

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	11-7-91
No.	555. ✓

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 11-7-91-

Returned for signature. 16-7-91-

Despatched in print. 18-7-91-



[Despatch Abstract.]

Assistant Surgeon East
Coast Railway.

stating that Mr. Had-
den — should
be treated as an in-
dependent medical
officer. This all assumed
regulated accordingly.
— by —

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

6

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

1386
1684
Reference of 17 June 1891
1831

STATISTICAL WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 100
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

45-21-7-91.

8TH JULY 1891, No. 555, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From W. F. DEFABECK, Esq., M.D., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 11th May 1891, No. 345.

I have the honour to request the decision of Government as to whether an Assistant Surgeon placed at the disposal of the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, for employment in connection with the line, should be considered as holding an independent charge and possessing the privileges of a Civil Surgeon, or whether in matters professional, he is subordinate to the local District Surgeon.

2. There is now an Assistant Surgeon attached to the East Coast Railway at Waltair, and the District Surgeon, Vizagapatam, has raised the question as to whether he can grant medical certificates for leave and pension independently of him; but I am unable to answer this question without knowing the exact position of the Assistant Surgeon.

3. I may state, for the information of Government, that the practice in this department is that whenever civil * stations happen to be placed in charge of other than commissioned medical officers, they are inspected by their respective District Surgeons in common with other stations in the district, and the monthly returns are forwarded to this office through the District Surgeon. In like manner I am of opinion that in professional matters the Assistant Surgeon in medical charge of the East Coast Railway should be under the orders of the local District Surgeon.

Reference—dated 19th May 1891.

Referred to the Public Works Department (Railway Branch), for favor of remarks.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

No. 336-D.

NOTE.

In returning letter from the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, referred under Public Department endorsement, dated 19th May 1891, the undersigned has the honour to state that there is no objection to the proposals therein made regarding the position of the Assistant Surgeon of the East Coast Railway in relation to the District Surgeon at Vizagapatam.

OOTACAMUND, 9th June 1891. (Signed) W. H. COAKER, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Offg. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D. (Ry. Branch).

To the Public Department, with referred papers returned.

Reference—dated 17th June 1891.

The duties of the Assistant Surgeon extend over four districts, and it is clear that he cannot be subordinate to four District Surgeons. The case thus differs from those mentioned by the Surgeon-General. It is understood that the Assistant Surgeon detailed for the East Coast Railway, Mr. Hadden, is an officer who has been for some years almost continuously in independent charge. In these circumstances the question is again referred to the Surgeon-General, who should state whether Mr. Hadden is fit for independent charge and what effect his being considered to be so will have on his allowances.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

From W. F. DEFABECK, Esq., M.D., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 24th June 1891, No. 403.

Adverting to your reference on No. 1386, dated the 17th June 1891, I have the honour to observe, as will be seen from the latter portion of paragraph 2 of my letter, No. 345, of 11th May last, that I was not then aware of the exact position of the Assistant Surgeon attached to the East Coast Railway or of the nature and extent of his duties. As his duties are now reported to extend over four districts, he cannot be subordinate to any District Surgeon, but should be treated as an independent medical officer.

2. Mr. Hadden, the present incumbent, has previously held temporary charge of civil stations, and is therefore qualified to hold an independent charge. In fact, it was on this consideration that he was recommended to Government for the appointment on the East Coast Railway.

3. The question of this officer's pay has been disposed of in my letter, No. 365, of 25th May 1891, to your address. As Mr. Hadden is an Assistant Surgeon of the third grade, he is, under Article 157 (ii) of the Civil Service Regulations, entitled to an extra allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem—the same as he would draw if in independent medical charge of a civil station.

4. Mr. Hadden will be promoted to 2nd-grade Assistant Surgeon in October next, when his allowance should be increased to Rs. 150 per mensem.

ORDER—dated 8th July 1891, No. 555, Public.

The Government is of opinion that Mr. Hadden should be treated as an independent medical officer and his allowances regulated accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
" Public Works Department (Railway Branch).
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Gobt. of {1891} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 8th July 1891, No. 555.

JULY.

Assistant Surgeon, East Coast
Railway.

Stating that Mr. Hadden, —, should be treated
as an independent medical officer and his allow-
ances regulated accordingly.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Cota Command
Fort St. George, 24 June 1891.

No. 403

From

W. F. de Saubert Esq. M.D.
THE SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Cota Command
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your reference on N^o. 1386 dated the 17th June 1891 I have the honor to observe, as will be seen from the latter portion of para 2 of my letter N^o. 345 of 11th May last that I was not then aware of the exact position of the Assistant Surgeon attached to the East Coast Railway or of the nature and extent of his duties. As his duties are now reported to extend over 4 Districts he cannot be subordinate to any District Surgeon but should be treated as an independent Medical Officer.

2. Mr. Hadden, the present incumbent has previously held Temporary Charge of Civil Stations and is therefore qualified to hold an independent charge. In fact it was on this consideration that he was recommended to Government for the appointment on the East Coast Railway.

3. The question of this officer's pay has been disposed of in my letter N^o. 365 of 25th May

1891

1891 to your address. As Mr. Hadden is
an Assistant Surgeon of the third grade he
is under article 157(ii) of the Civil Service
Regulations entitled to an extra allowance
of Rs 100 per mensem - the same as he would
draw if in independent medical charge
of a civil station.

4. Mr. Hadden will be promoted to 2^d grade
Assistant Surgeon in October next when his
allowance should be increased to Rs 150 per
mensem.

I have the honor to be
Sir

your most obedient servant

N. M. Jucker M.D.

Surgeon General with the
Govt of Madras.

ILG

CURRENT No. 1684

Public DEPARTMENT.

[0]

31

Stm.

From L.W. Department
By Branch

Order.

The Govt. agrees
with the Surgeon Genl.

3.5.13.

11/6.

Ref

Copied to

12 June

17 June 1891

No. 336D

Dated 9th June '91
Received 10th

Enclosures Two 1386, etc.

SUBJECT.

Shewing that there is no
objection to the proposal re
the position of the Asst Surg^l
of the E. C. Ry in relation to
the Asst Surg^l, Vizagapatam

WITH ORDER

The duties of the Assistant Surgeon
extend over 4 districts and he cannot
be subordinate to 4 district surgeons
The case then differs from those men-
tioned by the Surgeon General. [I believe
it is understood that
the Assistant Surgeon detailed for the
E.C. Railway, Mr. Hadden, is an
officer who has been for some years
in substantive charge as Civil Surgeon
almost continuously in
[I put in] independent charge. In these
circumstances the question [whether]
again referred to the Surgeon General.
Who should state whether Mr. Hadden
is fit for independent charge or
effect his being considered will
have on his allowances.

[11/6
13 June]

3.5.13.
15/6.

To The Surgeon Genl

DESPATCHED
22 JUN 91
IN M.S.

From
THE SURGEON GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
P.O. Command
Fort St. George.
26 JUN 91
No. 403

Dated 24th June 1891.
Recd. 26th

No.

Subject.

Defining the position, pay
rank &c of Assistant Surgeon
Hadden of the East Coast
Railway.

WITH ORDER

Submitted to the Hon.
Member with reference to
his note of the 13th June.

F.B.B.
30/6
1 July

Mr. Hadden should be treated as
an independent medical officer
his allowances regulated accord-
ing to the Indian Medical Service
18th B.M.S. Rules.

DP
11/7

Currents 1386, 1684, 21831.
Print complete including 1891
Order, 8th July 91 No 555

The Govt. is of opinion
that Mr. Hadden should
be treated as an inde-
pendent medical
officer and his allow-
ances regulated accord-
ingly.
(From orders)

F.B.B.
6/7
7 July


To the Surg. Genl. 6
" P.W.D. (Ry. Bldg.) 3
" Acct. Genl. 6
16/7/91

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARIAT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

N^o 336D *th*

Station *Chennai* date *9 June* 1891.



In returning letter from the
Surgeon General with the
Government of Madras referred
under Public Department
Endorsement dated 19 May 1891,
the undersigned has the honor
to state that there is no
objection to the proposals
therein made regarding the
position of the Assistant
Surgeon of the East Coast
Railway in relation to the
District Surgeon at
Vizagapatam.

Monks L. H. R. S.

offg. Joint Secretary to Govt. P. & S.
Ry. Branch.

To the Public Department
with referred papers returned

Public (1891) DEPARTMENT

From

THE SURGEON GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Pata cumund
Fort St. George.

Referred to the
P. W. Dept. (Railway
Branch) for favor of
remarks.

Indulgence

Chief Secretary.

No. 345


2.8.13
15/5

Dated 11th May 1891.

Reed. 13th May 1891.

No.

Recd 20/5/91



Subject.

Requesting the decision
of Government as to whether an
Asst. Surgeon of the East Coast Railway
should be considered
as holding an independent charge
expressing the privileges of a Civil -
Surgeon or in professional matters
be subordinate to the Local Dist.
Surgeon.

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

No. *345* *Ootacamund*
~~Fort St. George,~~ *11th May* 1891.

From *W. J. de Saebek Esq. M.D.*

~~The~~ SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To ~~Fort St. George,~~ *Ootacamund*

THE *Chief Secretary to Government.*

Public Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to request the decision of Government as to whether an Assistant Surgeon placed at the disposal of the Engineer in Chief East-Coast Railway for employment in connection with the line should be considered as holding an independent charge and possessing the privileges of a Civil Surgeon or whether in matters professional, he is subordinate to the local District Surgeon.

2. There is now an Assistant Surgeon attached to the East-Coast Railway at Waltair and the District Surgeon Vizagapatam has raised the question as to whether he can grant Medical Certificates for leave and pension independently of him, but I am unable to answer this question without knowing the exact position of the Assistant Surgeon.

3. I may state for the information of Government that the practice in this Department is that —
whenever

For instance
Chittoor
Telli-cherry
Cocanada
Negapatam &c

li

Your most Obedt. Servant

Mr. F. A. R. and
Surgeon General with the
Govt. of Madras.

Q.