

Recd
21/11/21

million

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	27-11-21
No.	1005

INDEXED.*When given for copying*

[Despatch Abstract.]

The receipt informs
that the Govt of India
has sanctioned a pension
at the rate of Rs 50 per
month to C. V. Gangay
a late Head Munshi
of the Canara Bank
to live with effect from date
on which he commenced
to draw the pension -
sanctioned by this Govt.

dup

has been
sent to the
proper authorities
for their consideration.

No.

From

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

3. N. Ramapaya was sent from the
 2. The Office of the Canara Translator to
 2nd. His Office was abolished and he
 remained in accordance with the rules
 laid down in the C. S. Regulations and
 Compensation Scheme (C. S. 12), i. in
 Rs. 1200 per annum.
 He was appointed to the post of State Translator
 and his remuneration was forwarded to
 the Govt. of India with the letter contained
 in C. S. 10.534/5 dated 23-7-90.
 The Govt. of India (in their letter No. 53
 of 1900) in C. S. 10.257 dated 30-3-91
 sent the memorial on stating that they
 had no recommendation to make in the
 memorialist's behalf. The Govt. of India
 in reply stated that the action of the
 Govt. appeared to be right and they declined
 to interfere with it but at the same
 time said that as Ramapaya seemed
 to have performed his duties well & that
 his death through no fault of his own
 but purely from the necessity for economy
 he sanctioned as a special
 case a pension of Rs. 500 unless the
 Govt. of India saw objection to the concession.
 In reply to this reply which was com-
 menced by India with the remark
 that the Govt. might give the pension
 if it saw fit the Govt. of India

United Kingdom on the ground that the
 bank would form an inconvenient
 precedent and that if ^{large} ~~small~~ ^{these} ~~these~~ ^{these} ~~these~~
 were the banks in every case of
 abolition of office. There is no reason
 known as time required for the
 abolition of office and some have said
 though it is not of their own, the
 rules might be increased some 100%
 (see in G.O. No. 257 dated 30.3.91).
 In the G.O. No. 1008 dated 27.11.91
 forwarded to the S. of S. regarding
 the G.O. No. 257 of 1891 however
 refused and on the reasons given
 in para 4 & 5 of his despatch to
 the S. of S. which is under
 consideration. The S. of S. stated above should
 that Ganapayya should be regarded
 as a case of G.O. No. 257 as a special
 case.

The question is now between His
 Excellency and whether it should be
 His decision is whether G.O. No.
 1008 dated 27.11.91 since
 shall be communicated to the
 Pension Dept. or whether it should
 be communicated to that Dept.
 with the correspondence upon which
 it is based.

The Hon. Secy. to Govt. is in favour
 of the former course and the
 Hon. Secy. to Govt. is in favour
 of the latter.

I must say that it appears to me most
 important that the Pension Dept. should
 be provided with the correspondence, as the
 communication from the Secy. of State will
 serve as a guide in all future similar
 cases, and now that he has laid down
 certain principles they can be followed. It
 is clearly intended that no accidental
 hardships should be done on individuals
 in consequence of new regulations being introduced,
 and this ruling will prevent anything of the sort
 happening. ^{to the advantage of} the individuals
 concerned. to the upholding of the good faith
 of the Govt. and the avoidance of long correspondence
 between this Govt. and India & the Secy. of State
 as far as may possibly be.

()

PUBLIC.

HOME DEPARTMENT PROCEEDINGS,

189 .

No. 35 OF 1891.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

PUBLIC.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

VISCOUNT CROSS, G.C.B.,

Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

Simla, the 7th July 1891.

MY LORD;

WE have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Your Lordship's Despatch No. 7 (Financial), dated the 15th January 1891, in which you declined to interfere with the action of the Government of Madras in granting C. V. Ganapayya, late Head Munshi to the Canarese Translator to that Government, a pension of one-fourth of his salary on the abolition of his appointment. At the same time, in view of the fact that the memorialist performed his duty with credit and lost his appointment from no fault of his own, you sanctioned the increase of his pension, as a special case, to Rs. 50 a month, unless we saw any objection to such a concession.

2. In communicating Your Lordship's Despatch to the Government of Madras we authorized that Government to raise Ganapayya's pension to Rs. 50 a month if they saw fit to do so. We now forward a copy of a letter* from that Government conveying the opinion of the Governor in Council that the grant of a special pension in this case would form a highly inconvenient precedent, and that if such pensions are to be granted in every case of abolition of appointment when the persons thrown out have performed their duties satisfactorily and have lost their appointments through no fault of their own, the pension rules which now provide for such cases in a different manner would appear to require revision. His Excellency in Council moreover considers that there are no grounds for special treatment in this case.

* No. 251, dated 30th March 1891.

3. We concur in the view of the case taken by the Madras Government, and it appears to us that the suggestion of Your Lordship that a larger pension should be granted merely on the ground that the memorialist did his duty well and lost his appointment from no fault of his own, virtually amounts to a declaration that the rules which at present regulate the grant of compensation pensions are inadequate. As the rules meet Ganapayya's case exactly and allow him a pension of

()
PUBLIC.

HOME DEPARTMENT PROCEEDINGS,

189 .

Rs. 38-12-0 only, we propose, unless we hear from Your Lordship to the contrary, to inform the Madras Government that no further steps need be taken in the matter.

We have the honour to be,

MY LORD,

Your Lordship's most obedient, humble Servants,

LANSDOWNE.

F. S. ROBERTS.

P. P. HUTCHINS.

D. BARBOUR.

A. E. MILLER.

H. BRACKENBURY.

R. C. B. PEMBERTON.

No.

COPY, with copy of the letter marginally noted, forwarded to the Department of Finance and Commerce for information in continuation of Home Department endorsement No. 366, dated the 2nd

From Government of Madras, No. 251, dated 30th March 1891.

March 1891.

HOME DEPARTMENT,

PUBLIC;

Simla, the th July 1891.

By order, &c.,

J. P. HEWETT,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India.

()
PUBLIC .

HOME DEPARTMENT PROCEEDINGS,

189 .

No. 251 Public, dated Ootacamund, the 30th March 1891.

From—The HONOURABLE J. F. PRICE, Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 365, dated 2nd instant, forwarding copy of a Despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, No. 7 Financial, dated 15th January last, on the subject of the Memorial from C. V. Ganapayya, late Head Munshi to the Canarese Translator to this Government. The Secretary of State has approved of the course adopted by this Government in regard to the Memorialist, but on certain special grounds has sanctioned an increase of his pension to Rs. 50 per mensem. In your endorsement under acknowledgment it is stated that the Government of India authorizes this Government to raise the pension accordingly if His Excellency the Governor in Council sees fit so to do, and it is requested that the orders issued may be communicated to the Government of India.

2. In reply I am directed to state that His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the grant of a special pension in this case would form a highly inconvenient precedent, and that if such pensions are to be granted in every case of abolition of appointment when the persons thrown out have performed their duties satisfactorily and have lost their appointments through no fault of their own, the pension rules would appear to require revision. This Government considers, moreover, that there are no grounds for special treatment in the case in question. Pending final orders from the Government of India, no steps will, I am to say, be taken in the matter.

But I found that we can use functions and any combination of
is more desirable to show that a function is totally isotropic
function admissible and so the function is isotropic in a number
of special function or an set of a number of functions in
family of the functions. A result in applications is the action of the
automorphism in such.

2. The following are the changes made to which I desire to draw your Excellency's attention. From his appointment in March up to the year 1887 General was paid a salary of \$1000 per annum, which was increased to \$1200 per annum in January 1888. In January of the year 1889 a new act of Congress was passed in which only six months of the salary was given up to 15 years service; but to prevent injury being inflicted thereby it was announced that any one retiring before the 25th of March 1888 would be allowed the option of the old rule. In September 1888, General Hayes completed 15 years service and on the 10th of September, 1889 his appointment was extended. It is said that there fore that if the new salary provisions had been introduced he would at the latter date have been entitled to a portion of one third of his salary and as he must have been a general before he was promoted to Major General it would have been granted to him under the regulations.

5. The replies to his application to the Board were two fold. On the one hand, that it was true that long hair "costs" entirely in economic cost, in "wearing time," and were not "as profitable for the effect on hair in the normal case," and recognizing the validity of his argument suggested the grant

of a special pension of 50 rupees rather than the grant of ¹⁰⁰ exactly one third of the salary which would have been Rs 51.10.8 a month.

5. In the letter from your Govt. of the 3^d of December 1890 it was said that had the memorialist retired on the 23^d of March 1888 he would have been eligible only for a gratuity not a pension; but I cannot think that this meets the case. He could not have then retired for his health would not have justified his doing so; and he had no ground for wishing to do so as the decision to abolish his appointment was not formed for more than a year afterwards.

4. I referred to Ganapayya's case in the 4th and 5th paras. of my Despatch dated the 25th of June 1895 as a typical instance of injury being unintentionally inflicted by the introduction of new rules in the event of which being found to exist some modification of the regulations might properly be permitted. The exercise by the Govt of the power of depriving persons by ex port facto rules, of rights which had accrued to them was disclaimed on the part of the Secretary of State in the Select Committee on East India Civil Servants in 1890; and I do not think it desirable that in the present instance, the application of the new rule should be strictly enforced.

Have to

Say Croo.

(True Copy)

C. Sampson
 Registrar - New Bedford

1713

28


From

P. Q. Chellum, Esq.,

Under Secy to the Govt of India.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
Madras.



Under Secy to the Govt of India.

Home Department
Public

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with my letter of 1928 dated the 30th July 1891, I am directed to forward the accompanying copy of a correspondence with Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India and to state that the Government of India sanction the grant of a pension of Rs 50/- a month to Mr. C. V. Ganapayya, late Head Clerk to the Canselor Translator to the Government of Madras.

The enhanced pension will have effect from the date on which Mr. C. V. Ganapayya commenced to draw his pension.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t Servant,

M. Melites

Under Secy to the Govt of India.

M.C.

* Rep. to H. Secy of State
1/35 (Public) 8/17 July 1891.
R.D. - 8/30 8/17 1891.
2/30 8/17 1891.

Fran,

D1 25th
 Rev 30th } Oct/91.

Encls. 2

Sanctioning a
pension of Rs 50 a month
to Mr C V. Ganapattan,
Late Head Munshi, Cawnpur
Treasurer's office.

order 27 Tongue No 1005
JH [unclear] [unclear]

The Acct: Genl: will
be informed that the
Govt: of India has sanc-
tioned a ^[the said pension is to be paid in the form of pension] ~~afforded~~ pension
at the rate of Rs: (50) fifty
per mensem to C.V.

per no.
Ganapayya, late Head
Munsif to the Banarese
Translator to Govt: with
effect from the date on
which he commenced
to draw the pension
sanctioned by this Govt.

7013.

7/11.

To the acct: Genl.

"Passion Sept 6 ^{Dec 6} 1894

copy to the Pet^r.

I think a copy of the correspondence should
go to the Russian Dept. The last 6 pages
of Nov 29 the Long Note's discussion
of the important should form many
a case of export goods. Nov 29
Nov 29. The note is dated with the
Nov 29. The note is dated with the

[Faint handwritten notes at top left:]
Hoped you would like it
to sat. 9th Mch
ret. sat.

[Handwritten note in box:]
Davis thinks Penniss
Exp't must have it.

[Main handwritten entry:]
Remitted to the Bank \$1000
Jan'y 7 1866/2d P.D. from

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.