

ORDINARY, MS.

|                    |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| Public DEPARTMENT. |         |
| G.O., DATED        | 5-11-91 |
| No.                | 936.    |

INDEXED.

When given for copying 5/11

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declines to comply  
with the request of Mr.  
B. M. M. for full  
pay when he acted  
as Sub Collector -

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING HERE FOR DISPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Irvine's retirement on the 22nd  
June last. The permanent promotions  
on that occasion accordingly ended with  
him.

Mr Macleod's claim to pay as  
permanent Sub-Collector.

The Honorable Mr. Stokes C.S.I.

*ch. 28/10*  
*29 Oct*  
I don't think this makes any  
difference as regards Mr  
Macleod's claim. The vacancy  
was a purely technical one  
owing to Mr. Russell's ~~delegation~~  
not technically occupying the  
appointment until he actually  
joined Mr. Macleod as I  
said before his ~~in~~ claim  
on the pay, and has been  
fitting throughout the usual  
allowances of an acting Sub  
Collector which are all that  
his standing in the service  
entitles him to.

*28/10*  
*30 Oct.*

*Order 35 Nov 91 K 936.*

H. E. the Governor in Council is  
unable to comply with Mr. Macleod's  
request. The vacancy in question was  
a purely technical one. Mr. Macleod  
moreover, has no real claim on the pay as he  
has been drawing the usual allowances  
of an Acting Sub-Collector, which are  
all that his standing in the service  
entitles him to. (From Order)

*Bo. of Rev. (Chair) Rev. Q. 10*

*28/10*  
*29/10*  
*2.2/11*

C No 2954.

With reference to the remarks of Honorable Member on the above current, it is submitted that no Head Assistant came into the arrangements consequent on Mr. Russell's promotion in June last/G O No R 765, 31-7-91/.

Mr Russell having been granted extraordinary leave on m.c. without pay forfeited his lien on the permanent appt. of Sub-Collector, S Arcot on the 20th June 1890. To the vacancy thus created Mr C. H. Mounsey was appointed, Mr L C Miller being given a step as Head Assistant. As it was understood that Mr Russell was coming out in the early part of this year he was provided with a permanent Sub-Collectorate-Malabar-in the arrangements consequent on Mr H Sewell's retirement on the 1st January 1891. He however obtained another extension of extraordinary leave & Messrs. C J Weir & R Morris were given steps as Sub-Collector & Head Asst. respectively, in lieu of Mr Russell's appointment in Mr Sewell's vacancy. Mr Russell was known to be coming out in July & was given the permanent Sub-Collectorate rendered vacant on Mr G D

Public 189/  
Board of Revenue  
(Land Revenue),  
MADRAS.

A

To

The Chief Secretary to  
Government P. D.

Proceedings, dated 7 October 1891,  
No. 7208 Mis. Routine. Encls. 2.  
Subject.

Submitting for the  
orders of Government  
letter from the Collector of  
North Arcot requesting  
that his Ag. Sub-Collector,  
Mr. Macleod may be  
considered as a Sub-Collector  
from 22<sup>nd</sup> June to 26 July 1891.

This being "Public" - not an appointment -  
should go to the hon. Mr. Stokes & send.  
As far as I am concerned, I have  
no objection to Mr. Macleod  
getting the pay he asks for. W. Wood.

21st. the Governor.  
H. W.

For Orders.

Mr. Macleod bases his claim to  
the full pay of the Sub-Collectorate  
of North Arcot from 22<sup>nd</sup> June to 26  
July 1891 on the ground that  
he was the particular officer acting  
in the appt. which was given to Mr. B  
Russell (on paper) in order that  
when he came out again he might  
draw pay similar to that drawn by  
his contemporaries in the service.  
Mr. Macleod's number in the  
Civil List is 83; that of the  
Ag. Sub. Coll. Mr. F. Hemmell is 84.  
So that Mr. M. could not reasonably  
expect any advantage from a  
vacancy in the Sub-Collectorate grade for the  
time to come. It is certainly, it is  
submitted, not entitled to the benefit  
of the short temporary vacancy in this

Copy.  
R. 26/10

C.L.


H. W.

Very truly

W. Wood

Encls.

These short delays in filling up appts  
occur in almost every case and are  
avoidable except by cutting. It would  
be a lot of bother to fill up the vacancies  
and I don't think it has been done.  
I should prefer to anticipate Mr. Russell's  
so that the H.A. appointment in his place should  
be for the full pay, instead of Mr. Macleod's  
unsubstantiated claim to 22-26 July.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue  
(Land Revenue),

Dated 7 October 1891,

No. 7208 Mis. Routine.

The Hon. Mr. J. Crose  
Mr. G. W. G. Walters

READ Letter from N. Le Gann Esquire Collector of  
North Arcot dated 3 September 1891 no. 1143  
In.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government.

( True Extract,

Ag. Secretary.

The Chief Secretary to Government P. D. /  
with letter and enclosure in  
original to be returned.  
Copy to Collector of North Arcot.

In R. B.

Judge, Madras, of the Government  
appoints Mr. Macleod as Sub Collector  
Sub Collector for the above period. Under  
these circumstances, I have the honor to  
submit the case for orders.

I have the honor to be,

Yours most obedient servant


W. Macleod,  
Collector.

2 Public No 2954/91.

2 Public No 2954/91.

No 1143 North Arcot Collectors office  
In Camp Puttur 3<sup>rd</sup> September 1891.

From  
H. R. S. S. Squire  
Collector of North Arcot.



To The Secretary to the  
Commissioners of  
Land Revenue  
Madras.

Sir,  
In his letter no 744 dated 1<sup>st</sup> instant  
the ag Subcollector Mr Macleod requested  
that, as Mr Thompson, permanent Subcollector  
of this District, was confirmed as District  
Judge of North Malabar, with effect from  
22<sup>nd</sup> June 1891, and as Mr Russell was  
confirmed in his place on the 28<sup>th</sup> July 1891,  
the full pay, available for the interim viz,  
22<sup>nd</sup> June to 28<sup>th</sup> July 1891, might be allowed  
to him. On referring the matter to the Account  
ant General for favorable consideration, that  
Officer says, in his no: 2312 dated 13<sup>th</sup> instant -  
vide Copy enclosed - that Mr Macleod can  
draw the full pay of the appointment from  
the 22<sup>nd</sup> June to 26<sup>th</sup> July 1891 (not 28<sup>th</sup> July 1891  
as stated by Mr Macleod), the date preceding  
that on the forenoon of which Mr Russell  
took charge of the office of additional session  
Judge.

(True Copy)

Camp Puttur  
4-9-91

Mr Macleod  
Collector

*[Signature]*

Gazetted Audit Department.

Fort Saint George.  
Accountant General's Office  
13 August 1891.

No. 2312.

From, J. N. Rawling Esq.,  
Accountant General,  
Madras.

To, H. J. N. C. Francis Esquire  
Collector of North Arcot.

Sir, With reference to your endorsement  
No 5147, dated 7<sup>th</sup> August 1891, on letter from  
Mr B. Macleod, acting Subcollector, North Arcot,  
claiming full pay as Subcollector from 23<sup>rd</sup> June  
to 27<sup>th</sup> July 1891, I have the honor to state  
that if Government appoints Mr B. Macleod  
as Subprotem Subcollector, North Arcot, from  
22<sup>nd</sup> June, the date from which Mr A. Thompson  
was promoted, District Judge, to 26<sup>th</sup>  
July 1891, the date preceding that on the  
forenoon of which Mr Russell took  
charge of the office of additional District  
Judge, Madras, Mr Macleod can draw the  
full pay of the appointment of Subcollector  
for the above period, - vide article 114 of the  
Civil Service Regulations.

I have &c.  
(B) illegible

Actg Accountant General  
True

Chief Secy. is inclined to  
support ~~against~~ the proposal  
that action should be taken  
with reference to this sub.  
pro tem vacancy for <sup>12 months</sup> ~~12~~

months - If the C. Secy.  
~~decides~~ to submit the proposal  
for favourable consideration  
the arrangement will be  
worked out as far as ~~the~~  
costs. It is ~~the~~ submitted  
however that this is only  
one of those ~~rare~~ chances  
which occurs very seldom  
& that no action is necessary.

C.S.  
10/12

The difference ~~in the~~  
is 98 Rs. p. m. to the office  
which is given the 24<sup>th</sup>.

C.  
I think it is ~~not~~  
proposed to ~~do~~  
the fact that Govt. has  
saved a few rupees here  
is no reason for ~~going~~  
of the way to ~~find~~  
of two or three persons who

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The last office Note was  
not intended, it is submitted, to  
support Mr. Macleod's claim  
but to show that the course  
suggested by Honorable Member  
the latter part of  
in his Note of 22<sup>nd</sup> Oct. which was  
concerned in by H.E. the Govt., was  
impracticable

C.S.  
3/10