

Urgent

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	22-4-92
No.	271

16-1-148021 ✓
27-1-148021 ✓

When given for copying. 22/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the Govt
of India that this
Govt desires to release
the services of Mr. Shultz
after the expiration of
his present agreement.
& that a further com-
munication will be
advised at an early
date on the subject.

With DPO to Dr.
H. L. G. S. S.

Each Agent Order of Government, Dated
No. Miscellaneous

941/

Supd 23/4/92 to G.I. R + 4

Further Paper, No. 1178/2-5-92
220/92
2052/92 Sub
P.C. no 3416/92

upon what terms. •

I am desired to request you, when con -
-sidering the questions put above, to
bear in mind that Dr. Oppert will ere
very long- certainly not later than the
31st March next but possibly at an ear -
-lier date- retire from the Professor -
ship of Sanskrit at the Presidency
College, and that should this appoint -
-ment, which carries with it a salary of
Rs. 100/., ^{by the} ^{the} ^{ship} ~~as~~ Curator of the Oriental
Library be one which you would wish to
fill it is very possible that the
Secretary of State, in whose gift it is,
may see fit to appoint you to it.

If you succeeded Dr. Oppert this would
involve your admission to the graded ser -
-vice of the Educational Department &
the grants of a personal allowance un -
-til you earned increments equal to
your present pay. Of course as
Professor of Sanskrit you would still
be able to carry on much epigraphical
work and to supervise the training of
Native Assistants in making copies of
inscriptions &c.

21 April

C 21/4

Dr. Oppert's retirement is
certainly a loss to the
service. First he
will reach his 60th
birthday. It must
be certain that the letter
will reach him before
he starts. I am afraid
it is now too late that
his retirement must be
awaited.

4.2.13.

Current No. 941.

L E T T E R

TO

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,

Rev. & Agri. Department.

23 APR 92

SIR,

IN M.S.

In reply to Mr. Muir-Mackenzie's letter of the 26th ultimo No. 816/9, I am directed to inform you that this Government desires to retain Dr. Hultzsch's service after the expiration of his present agreement and that a further communication on the subject will be addressed to the Government of India at an early date. I am to say that if this Government is permitted to keep Dr. Hultzsch his services could not possibly be spared for the special

work in Burma referred to in the letter
under reply, which was sent to the Ministry of
Foreign Affairs, London, on 10/1/44.

DEMI OFFICIAL.

of 25 April 1897
DESPATCHED

26 APR 92

My Dear Dr. Hultzs.

I am directed to ask you to, at an early date, be good enough to inform me whether you are willing to renew your engagement with this Govt. which expires in October next, and, if so

Dr. Hultsch's retention
in service & deputation
to Burma.

~~Hon. Mr. Stokes &c.~~
~~Hon. Mr. Garstin &c.~~
H. E. the Governor.

22^d April 2

7013

20/4

07/4	C.12/4
R9/4	R.19/4
R11/4	

From

The Govt. of India.

Dated *26 March* 1892

FOR ORDERS.

on the two

Dr. Hultzsch is an ~~off~~ scholar of high rank & has been doing a great deal of work of ~~high value~~ great value in this Presidency. There is plenty still to occupy him for another three years at least and having got him I think we should endeavour to keep him. I think therefore that he should be asked whether he is willing to renew his engagements.

70.13.

5/4

Sh
of the

is willing to renew his engagements
and if so, on what terms. If we
agree I would not let him go to
Burmah. It seems from the con-
siderence that what is wanted
there is rather an architectural
expert than an Epigraphist. There
is another reason why I think it is
inadvisable that Dr. Stultzsch should
go viz. that it would be very desirable
that he should succeed Dr. Oppert, who
has been given an extension until 31
March next but who I am informed
is likely to forfeit as Professor of
Lanskiut. This would be a most ex-
cellent arrangement. He would have
to be admitted to the graded service, &
to get a personal allowance until his
senior increments equal to his present
pay. He would also be quarter of the

I know nothing of Dr. Kultzoch's
knowledge of Sanskrit - but
am very anxious to meet my
colleague for good, relying
on his statement of Dr. W.
scholarly attainments.

Dr. W. thinks Dr. R. has
more knowledge of
Burmese - J.H.G.

1074, 92

I approve of the hon. Mr. Stokes' proposals - & will be glad to bring the matter ~~forward~~ to the Sec. of State's notice.

W

182 ft.

I suppose I shall be informed as to
the answers made by Dr. Kullsch.

4-
speed

From The Govt of India.
Rev: 4 April: Dept^{ts}

No. $\frac{816}{9}$

Dated 26th March 1892

Received 4th April 1892

Regd
Enclosures 3

SUBJECT.

Enquiring whether
D^r. Hultzsch can be permitted to
visit Burma in October next for
six months to compile a list of the
antiquities of the province.

copy of a letter to the Chief
Board of Burma No 2594 - 28
dated 14th December 1891.

With reference to your
Secretary's letter No 191 - 18 A,
dated 14th November 1891, I am
directed to enquire whether it
can be approximately stated
(1) when the lists of Archaeo-
logical interest now under
preparation are likely to be
completed, and (2) how long
it would take a competent
Archaeological Officer to accomplish
a preliminary tour such as that
as suggested in paragraph 3
of your letter. It will be borne
in mind that as the tour will
be restricted in its purpose to
the general determination of the
objects and monuments worthy
of

of preservation, and will not involve any close examination in detail or drawings of the buildings concerned, the period over which it is extended need not be very great. I am to add, however, that although drawings will be unnecessary, there need be no objection to the Archaeological Officer being permitted to have the assistance of a photographer.

I have &c.

(sd) J. W. P. Muir-Mackenzie
Under Secy to the Govt. of India
Rev: & Legal Dept.

True copy

H. F. F. F. F.
Superintendent

2
Pub: 941/92

Copy of a letter from the Chief Secy of
Burma No 93/94 dated the 6th
February 1892. to the Govt of India

In reply to your letter No 2594
of the 26th December 1891, I am
directed to say that the Chief
Secy hopes that the lists of
Archaeological objects of interest
referred to in my letter No 191/18A
of the 11th November 1891, will be
ready in the course of the next
two months.

2. In reply to your second
question I am to say, that it will
probably take a competent
Archaeological Officer not more
than one dry season, i.e. from
November to May, to accomplish
a preliminary tour as suggested
in para 3 of my letter. It
will not be necessary for him
to visit any part of Lower
Burma, as the only part of

of of Lower Burma, in which remains
in exist which it may be desirable
in to survey is Arakan. Dr
Forchhammer prepared a
one monograph on the antiquities
no of Arakan which renders any
for further preliminary investigation
will unnecessary. The operations of
be the expert will therefore be
off limited to Upper Burma and
the the Chief Commissioner believes
that he will be able to make a
preliminary tour through that
province in 6 months at the
outside. Probably the work
will not take him more than
3 or 4 months. It will not be
necessary for him to do more
than visit the various sites,
such as Amarapura, Ava,
Pagan and Tagaungmye, at
which interesting archaeological
remains

ius exist. The number of
sites is not large and it
not take very long for an
expert to decide whether a
survey is desirable. The Chief
Commissioner proposes that
expert should arrive in
soon in the latter part
October so that he can take
field in the beginning
November and complete
work, if possible, before
commencement of the
weather. The heat in
Burma is very intense
during the hot weather and
out-door work very trying
to Europeans.

I have &c.
(sd) G. G. Bayne
Secy to the Chief Comr.

True copy
H. F. F. F.
Subst. in hand.

Reg. No.

1891.

REV. & AGRI. DEPT.

From

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER, BURMA.

D. 11th November } 1891. { No. 191-18.A.
B. } { Enclosures,
{ Spare copies, 50.

D. No. Progs.

B. No. No.

List of objects of antiquarian and archæological interest.

REPORTS on the action taken in Burma to prepare lists of objects of archæological interest, and to make a selection from the lists of the buildings or remains worthy of being repaired or conserved.

3

Pat. 941/92

the Shwe Dagôn pagoda since the Trust for it was constituted. It is proposed to circulate this report to local officers and to ask them to advise whether other celebrated pagodas should be dealt with in the same way. When it has been decided what pagodas to treat in this manner, a further communication on the subject will be addressed to the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

C. G. BAYNE,

Secretary.

G. B. C P. O.—No. 3521, B. S., 13-11-91—75.

ings for conservation and of providing funds for the purpose can be proceeded with. I am, however, to say that this Administration no longer possesses the services of an officer competent to advise on the archaeological and architectural value of ancient monuments. The Chief Commissioner considers that it would be well if such an officer could be deputed by the Government of India for service in Burma. In this connection I am to invite a reference to paragraph 7 of this office letter No. 801-1A., dated the 23rd April 1891, in which the Chief Commissioner proposed to defer expressing an opinion on the suggestion to execute an archaeological survey in Upper Burma until Mr. Taw Sein Ko had finished the work of publishing Dr. Forchhammer's remains. On further consideration the Chief Commissioner considers that the general question of the conservation of ancient monuments can be decided without awaiting Mr. Taw Sein Ko's report. The reports which Deputy Commissioners have been required to submit will furnish information as to the monuments and buildings which actually exist. If an archaeological expert were deputed to Burma as suggested above, he could examine these reports, make a rapid tour through Upper Burma, visiting the sites of the most famous and interesting buildings, and advise as to the expediency of an archaeological survey.

4. The foregoing remarks apply to buildings which come within the category of remains, *i.e.*, which are no longer places of active worship and are no longer cared for by the people themselves. The most important buildings in Burma are pagodas, such as the Shwe Dagôn pagoda in Rangoon, which are still places of worship. Many of these buildings possess great architectural beauty, and it appears on that score to be within the province of Government to assist in their conservation. This assistance may be most conveniently rendered by providing for the administration of pagodas by means of Trusts established under section 539 of the Civil Procedure Code, and by making grants to the Trust Fund. Trusts of the kind in question have been created with reference to the Shwe Dagôn pagoda in Rangoon and similar action is about to be taken with reference to the Arakan pagoda at Mandalay. The Shwe Dagôn Trust was created in 1884 and the experiment of creating it has worked successfully. The pagoda is in charge of a Committee of Burman elders elected by their co-religionists and has been much better cared for since the creation of the Trust than before. No Government grant has been made to assist the Trust, none such being necessary. There are other pagodas, however, which are still places of worship, and the conservation of which is desirable on account of their architectural merits or their historical associations or both, but which the people are unable to keep in a state of proper repair themselves. Such are some of the fine pagodas at the abandoned capital of Old Pagan. It is to such pagodas that the Chief Commissioner would be disposed to give grants. This may be most conveniently done by creating Trusts, as in the case of the Shwe Dagôn pagoda, and by making grants to the Trust. An alternative plan would be for Government to undertake the repair of selected pagodas itself. The former plan appears preferable, because it would stimulate private generosity. The Government grant would be supplemented by private subscriptions and the works would be carried out in accordance with Burmese ideas of art and at a cheap rate as much of the labour would be given gratis as a work of merit. If Government undertook the work of restoration itself, through the agency of the Public Works Department, the people themselves would not contribute to the same extent, and the work would be carried out at the Public Works Department rates and probably by Indian workmen. Under this system much less work would be done than under the other, and it would be done in a manner which would give much less satisfaction to the people themselves. Under these circumstances, the Chief Commissioner considers that any expenditure which may be incurred by Government in repairing celebrated pagodas should take the form of grants to Trusts. There is, however, a difficulty in the way of the formation of Trusts, namely, that before a Trust can be formed a fund must exist. It is only a very few pagodas that receive regular donations and with reference to which a fund exists. The question how best to surmount this difficulty is at present under consideration. Meanwhile a report has been called for as to the management of

Burma.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—No. 191-1S.A.

FROM

C. G. BAYNE, ESQUIRE, I.C.S.,
SECRETARY TO THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
REVENUE AND AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT.

Dated Rangoon, the 11th November 1891.

SIR,

IN reply to your letter No. 18-28 (Archæology), dated the 24th August 1891, I am directed to report on the action taken in Burma to prepare lists of objects of archæological interest, and to make a selection from the lists of the buildings or remains worthy of being repaired or conserved.

2. Under the orders communicated in Home Department endorsement No. 103, dated the 4th July 1882, lists of objects of antiquarian interest in Lower Burma were prepared by Deputy Commissioners and printed in a pamphlet, of which copies were submitted to the Government of India with this office letters No. 638-1A., dated the 24th June 1884, and No. 801-1A., dated the 23rd April 1891. The Resolutions of the Home Department cited in the margin communicated further instructions for the preparation of lists. The action taken on these instructions was to send to the late Dr. Forchhammer, Government Archæologist, copies of the pamphlet referred to above, and to request him to prepare lists from it in the form prescribed in the abovequoted Resolutions. The action thus taken was reported to the Government of India in this office letter No. 87-5A., dated the 3rd July 1884. During 1885 Dr. Forchhammer spent some months in touring through Burma and in making investigations for the purpose of preparing lists. The only part of the province for which he actually prepared a list was Arakan. A copy of the list which he prepared was submitted with this office letter No. 801-1A., dated the 23rd April 1891. No action of the kind referred to in clause (c) of paragraph 4 of your letter was taken because Dr. Forchhammer's list of Arakan antiquities was not received until the beginning of 1886, when Upper Burma had recently been annexed and when Lower Burma was in disorder. The time was not a suitable one for any action in the direction of archæological investigations, and when order was restored the matter had been lost sight of.

3. In reply to your inquiry as to the further steps contemplated in connection with the preparation of these lists I am to submit the following remarks. In the course of the present year the Chief Commissioner took into consideration the question of the maintenance and repair of such pagodas and buildings in Upper Burma as are worth preserving on account of their historical associations or of the intrinsic excellence of their architecture. Officers in Upper Burma were invited to prepare lists of buildings of historic, architectural, and archæological value which it might be expedient for Government to conserve. These lists have not yet been received. Officers in Lower Burma are now being asked to state whether they recommend the conservation of any of the buildings named in the lists prepared in 1884. When their reports, together with the reports from Officers in Upper Burma, have been received, the work of selecting build-

FROM

J. W. P. MUIR-MACKENZIE, ESQ., C.S.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

Secretary to the Government of India
Madras

Calcutta, the 26th Nov. 1891

Revenue and Agricultural
Department.

SIR,

Archæology

I am directed to forward the
correspondence noted on the margin
on the Chief Commr. Burma
No. 191-1 SA of 11th Nov 91
Ditto ✓ Ditto
No. 2574/28 of 24th Dec 91
Ditto ✓ Ditto
No. 93/94 of 6th Feb 92

and to enquire whether His
Excellency the Governor
in Council would
permit Dr. Hultzsch
to visit Burma
in October next
for a period not
exceeding six months to compile a
list of the antiquities of the province.
As a Pali scholar it is believed
that Dr. Hultzsch would be the officer
best fitted to undertake the work and
the Government of India are anxious
that the compilation of the list should
be

proceeded ^{with} without delay.

2. The above proposal is contingent
on Mr. Maltby's services being retained
beyond the 1st November 1892 when the
existing sanction to his appointment
expires and I am to enquire whether
it is the intention of the Govt. of
Madras to apply for an extension
and if so, to request that a separate
application may be made at an
early date for submission to Her
Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

I have the honor to be.

Yours most obedt. Servt.

John Maltby

Under Secretary.

W