

3/12/98

ORDINARY, MS.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 13-9-90	
No. 639.	

When given for copying 18/9

[Despatch Abstract].

*Re Supply of Civil Process Code
forms to Muzaffar Courts by
the Supt., Govt. Press.*

22/9 22/9

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

*Subalt. Copy Press
Now the C.T. with C.L.
A A*

Copy 19/9

23.9

Order 13 Sep. 1900 No. 629.

C. 105-A and 2435.

H. E. the Governor
in Council is pleased to
Sanction a trial of the
proposed scheme for the
supply of Civil Procedure
Code forms to the District
Courts by the Supt. Govt.
Press, subject to report
at the end of six months.
2. The clerk required
should be engaged tem-
porarily only pending
further orders.

(From orders)
J. Sch

*2.8.13
10.9.90.*

*The Hon: the Chief Justice (with C.L.)
Supt. Govt. Press.
Financial Dept.
(in original)*

Judicial {1890} Department.

No.

FROM

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
APPELLATE SIDE.

Dated 18 August 1890.
Received 20 AUG 0

No. 1948.
Enc.

*Stating that no separate
account of the cost of print-
ing Civil No. Code forms
was maintained as the
were as a rule printed
the Collectorate Presses
to 1884 and that the app-
total cost of the scheme
proposed will be Rs 34
annum*

of the forms in private Presses; and
the total charge thus incurred from
1880 to 1884 was Rs 627-10-7.

2. The approximate total cost
of the scheme now proposed will be
Rs 3,415 per annum.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

De Ashi

Offg: Registrar.

From

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 18th August 1890.

St. W. Foster Esquire,
 Officiating Registrar of the
 High Court of Judicature,
 Appellate Side, Madras.

J

The Honorable J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Adverting to Reference on No. 4769
of 1889, Judicial, dated 23rd January
1890, I have the honor to state that
prior to 1884 the Civil Procedure Code
forms were as a rule printed at
the Collectorate Presses and as no
charge was then actually incurred
it is reported that no separate account
of the cost was maintained. The
only particulars of cost now available,
therefore, relate to those rare occasions
when pressure of work in the Col-
-lectorate Presses necessitated printing
of

Public C. No 105 A

Transferred to the Public Dep^t
 R. G. Cardew
Under Secy
 10 January /90.

Referred to the Superintendent
- Genl. Govt. Press, for early
report. The dimensions of
the trestles that are to cost
Rs. 420 each should be
reported.

Chief Secretary.

Subscribed and sworn to before me
at New York, N.Y. this 23rd day of
Jan 1890.
In the presence of the direct-
or.
of 23rd January 1890,
Referee.

The Govt desires to be informed what would be the approximate cost per annum if Courts were again required to supply themselves with forms ~~and~~ from District presses as was apparently the practice prior to 1884. The approximate total cost of the scheme now proposed should also be stated for purposes of comparison. (From C.S. orders).

70B.
15-1-90.

To Hon: The
Chief Justice
w/ C. L.

~~C No 4769~~
Publ. C No 1054

Public
Judicial { 1889 } Department.

No.

FROM

THE — REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
APPELLATE SIDE.

Dated 27th December } 1889.
Received

No. 3166
Enc

Submitting for the ap-
proval of Govt: certain arrange-
ment proposed for the printing,
storing and supplying Civil
Procedure Code forms to
Judicial Comts direct by
the Suptt: Govt: Press and
requesting to accord sanc-
tion for the funds required to
put the proposal in working
order.

important matter of supply of these forms to Magistrate Courts which of late have begun seriously to complain of the delay in obtaining fresh supplies. I am, therefore, directed to request that Government will approve the proposed arrangement and accord sanction for the funds which as above stated are required to put it in working order.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. K. K. K.
Registrar.

ECW.

certain alterations in the Primary Press rooms for storage of the forms.

(b) Sanction for a Clerk on Rs 20 per mensem for clerical and despatching work. Rs 240

(c) Rs 175 annually for packing Cases. 175

(d) Rs 200 annually for transit charges. 200
3,675 p. an

(e) 6 trestles at Rs 420 each, or Rs 2520.

And he adds that if sanction for the above be given him he can undertake the despatch of the forms from the 1st April next. The High Court considers that Mr. Hill's offer should be accepted and that the arrangement proposed by him, whilst relieving the Superintendent of Stationery of a burden recently much felt and complained of, would greatly facilitate the important

required by them.

3. In 1884 the then Acting Superintendent of Stationery (Mr Venkataramana Pantulu) was asked if on indent from the High Court he would undertake the supply and distribution of Civil Procedure Code forms to all courts in the Presidency. This Mr Venkataramana Pantulu promised to do, stating that he would not require any extra establishment for it. Accordingly the Stationery Department in 1886, supplied forms sufficient to last for 2 years and distributed them in 1887 and 1888 to the Mafassal Courts. Towards the end of 1888, however, delays began to occur in their supply and the High Court addressed Sir R. Barlow on the matter. He replied that the work of distribution was beyond his powers and that even the work of printing

(in the Government Press) was delayed by the enormous number of forms required (10 millions in 2 years). He added that his rooms were already overcrowded with ordinary stationery and suggested that the High Court should have the printing and distribution done in direct communication with the Government Press.

4. The High Court has no sufficient space for storing forms and accordingly addressed the Superintendent of the Government Press requesting him to state if he could undertake the entire work of printing, storing and distributing the forms. He has now written to say that he is willing to do this if he be supplied with funds for certain necessary expenses and arrangements, as follows:-

(a) A sum of Rs 250 for making certain

No. 3166

C. 105 A

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 27th December 1889.

From

C. No. 4769

J. M. Horsfall Esquire,
Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I am directed to address you
on the subject of the supply and
printing of Civil Procedure Code
forms to Mufassil Courts.

2. The history of the matter is
briefly this. The Courts used to
supply themselves with forms
printed locally. In 1878 an in-
-effectual and costly attempt was
made by the High Court to print
and distribute them. It was aban-
-doned and until 1884 the Mufassil
Courts continued as before to sup-
-ply themselves with the forms
required

Source supply of C.P. Code
forms to the Mufassil Courts

For orders.

For orders to the C. P. Code

m2-a
16.4

figure is arrived at.

It seems very probable that the more economical arrangement would be to let Muffussil Courts obtain their C.P. Code forms from District Presses as they did up to 1884.

For orders.

29.8.90. I am not sure that it would be worth the cost of this sort in the long run. I have heard more economical than scattering the sheets in bundles all over the country. I think the same as it is quite enough to do.

2 Sept

Let the scheme proceed to trial subject to report at the end of 6 months. I H J
6/9/90

Kept of the Govt. Press was consulted and he has undertaken to print, store and distribute the forms if provided with:-

1. Rs. 250 for providing stor. age room at the Penit.entiary Press.
2. Six trestles to cost Rs. 4.20 each.
3. One clerk on Rs. 20 p.m.
4. Rs. 200 annually for transit charges.
5. Rs. 175 annually for packing cases.

This demands involve an initial expenditure of Rs. 2770 and a recurring annual charge of Rs. 615.

The High Court strongly recommends this arrangement for early sanction and provision of funds as serious complaints have been received of delays in supplies under the existing system.

Enquiries were made as to the ~~existing~~ approximate cost per annum if the system in force prior to 1884 were reverted to, but it is stated that no separate account was kept by District Presses of the cost of printing these particular forms. The High Court states that the approximate cost of the scheme now proposed would be Rs. 3415 per annum, but it is not apparent how that

Public Dept.

C. No. 105.A. & 2435.

The question submitted for orders is the source of supply to all appellate Courts of Civil Procedure Code forms.

Prior to 1878 the Courts obtained their supplies of forms locally; in that year the High Court made an "ineffectual and costly attempt to undertake the supply" but it had to be abandoned and the forms were again - up to 1884 - obtained by the Courts from District Presses. In that year the Supt. of Stationery (Venkataramana Pantulu) undertook the supply; in 1886 sufficient forms were supplied by the Stationery Dept to last for 2 years and they were distributed during 1887 and 1888. Towards the end of that year delays began to occur in the supply of the forms and Mr R. Barlow stated that the work of distribution was beyond his powers and the printing in the Govt. Press was delayed by the enormous number of forms required - viz. - 10 millions in 2 years.

The High Court has no space for storing forms so the
Supt.

Refce

2435

Do "Collectorate Presses" still exist in all Districts?

FDB.

25.8.90.

Please see B.D. Pres.

4487. 27-7-91

7-10/10

18-9-17

29/8/98

20-9-00

DEPARTMENT.

man
over-
the
le -

Public Dept. Sept.

C. No. 4769/89 + 2435/90.

Reference.

The Govt. desires to be informed whether any, and, if so, what objection exists to a revision to the system that was in force prior to 1884 under which Mofussil Courts obtained their supplies of civil procedure Code forms from District Presses. It seems probable that that would be a far more economical arrangement than the one now proposed.

SB.
-8.90.

Refce

2435

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY
Districts?

It appears desirable before settling this up for orders to ascertain what the cost of Court supplying themselves with forms from Dist. Presses and that of the scheme proposed. The High Court ought to be able to give this information - if not accurate at any rate approximately. I very much suspect that the former will be found the cheaper - Why the H.C. want a change is not apparent.

13.1.90

[31]

DEPARTMENT

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Public C. 4769.

Please say whether there is any financial objection to the arrangement being approved. Should separate orders issue in Financial Dept regarding funds? or so para 2 of order w? be "The question of the supply of the funds required will be considered in the Financial Dept."

SB.
10/1/90.

For the S. S.

Approval should be given - if it is given in the Public Dept.

There are no financial objections that I know of: the permanent change to Rs. 615 a year and most of it may be met from general savings. I fancy the S. S. Dept giving approval of the proposal is proper, you need not even write the para: proposed - it will be sent to the Financial Dept. which will pass further orders in the ordinary course. The expenditure will be Rs. 615 a year.