


ORDINARY, MS.

**INDEXED**When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Respecting correspondence regarding the submission of returns of land held by Communist Circles in Bombay & Bengal with remark that no change will be made in the practice existing in the Province—

I
15-1-01 ✓
29-4-01 ✓
6-2-04 ✓
4-12-14 ✓
29-9-15 ✓
2-9-21 ✓

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

120

18-2-95

With reference to the
when And to the
there is any
reasons for a change
Civil in being any
about movements in
Bristol or Bournemouth
China a person
England - I am
some of the subjects of it
is more than that it
on a visit to the
within the limits of the
the present position
of the country - I am
the whole of the country.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Page 90 & I think the
return should be
sent to the
Secretary - This
is the return, required of
Anglo-Bank of India

5/12/21
12/21

note with reference to
the slip attached.

The return was
prescribed in para: 3 of
K G.O. Rev: No 1357, dt 1.12.82,
and was to contain a
description of the officers
"interest in landed property
within the Presidency".
Subsequent orders have
all been to a like effect,
and it is submitted that
it is generally understood
that only land in the Presy
or Feudatory States should
be returned.

In regard to Shares in
Companies, attention is
invited to the original
of G.O. No 815, Pub: dt 27.11.90.
Para: 6 of that order is as
follows:-

"Shares held in Companies
which do not carry on operations
in this Presy may be omitted
from future returns."

That G.O. was commd: to
all depts: of the Secretariat,
but it might be well to
issue now to all Members.

Covered service
(to G.O.) an order should
be issued by how matter
1.

suggests that the return
should be abolished.

Orders are requested
whether, as a prelimin-
ary, enquiries may
be made as to whether
similar returns are
submitted in the other
Presidencies, and, if so,
their form and the
descriptions of property
of which a return is
required.

8
13 July

J.B.

10/7

The joint appears to have been
settled by G.O. 27 Nov 90.

I don't see any need for enquiries.

MS
13 July

Please send a fresh return
for 1890 made out for me.

Attn: Mr. May & Co.

Bengal & Assam for 1890

Did not then any return
of lands held by Govt. & others
are required to be submitted
of the land of those who are

20/7/91

MS

Land Returns.

Again to

Hon. Mr. Stokes C.S.I.

~~Hon. Mr. Garstin C.S.I.~~

MS

To The Hon. F. Nolan
Secy to the Govt. of Bengal
in the Genl. & Revenue
& Statistical Dept.

To Mr. J. C. Nugent Esq. C.S.
Chief Secy to the Govt.
of Bombay -

J.B.
22/7

Deemi official

My dear Sir,

I have been direct-
ed to inquire deemi-
officially whether any
Return of Lands held by
Covenanted Civilians
is required to be subd. to
Govt. by members of
the Bengal Civil
Service; and, if so, to
ask that a copy of
the form of Return may
be furnished for the
information of this Govt.

MS

FORM OF REGISTER.

[illegible]

The Secretariat

7 August 1891

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT
10 AUG 91

My dear Sir,

In reply to your ~~letter~~ - official
letter dated the 27th ultimo I am directed to inform
you that members of the Bombay Civil Service
are required to submit to Government a re-
gister showing landed property held by them.
A copy of the form of register is enclosed.

I am

Yours truly

R. Mackenzie

To

The Honble J. F. Price, C. S.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.


1
L. P. 01359/91

Bengal Secretariat,

Calcutta, the 20th Aug 1891,

Dear Sir,

In reply to your official
note of the 27th ultimo to the address of Mr.
Nalan, I am to say that the Covenanted
Civilians in Bengal are not required
to submit any Return of land or property,
as under paragraph 2 of the orders of
the Government of India in the Home
Department No. 21-799 dated the 13th May
1885, they are prohibited from acquiring
or holding land within the province in
which they are employed, or with the
administration of which they are concerned,
whether



14/10
2/11

whether that connection is permanent or temporary. Natives of India who enter the Indian Civil Service by competition in England have only recently been exempted by the Government of India from the above rule, and they are now required to submit a statement of the landed property owned by them in Bengal or in which they may have any interest. A copy of the form of Return used by this Government is enclosed.

Yours truly

H. Munson

To the Honble J. F. Price,
Chief Secy to the Govt of Madras

Public Dept.

Land Returns for 1890.

All the Returns have been recd: except Mr. Rajagopalachari's - and he has written to his "old grandfather" for the required particulars. It is probable his return will be the same as that for last year. The Registers have been written up.

Mr. Bliss has not yet disposed of the Ooty property.

Mr. O'Farrell has built a house on his land at Kodi.

Mr. Grahame acquired an additional 37 acres at Kodi from his late wife.

Mr. Hughes, Esq. Engr., has purchased 7.6 acres in Madura Dist: (probably at Kodi) as a building site.

Col. Campbell has reported the names of the Companies in which he holds shares.

Two officers purchased shares in the A.C. & C.S. Cooperative

Society.

The following officers
hold shares in the Baul
felladras:-

Mr: G. S. Forbes.
" C. A. Galton.
" W. O. Horne.
" S. Russell.
" F. E. W. Wedderburn.
" F. H. Wilkinson.
" J. W. Martin (P.W.D.)
Col: Kilgour (Police).
Dr: Sturmer.

Land Returns

Hon: Mr: Stokes C.S.I.
Hon: Mr: Garton C.S.I.

Currents 2359 and
2519 - being replies from
the Govts: of Bombay and
Bengal to the query
whether civilians ~~are~~^{are}
required to submit
annual land returns -
are submitted.

In Bombay they are
in the Returns does not
include shares in companies.
In Bengal they are not.

The question is there -
fore submitted for orders.
and attention is invited to G.O. 790
of 10.9.99 on the subject.

There would be a saving
in every way if only officers
who do hold lands and
shares were required to
submit ^{returns} and it would be
inconvenient if - when such
the case - those officers were

As Madras is asked for in
Bombay it must be content to
submit it too
15/9/91 L.S.

I would retain the returns, and if they
can be got at all, they must be got from
all officers. The suggestion that blank
ones need not be submitted does not
concern itself to me.

100s
2 lbs.

The same as that for the pre-
vious year instead of recap-
itulating all items each

For B.

Pub DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt of Bengal

How: Mr. Stoller C. S. I.

Order } *12th Sept. 91 No 789*

*Recorded with the
remark that no change
will be made in the
practice existing in this
Presidency.*

/ From orders /

J. F. PRICE
7/9

8/9

No.

Dated 20-Aug

Received 27-D

Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

*Making that Consular
Officers in Bengal
are not required to sub-
mit any return of
landed property.*

12TH SEPTEMBER 1891, No. 789, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated 7th August 1891.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that the members of the Civil Service are required to submit to Govern-
ment a register showing the landed property held by them.

From the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, dated 20th August 1891.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that the members of the Civil Service are not required to submit to
Government a return of landed property, as, under paragraph 2 of Government of India orders
in Home Department No. 21-799, dated 13th May 1885, they are prohibited from acquiring or
holding land within the province in which they are employed, or with the administration of
which they are concerned, whether that connection is permanent or temporary; but that Natives
of India entering the service by competition in England are required to submit such a return.

ORDER—dated 12th September 1891, No. 789, Public.

Recorded, with the remark that no change will be made in the practice existing
in this Presidency.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt..

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 12th Sept. 1891, No. 789.

SEPTEMBER.

Land-holding.

Recording correspondence regarding the submission of returns of land held by Covenanted Civilians in Bombay and Bengal with remark that no change will be made in the practice existing in this Presidency.