

6-11-08.✓

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT
G.O., DATED	12-8-91.
No.	690.✓

INDEXED.*When given for copying*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Approving action of
the Collector of Sea Customs
in having credited the fees
received from importers on
account of examinations of
Caoutchoucine & rectified-
lated spirits—*

*Approved for
Signature of the
Collector*

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

No. 15974

SEA CUSTOM HOUSE, 2284
Madras, 2^d August 1887.

FROM

W. P. Austin Esq.

Collector of Sea Customs,
Madras,

TO

The Honble J. F. Price Esq.

Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department
Cotacaimund

SIR,

I have the honor to request the favor of early instructions as to whether the orders conveyed in G. O. No. 436 Public dated 3rd June last, that the Chemical Examiners should not receive any fees for the compulsory examination of petroleum and explosives but that the fees should in future be credited to Government apply also to fees realized in cash by this Department from importers on account of examinations of caoutchoucine and methylated spirits, which are also compulsory tests under the marginally noted rules. The fee collected for each test is Rs 5/- vide Board's Proceedings dated 10th May 1886 to 1884.

2. As the cases are analogous I have, pending the receipt of orders, directed the fees to be credited to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant

Issued under Section 155 of the Sea Customs Act
VIII of 1878 and published at page 831 of the Fort
St. George's Gazette Part I dated 11 December 1887,
as modified by G. O. No 275 Rev: dated 5th March 1888.

* Not available

12/8.

H.M.

144
8am.

For orders. It is
submitted that the
Collector's action may
be approved.

J.B.
6/8

X
14/8

Order 12th Aug. 91 No 690

The Collector's
action is approved.

/ From orders /

J.B.
10/8.

Secy of Sea Customs
Rm. 115
Chemical Examiner. 125th 15.9.91.

Public

2284

S. No.

of 189

Ref.

Customs Department.

From

THE COLLECTOR OF SEA CUSTOMS,

Madras.

To

THE Chief Secretary to Govt
Public Department
at Calcutta

Dated 3
Despatched 3
Received 78

August 1891.

No. 15974

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

15 AUG 91

Requesting the favor
of early instructions whether
the orders conveyed in
O. No. 236 of 3rd June 1891
apply also to the value
from importers on account of
examination of Coast Stowing
and methylated Spirit.

Index
Head.

Vide

WITH OR

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Subhi</i> DEPARTMENT	
G.O., DATED	<i>12. 12. 91</i>
No.	<i>691</i> ✓

INDEXED.

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Cancellation certificate
notations re Hmo-
ring title with re.
from L. Pol No. 440-41
7 91 —*

Public Dept. C.A.

Gobt. of {1891} Madras.
POLITICAL.

Issued

Recd. 3-8

Encl.

G.O., &c., 17th July 1891,
Nos. 440, 441.

JULY.

Honorary Titles.

Cancelling Financial Department order, dated 13th August 1885, No. 599 L.F., so far as members of Local Boards and Municipal Councils are concerned and forwarding copy of Proceedings to the Government of India.

Recd. 17/8/91
and 20/8/91
Placed in the
Cabinet (C. 12/8/91) marked
with
17/8/91

~~Recorded.~~

~~20/8~~

~~17/8~~

~~17/8~~

Order 12th Aug. 91 No. 691

Notification No. 326 at page 549 of the Fort St. George Gazette of August 21st, 1885, and the Erratum at page 70 of the Gazette of Nov. 7th, 1887, will be cancelled.

W.B.
5.8.91.

70B.
5/8.

sent
70B.
12/8

To the Asst. Secy. in charge L.L. Dept. for initials if the draft is accepted by that dept.

Note
There appears to be no reference in this letter to the letter which we sent to the Govt. of India about this subject in the beginning of the year unless Foreign Dept. Resolution of 12.5.91. No. 2012-I refers to it. There was a discussion in this Dept. on the subject + I think Hon. Mr. Stokes objected to the withdrawal of the titles altogether although it was agreed that in cases of bad work done by Municipal Councils, the title might with advantage be taken away. These papers must have been transferred to the Public Dept. + it is presumed that they have been considered when passing the Political Dept. Resolution herein.
W.B.
5.8.91.
10/8 for W.B.

W.B. 10/8

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagod).

A A

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 13th August 1885, No. 599 I.F., Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to all Presidents of District Boards and Chairmen of Municipal Councils, for information and guidance, Government Order, Public Department, conferring certain honorary titles on Native members of Local and Municipal Boards.

G.O., dated 19th January 1887, Nos. 76, 76-A, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the subject of titles being conferred on Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Taluk Boards.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India, in the Home Department (Public), under date Simla, the 17th June 1891, No. 16 Pub.—1070-1081.

Read—

Foreign Department Resolution No. 2012-I., dated the 12th May 1891.
Home Department Resolution Nos. 17—747-59, dated the 18th May 1882.

Read—also the following letters :—

To the Government of Bombay, No. 53, dated the 13th January 1883.
“ “ “ “ “ 942 “ 1st June 1885.
“ “ of Madras “ 1013 “ 12th “ “
From “ “ “ 1709 “ 21st July “
“ “ “ “ 76 “ 19th January 1887.

Resolution—

The orders contained in paragraph 16 of Home Department Resolution of the 18th May 1882 directed that the courtesy title of “ Rai (or Rao) Bahadur ” or “ Khan Bahadur ” should be used in all official correspondence with Native members of all Local Boards during their term of office. These orders were at once objected to by the Government of Bombay, who represented that it was inexpedient “ that titles which have hitherto been highly valued, rarely bestowed, and only earned after years of assiduous and successful labour in the service of Government, should be scattered broadcast over the land.” In reply, the Bombay Government were informed that there was no objection to the Local Government adopting for members of Local Boards any complimentary form of address which seemed to them suitable, and it was eventually decided in Home Department letter, No. 53, dated the 13th January 1883, that the honorary titles to be granted in that Presidency to non-official members of District and Taluka Local Fund Committees during their term of office should be—

- (1) In the case of all non-official Presidents and Chairmen of District Local Fund Committees, the title of “ Rao Bahadur ” or “ Khan Bahadur ” ;

- (2) In the case of all non-official Presidents and Chairmen of Taluka Local Fund Committees, and of all non-official members (not being Presidents and Chairmen) of District Local Fund Committees, the title of "Rao Saheb" or "Khan Saheb";
- (3) In the case of all non-official members (not being Presidents or Chairmen) of Taluka Local Fund Committees, the title of "Azam."

Again, in the Home Department letter of the 1st June 1885, cited in the preamble, the Government of India approved the proposal of the Bombay Government that the titles for non-official Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Municipalities with a population of 18,000 and upwards should be "Rao Bahadur" or "Khan Bahadur," that the titles for other Municipal Commissioners of such Municipalities and non-official Presidents and Vice-Presidents of minor Municipalities should be "Rao Saheb" or "Khan Saheb," and that the title for the Commissioners of minor Municipalities should be "Azam."

Later, in letter No. 1152, dated the 18th May 1885, the Government of Madras made the same objection as had previously been raised by the Government of Bombay to the use of courtesy titles for members of Local Boards, and asked if the Government of India would be satisfied if in that Presidency these titles were only conferred on the Vice-Presidents of Local Boards and Municipalities. A copy of the correspondence with the Government of Bombay was forwarded to the Government of Madras, who were informed that the arrangement adopted in the former Presidency, or any other similar scheme which was considered suitable, might be adopted in Madras. That Government accordingly decided that Vice-Presidents of District Boards and Chairmen of Municipalities with over 20,000 inhabitants should have the honorary title of "Khan Bahadur" or "Rao Bahadur," and that members of District Boards, Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Taluk Boards, and members of Municipalities with over 20,000 inhabitants and Chairmen of smaller ones that of "Khan Saheb" or "Rao Saheb" during the continuance of their term of office.

2. The Governor-General in Council has recently had under consideration the question whether the present system of granting *ex-officio* titles should be maintained. After consulting all Local Governments and Administrations on the subject, His Excellency in Council has come to the conclusion that it is not desirable, having regard to the identity of these courtesy titles with those which are awarded by Government, as a special and highly valued mark of distinction, for long and honorable service of the public, that they should any longer be used merely as a mark of official rank, and by the orders of 12th May 1891, read in the preamble, the orders contained in Foreign Department Resolution No. 3205-I., dated the 6th September 1886, under which native members of the Public Works Department enjoyed the privilege of *ex-officio* titles, have been cancelled. In accordance with this decision the orders contained in paragraph 16 of the Home Department Resolution, dated 18th May 1882, are also hereby withdrawn. The Governor-General in Council desires to suggest to the Governments of Madras and Bombay that the similar orders in force in those Presidencies, which were issued to carry out the decision arrived at by the Government of India in 1882, should also, unless His Excellency the Governor in Council sees strong objection, be recalled.

3. Members of Municipal and Local Boards who, under the operation of orders now cancelled, enjoy the privilege of being addressed by *ex-officio* titles, will continue to be so addressed until they quit office.

Order --

Ordered, that a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to Local Governments and Administrations, for information and guidance, and that a copy be forwarded to the Foreign Department for information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. J. LYALL,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To the Government of Madras.

From the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated 2nd July 1891, No. 1135.

ORDER—dated 17th July 1891, No. 440, Political.

With reference to the Resolution of the Government of India read above, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to cancel G.O., No. 599 L.F., Financial, dated 13th August 1885, so far as members of Local Boards and Municipal Councils appointed after this date are concerned.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Local and Municipal Department.
,, Departments of Secretariat.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 17th July 1891, No. 441, Political.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Asst.
NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY

With ref^{ce} to G.O. No.
440 Pol: d/ 17.7.91, can-
-celling G.O. 599. L. 3.
Finl: d/ 13.8.85 please
say which dept. should
cancel Public Notn
326 at page 549 of the
Gazette, put up and the
Erratum at page 700 of
the Gaz. Vol: of 1887. I
suppose they ought to
be formally cancelled
by Notn?

J.B.
4/8

+
I think "Public", but
the draft should be
~~counter~~initialled &
accepted in the
d. & c. Dept

J.B.
4/8

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT
G.O., DATED 13-8-91	
No. 692 ✓	

INDEXED.

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording resolution of
the Govt of India review-
ing the work of the
Office, the Secretary,
under the Inventorship
designs Act V of '80
during 1890- & directing
its republication in the
Fort St George Gazette.