	15	Taluk Sheristadar	...	60
Second Gumastah	...	15	Head Gumastah	...	20
Attender	...	7	6 Gumastahs at Rs. 15 each	...	90
2 Peons at Rs. 6 each	...	12	Shroff	...	15
Sweeper	...	5	Duffadar	...	8
Agency Revenue Inspector	...	30	17 Peons at Rs. 6 each	...	102
Agency peon	...	6	2 Sweepers at Rs. 5 each	...	10
			1 Revenue Inspector on Rs. 40	...	40
			2 Revenue Inspectors on Rs. 35 each	...	70
			Agency Revenue Inspector	...	30
Per mensem	...	190	Per mensem	...	595
Per annum	...	2,280	Per annum	...	7,140
Increase of expenditure ...			Rs. 4,860 per annum.		

The Board approves of the proposed establishment, which is not extravagant or unreasonable. It is observed that the pay of the Agency Revenue Inspector, which was originally Rs. 30, was raised during the recent reorganization of the grade of Revenue Inspectors to Rs. 35. This raises the total cost of the present and proposed scale of establishment by Rs. 60 per annum.

7. Mr. Willock also recommends that a stationary Sub-Magistrate should be appointed for the Pálkonda taluk. The Board considers that this may be left for future consideration. Financial considerations preclude the provision of a stationary Sub-Magistrate for every taluk in the Presidency.

8. As the taluk will have to be taken under direct management from 1st July next, and as it will take some time to organize the taluk establishment, the early orders of Government are solicited.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
through the Accountant-General, with proposition statement in duplicate.

Copy to the Collector of Vizagapatam.

ORDER—dated 28th March 1892, No. 297, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The taluk establishment proposed in paragraph 6 of the Proceedings is approved and the proposition statement will be transferred to the Financial Department for sanction.

2. The redistribution of divisional charges proposed in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings is approved, as also the proposal to replace the General Charge Deputy Collector by a European Covenanted officer of Head Assistant Collector's standing. This change can be effected only by withdrawing a Head Assistant from some other district and appointing a Deputy Collector in his place; and as it appears that the charge of the Head Assistant is comparatively light in the districts of Salem, Nellore and Cuddapah, the Board will be requested to report which of these districts can best spare its Head Assistant.

Resolution—dated 16th February 1892, No. 68.

In its Proceedings of 8th May 1891, No. 279, the Board recommended that the lease of the Pálkonda and Honjeram estates to Messrs. Arbutnot and Co. should be terminated at the close of the current fasli and submitted certain proposals for their direct management. Government approved of the proposal to terminate the lease (Government Order, dated 14th May 1891, No. 2917); and, as regards the administrative changes proposed, called for a further and fuller report after consulting Mr. Willock on his return from furlough—Government Order, dated 10th July 1891, No. 3945.

2. Mr. Willock now states that he has nothing to add to the Board's proposals which were practically identical with his own, with one modification which he considers is an improvement on his.

3. Mr. Willock's proposal was that the two estates should be formed into a taluk called the Pálkonda taluk; that it should be put in charge of a European Covenanted officer as the ryots have got accustomed to European administration; that to that end the Principal Assistant Collector should be moved to the Vizianagrum division (in which that taluk is included), the Deputy Collector there stationed being transferred to the Principal Assistant's Division of Narsapatnam; and that Gajapatinagar and Paderu should be taken out of the Vizianagrum and Narsapatnam divisions, respectively, and added to the Senior and Special Assistant's charge. He apprehended no danger in placing the Narsapatnam division exclusive of Paderu under the charge of a Deputy Collector.

4. The Board supported the Collector's proposal, but in view of the need for firm administration by men of courage and of active habits of such a difficult charge as the Vizagapatam district, it considered that when moving the Principal Assistant to Vizianagrum, one of the existing Deputy Collectors should be replaced by a European Covenanted officer of the grade of Head Assistant, and that that officer should be put in charge of the south of the district comprising the Anakápalle and Chódavaram Deputy Tahsildars' Divisions and Narsapatnam and Sarvasiddhi taluks. Mr. Willock reports in the above letter that this modification of his proposal dispenses with the necessity for the transfer of Paderu to the Special Assistant's Division. The existing and proposed Divisional charges of the district would then be as follows:—

Existing Divisional charges.		Proposed Divisional charges	
Head-quarter Collector.	Deputy { Vizagapatam. Srungavarapukota Agency.	including	No change.
Narsapatnam Division.		Vizianagrum Division.	
Principal Assistant Collector.	Col- { Golgonda and Sarvasiddhi taluks. Viravilli and Mádugula. Anakápalle. Hill tracts in Viravilli and Golgonda.		{ Pálkonda with Agency. Chipurupalle. Bimlipatam. Vizianagrum.
Párvatipur Division.			
Senior Assistant Collector.	{ Bobbili. Sálúr including Agency. Párvatipur including Agency. Gunupur Agency. Bissemcuttack. Rayaghada.		{ The same with the addition of Gajapatinagar.
Vizianagrum Division.		Narsapatnam Division.	
Deputy Collector (proposed to be replaced by a European officer of the Head Assistant's grade).	{ Pálkonda with Agency. Chipurupalle. Bimlipatam. Vizianagrum. Gajapatinagar.		{ Golgonda and Sarvasiddhi Taluks. Viravilli and Mádugula. Anakápalle. Hill tracts in Viravilli and Golgonda.
Special Assistant Collector.	Jeypore, &c., Agency.		No change.

28TH MARCH 1892, No. 297, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 16th February 1892, No. 68:—

THE HON. MR. J. GROSE.

Read—the following letter from W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Vizagapatam, 5th December 1891, No. 3335:—

In reply to Government Order, No. 3945, dated 10th July 1891, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 4937, dated 15th July 1891, stating that orders about the administrative changes to be brought into effect in this district on the termination of Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co's. lease would be deferred until the Board had consulted me after my return from leave, I have the honour to inquire on what points my further opinion is required. The Board has accepted my proposals and improved them greatly by suggesting that the transfer of the Sub-Collector to Vizianagrum should not necessitate the withdrawal of a Covenanted officer from Narsapatnam. I did not ask for this myself simply because I did not think there was any use in my doing so. It renders the question of whether Paderu shall be merged in a new Padwa taluk of no consequence so far as these alterations in the low-country charges are concerned.

2. The only other point which seems to need orders is the new taluk establishment for Palkonda. For this I propose the following establishment at a cost of Rs. 6,708 per annum as suggested by the Board in paragraph 3 of its Reference, dated 28th August 1890:—

	RS.
1 Tahsildar	150
1 Taluk Sheristadar	60
1 Revenue Inspector	40
2 Revenue Inspectors on Rs. 35 each	70
1 Head Gumastah	20
6 Gumastahs on Rs. 15 each	90
1 Shroff	15
1 Duffadar	8
16 Peons	96
2 Sweepers on Rs. 5 each	10
Total	559 × 12 = 6,708

The above establishment does not provide for the Revenue Inspector on Rs. 30 and the peon on Rs. 6 per mensem sanctioned in Government Order, No. 652, dated 24th March 1887, for the Agency portion of the taluk. They will still be required in addition. The total cost of the establishment will thus be Rs. 7,140 against the present cost of Rs. 2,280 per annum. A proposition statement in the prescribed form is enclosed. In making the above proposals, I have not taken into consideration the project for the appointment of stationary Sub-Magistrates now under contemplation. When it is sanctioned the same additional establishment as that which may be allowed for Golgonda and Sarvasiddhi will have to be given for Palkonda also.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Proposition statement.