

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT:
G.O., DATED 11. 11. 90
No. 765.

When given for copying 7/11

[Despatch Abstract].

*Re Secretary of States
Despatch on Mr. G. D. Irvine's
memorial to his Lordship*

BACK PAPER, No.

of 18

FURTHER PAPER, No.

of 18

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 3222

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

G. D. Irvine Esq.

Desp 11/11/90

a

A A

14/7/90 be 566 no 870
7/8/90 no 870 no 870

N.

Order 11 Nov 90. L. 7/65.
Mis

Communicated
to Mr. Irvine

X
B. W.

G.D.B.
5/21

DESPATCHED

11 NOV 90

IN M.S.

30. G. D. Irvine Esq. C.S.

for per

Copy 7/11

Public C 12 32 22
N 2

Public

9th October 1890

The Letter to Fort St George

N 5

From

The Rt Honble
the Secretary of the

Register 3/11/90.

Replying to the

Memorial from Mr Irvine, M.C.S.
complaining of the appointment
of Mr Weir in preference to himself
to the High Court Judgeship.

Received 28 Oct 1890

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 3222

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

1840.

Public C^o 3222

India Office.

London, 9th October 1840.

Public.

No. 5.

My Lord,

I have considered in Council
the letter of your Excellency in
Council No. 6 dated 22nd July last,
enclosing a Memorial from
Mr. George Duncan Irvine of the
Madras Civil Service in which he
complains of the selection of Mr. Hairs
in preference to himself to fill a
temporary vacancy in the Madras
High Court.

2. I request that the Memorialist
may be informed that I see no
reason to interfere with the
manner in which your Excellency
in Council has exercised your
discretion in respect of the
appointment in question. I
entirely concur in the opinion
expressed

His Excellency

The Right Honorable

The Governor in Council,

Fort St. George.

expressed by Lord Kimberley in
his despatch No. 2 (Judicial), dated
the 4th of March 1886, that in making
appointments to the office of Judge
of the High Court the Governor in
Council must be guided not by
the claims of seniority but by a
consideration of what is best for
the public interests.

I have the honor to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient
humble servant,

[Signature]