

[7]
Loon
ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>16.12.90</i>
No. <i>878.</i>

When given for copying *16/12*
1878

[Despatch Abstract].

*Intimating to the Surgeon
General that Govt. will not
object to the removal of this
Office from the Fort on the
condition stated.*

Note

I believe the office is
 a very bad one. I know
 Dr. Bidie used to complain
 bitterly of his room -
 I would allow the office
 to be removed from the
 Fort & ask the Surgeon
 General whether he can
 suggest any suitable building
 to be rented at a cost not
 exceeding Rs 200 p. m.

I don't however like
 the system of locating important
 & permanent public offices in
 hired buildings - It is cheap but
 insignificant & sometimes inconvenient.
 I think therefore that
 as we have plenty of money at
 present we might as well build.

How are the offices of the Sanitary
 Commission ^{& Vaccination Dept.} to be
 accommodated? Would it not
 be feasible to build a single
 building on the beach (S. of
 the C.E. College) to hold the
 Lt?

B.S.

8/12/90

I think it is clearly made out

The Surgeon General
 and his predecessors have
 frequently complained
 of the unsuitability of
 the building in the
 Fort allotted to them as
 an office. Enquiries have
 from time to time been
 made with a view to
 providing other office
 accommodation in
 the Fort but it has not
 been found practicable
 to do so.

The Military authorities
 now suggest that
 quarters be provided
 for the office outside the
 Fort as was the case prior
 to 1884.

It is an advantage
 to have the Surgeon
 General's office in the
 Fort as sometimes
 information is urgently
 required from it by
 the Secretariat.

The

The rent that was paid for the house occupied by the office outside the Fort was Rs: 205 - a considerable sum. As it is a large office it is not expected that a suitable house could be got now under that figure.

It is proposed to say that if the P. W. D. can supply public quarters for the office outside the Fort free of cost there is no objection to the removal but otherwise the office must stay where it is.

Dr. de Fabeck asks for a Board of Survey on the present building. But it is pointed out that if the building is condemned - as it probably would be - it could not be used at all - and it is thought that

that the Surgeon General's accounts during the year, 1900, of his own private house had under the Rs. 200 a month. I would not be so kind. The cost of a small building for the consideration hereafter.

9/12/20

The Surg. Genl. right then another office. In June, I think, opened the school house, moving to St. Mary's Church & one day the day after. I forget who it was (the Secy. General I think) having they refused to accept of it to the Surg. Genl. - I think it will do in June (build an office for him outside the Fort. But his own office in the city in that case. In some or build the building would be a good thing to place the Secy. General in the same block of buildings as suggested by the Hon. Secy. Genl. - I think it would be pushed forward by Dr. de Fabeck for the Surgeon General as quickly as possible. I can then only place

ask in the building & improve it with a new building finished 1896 year. JH 12/12/20

matters are not really so bad as that. Beyond inconvenience it is not known that anything more serious has resulted from the occupation of the building during the past six years.

J.D.B. 11.11. The unsuitability of the Office of the Surg. Genl. in the Fort has been the subject of frequent complaint. It is a building very bad and exceedingly uncomfortable. The Military Authorities will find nothing else in the way of accommodation in the Fort. This will be a long time before out of date. The S. G. must therefore remain where he is and his requirements disregarded as a building must be found for him outside. I know of nothing which is public property and the only thing appearing is a small house which will have to be a pretty large one. These things in the discovery it is difficult to say. It is of but little importance whether the S. G. stays in the Fort or outside.

S. de F.

Building occupied by
Surgeon General's office

1. How much Blinn & Co.
2. How much more
3. The House the Governor

C. 6/12 / C. 9/2
R. 9/12 / R. 13/12

Note for the Honorable
the Governor.

It will be seen
from the Surgeon
General's O/O of this
date that on inspect-
ion he finds the build-
ings ^{the many of which} recently used as
~~school~~ altogether un-
suited for the accom-
modation of his office.

An order is therefore
issuing telling Dr. de
Tallech that the Govt
will not object to his
office being removed
from the Fort if he can
find suitable accom-
modation for it at
a cost not exceeding
Rs. 200 per mensem.

Very truly
Yours
J. H. S. It is suggested that
the question of the
selection of a site for
the new building
for the officers margin-
ally named be con-
sidered at next Coun-
cil as it is perhaps

1. Surgeon Genl.
2. Sanitary Com.
3. Dy. Secy. Com.
4. Sanitary Eng.

necessary to let the
P. W. Dept know on
what site the build-
ing is to be erected
when calling for plans
and estimate.

J.O.B.
15/11.

To the
15/11

The question of constructing a new office
for the Surgeon General will be disposed
of when that of providing a few
Lieutenant buildings is disposed
of (See Council)

18/12/90 -

(13)

Council

C.16/12

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Fort St. George, 30th Sept 1890.

C
No. 12

From

THE SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

SIR,

No 216. m. w. 2
15 Aug 1890

With reference to G.O. No. 624 Public
dated the 3rd September 1890 communicating
to me copy of a letter from the Quarter-
master General, I have the honor to suggest
that, as the Court-martial Room is only
occasionally used while the office of the
Surgeon General is (excepting Sundays and
holidays) in daily use, in the event of the
office of the Principal medical officer H.M.'s
Forces in Madras being removed to Portacammund
the proposal made in my letter No. 593 of 24th
July 1890 may be carried out.

2 - There are rooms in connection with the
Pay Department in the Fort not occupied at
present and they might perhaps be utilized
by the military authorities.

3 - Unless some such arrangement can be made
I most strongly urge that a Board of Survey
may be assembled to report on this office
which

which is unsuitable and as pointed out
objectionable in many ways.

4. My own office room and the adjoining
room occupied by my Secretary are both
extremely small, close and confined; cut off from
the little breeze there is they become overheated
in the daytime.

5. As no proper arrangement has been made
of these rooms a part of the but too limited
space has to be screened off as a urinary I
trust that Government will agree with me
that facilities for office work and comfort
should be considered without delay and better
and more spacious accommodation provided.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant.

W. L. S. and
Surgeon General
With the Govt of Madras.

Public (1890) DEPARTMENT

From

THE SURGEON GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Fort St. George.

No. $\frac{C}{12}$

Dated

30th

Sept.

189

Reed.

No.

Subject.

Suggests that
the proposal made in his letter
No 593 of 24th July 1890 regarding
the accommodation for his
Office may be carried out

Sub No 878
16-12-90

Public No 3440
1

Referred

Sub No 76, 1890

3/9/90 40624 In Pub-

27th October 1890

Referred to the Military
Department with ad-
vantage to its Endorse-
ment No. 5384 dated
25th August 1890.

Reddick
Chief Secretary.

happens



(1890) DEPARTMENT.

Former papers.

PL 25.8.1890 N. 5384 R.

No. 1017

from

THE Public Department

No.

Dated 10th October }
Received 11th " }
Registered

ENCLOSURES.

P.G., No. , dated

Referring to the City:
Sept: letter from the Surg^l
Genl. with the Govt. of Madras
suggesting that the proposals
of making over to him the
rooms in Fort St George
now occupied by the P.M.D.,
H.M. Forces, Madras, may
be carried out.

Subd. 878
16-12-90

Public Geo 3440
2

Sept 67 2011

REQUESTED TO BE
REFERRED WITH DELAY.

No. 6504

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Military Department,

Fort St. George 18th Oct. 1889.

To

The Quarter Master General

Referred to His Excellency the Com-
mander-in-Chief.

(By Order.)

H. S. Murray Colmd

Brigdr-General,

Secy to Govt., Mil. Dept.

N.B.—Reply may be endorsed on this docket.

Subd. 8 18
16/12/89

Public 6 18 3448
3

Dec 26, 1889

Form No. 7 B.

HEADING

Buildings

It is requested that the heading,
number, and date of this commu-
nication may be quoted in any
subsequent correspondence on this
subject.

From

COLONEL E. H. EYRE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

In returning as requested the
correspondence referred to the Commander-in-Chief
with Reference Order No. 6504 of the 18th Oct.,
I am desired in reply to inform you that
the Controller of Military Accounts who
was asked to state whether the building
formerly occupied by the Presidency Pay Office
is still required by that Department, reports,
that it is at present utilized by a portion of
the Pay Examiner's Office and its records, and
before long there will be an increase of
about 40 clerks in consequence of the almost
certain withdrawal to Madras from Raigam
of the Military Accounts Department located
there

QUARTER MASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Ootacamund,

18th November 1890.

No. 843. M.W.

OFFG. QUARTER MASTER GENERAL,

ie, and when this occurs it will be difficult
find accommodation for the whole Department
the restricted space allotted it.

Under these circumstances therefore, and
as there is no other available accommodation
in the Fort that can be placed at the disposal
of the Surgeon General with the Government
of Madras, His Excellency desires me to
suggest for the consideration of Government, the
advisability of once again providing that
Officer with office accommodation outside
the Fort, as was the case prior to 1884.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Obedient Servant.

J. A. Eyer, Colonel.
Offg. Quarter Master General
H.

D. O. No. 436

Office of Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras,

Fort St. George, Dec 15th 1890.
Monday
15 DEC 90

Dear Mr Price

In reply to your (D.O.)
note of the 13th inst (Saturday)
I have visited the building
you specify and carefully
inspected the premises &
environs.

The rooms are too
dark and ill ventilated
besides being enclosed
by a number of small
kitchens & outhouses &c
on

which should be cher-
on two sides & by a high - ful, lighted properly
wall also on the North & were ventilated &
side. There are large open to the breezes -
high blocks of buildings Accommodation
on the West, South & of a suitable nature
East, so that the premises is also required for
are completely shut in for 24 persons, includ-
and ~~the~~ the building ing Manager, Clerk
is altogether suited for Messrs, Pious and
for my requirements. Pankajwallahs.

In addition to not to mention a large
an office room for account of office for
the Surgeon General & suture.
one for his Secretary

Trust

Trust that Government
will be pleased to
provide me with such
Office accommodation
as so important a
Department as that
of which I am the Head
is justly entitled to.

Yours faithfully
Wm. F. Fisher

The Hon^{ble} J. F. Price C. S.
Chief Secretary

80 436

13/12/90 2234820

[0]

DEPARTMENT.

From Surgeon
W. S. de Fadenck

No.

Dated 15. 12. 90

Received 15. 12. 90

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Informing that after inspection, he is not satisfied with the building formerly occupied by the school attached to St Mary's church, as they do not serve his purpose & requesting that his Office should be provided with proper accommodation.

Public Co. 3440
Military (1890) Department.

No. 7112

From

THE QUARTER MASTER GENERAL,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

No. 843. M.W.

Dated 10
Received 13
Ry in Pub. 222

ENCLOSURES.

Re. the provision of
public accommodation for the
Simpson General with the Cont.
of horses, within Fort St. George.

13/1/90 22.20 22.30 3/8/90 22.26 22.30
20.6.1884 n. 3112
12.8.1887 n. 5434 R
25.9.1890 n. 5384 R
7.11.1890 n. 6907
24 7258 of 90
16.3.3.1881 n. 1288 R
5.12.1881 n. 6429 R
90 13.12.1881 n. 3091 W. (P.W.)
16.2.3.1882 n. 1005 R
11.7.1882 n. 3517 R
26.7.1882 n. 3908 R
5/7/84 Re 2194 21.8.84
22 4156. 5/7/84

Transferred to
the Public Department
and the referred papers
returned

H. S. M. Colman
for Secy to Govt
Indy Dept

Fort Saint George,
22nd November 1903
currents 2845 & 3440.

M. G. 16th Dec. 90. No. 878.

In the circumstances
brought to notice the
Govt. will not object to
the removal of the Sur-
geon Genl's office from
the Fort provided a suit-
able accommodation
can be found for it at
a cost not exceeding
Rs. 200 per mensem

from orders
J.D.B.
15.11.
Shree Singh, Secy
Indy Dept

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

2845

3440

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagod).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
C = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Public Land
milt. dept
closed 17/12

use the abstract in
the mil. Dept. do not
check

A A