

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 5-4-92
No. 235

INDEXED.

When given for copying, 57 1/2

[Despatch Abstract.]

Deciding not to al-
drop the Secretary of
State regarding the
appointment of Mrs.
James as Sept 2
7 fails without ref-
erence in such case
as the Secretary -

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DIPTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIPTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full.
- B — Not to be copied.
- P — Copy abstract only.
- Q — Copy heading only.

4/2/1941

Read again: -
Para: 7 of G.O. d/6. 11. 91,
No. 2286, Judicial.

Order 5 April 92 # 235

On reconsideration
of the question of the
the Secy of State with regard to the
appointment of Euro-
peans to be Supts: of
Sails without reference
in each case to ^{His Lordship} the A. S.
the ~~S. of S.~~ the Govt: directs
^{to take no} ~~that no further action~~
^{in the matter} ~~as indicated in the G. P.~~
~~read above be taken~~
~~in the matter.~~

/ From orders /

5 April

78B. —

14/14

DEFINITION

3 APR 62

IN M.S.

To the Judicial Dept.

GOVT. OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

PUBLIC (1892) DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

*General Instructions
for the Public Department*

LETTER to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for
India.

Dated March,

No. of 1892.

General Instructions

See letter from Mr. Justice C.J.

*Para. 7 of 1892 C.O. No. 171. Mr. Justice
C.J. was based on the above. Mr.
Justice's remark in pencil on p. 47 of
Mr. Justice's report:*

*On the current relating to the recruitment
of the superior grades of the Police
the Hon. Mr. Justice has remarked
regarding the proposed letter to the
Secretary of State "I think it had better
be dropped". The papers regarding
the recruitment of the Police are
being dealt with separately.*

23 March

Public Dept.

*With refce. to para: 2
of the above note orders
are requested whether
the matter is to be
dropped as suggested by
the Hon. Mr. Justice.*

J.B.

23/3.

*Yes. I said in my letter
of 10/3/92 in C.O. No. 3490 that
I suggested to the Hon. Mr. Justice
that he had better write to the
Secretary.*

1/4/92

A.C.

1/4/92

Prop 2

MARCH 1892, No. , PUBLIC.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD,

We have the honour to invite your Lordship's attention to paragraph 7 of our Proceedings marginally noted, and to request that your Lordship will sanction the addition of the Madras Jail Department to the list of departments excluded from the operation of the rule in regard to the employment of Europeans contained in paragraph 3 (d) of the circular communicated to this Government with Lord Cranbrook's Public despatch, No. 9, of the 10th July, 1879.

2. The reasons which induce us to make ^{this} ~~the present~~ request are that we consider that natives are, as a rule, wanting in many of the qualifications which are necessary for the proper performance of the duties of a Superintendent of a jail. The native of India referred to in our letter to your Lordship, No. 9, Judicial, dated 22nd September 1890, was one selected after considerable deliberation and enquiry and was, in our opinion, the best officer who could be found for trial as Superintendent of a jail. In what degree he failed will be seen from our letter above referred to.

3. Although officers of the Police Department are eligible for transfer to the Jail Department in accordance with the Marquis of Hartington's despatch, No. 4, Judicial, of the 7th July 1881, they are not always available or willing to be so transferred. We therefore are, under these circumstances, of opinion that it is highly desirable that we should have authority, when we deem it desirable in the interests of the public service, to appoint Europeans other than Police officers to the Jail Department, and we accordingly would strongly urge for your Lordship's favorable consideration the request preferred in paragraph 1 of this letter.

4. Your Lordship will observe from paragraph 7 of our Proceedings already alluded to that we have provided for the proper training of all officers hereafter appointed to the Jail Department.

We have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

S/
29 78.

It is difficult to make out any strong case. It seems impossible to say more in support of the proposal than is contained in the draft.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
March 1892.

S/
29 28

There is no need of the 100. I am sure to be satisfied.
He
of the

Order

From

The Judicial Dep^t

The Hon: the Secy of State for India will be addressed accordingly.

70B:

11/12

No. 2286

To the Judicial Dept

Dated 6th

Received 26th

Enclosures

Nov^r 1891.

SUBJECT.

Reviewing Mr. Cardew's Jail Administration Report.

Mr. Cardew will be asked to make a further report on the subject.

WITH ORDER

I am, first, to state, in relation to the question in para 7 of the report, that it is correct.

But seeing that India is still considered the question, it is believed to be a large scheme for the appointment of judicial administrators, I think we must settle at this moment what is the basis. In any case, we ought to settle through India.

Para 7. The report 2 matters - (1) the directions to examine all superior judicial officers & (2) examine all judicial officers & to appoint non-official judges to the Judicial Dept. - Both these matters are now before the Secretary.