

17-2-99

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>13-8-90</i>
No. <i>573.</i>

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Informing the Secretary
of the "Hannan Medal Fund"
Manager, that the presenta-
tion proposed to Mr C. Hannan,
Medical Officer, is not admi-
ssible.*

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No. 2086

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

A as Copy in full.
B as Not to be copied.
C as Copy abstract only.
D as Copy heading only.

Secy Kannan Medak Hindu. Manjeri
 Copy 10/14
 A A

Al Manjeri
7th July 1890.

From

The Secretary
Kannan Medak Hindu Manjeri
South Malabar.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

Sir,

As it was resolved at a public
meeting held in Manjeri on the 24th March
last to request the Government to accord
Sanction to present Mr. C. Kannan the
Medical Officer of this Station (on his
transfer to Bellary as Assistant Surgeon)
with a gold medal and chain in token of
the meritorious and valuable services
rendered by him for the last 7 years to
the public of Manjeri and to the adjoining
villages, I beg to request you with the enclosed

Wm

To lay the matter before his Excellency
the Governor in Council and to obtain
the sanction for the presentation of the
Medal to Mr. [unclear]

I beg to remain
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
S. Ramon Thrusfield

President

Secretary

Ramon Medal Fund Manager

Public Department

From

The Secretary,

4 Hannan Medel Fund.

Bayzari.

Dated th 4 } July 1890 -
Received th 14 }

The binding of his foot I think wrong.
He never distinctly admitted of an admission
being presented with the permission of
foot. It is very therefore to say that
such a course is inadvisable. Now
we have said that ~~long before~~
for "declined to permit it." He did
officially don't come under Rule 1, so
far as money gifts are concerned.
However, as my former colleague thinks
the distinction between the value of things
held about the foot and itself old he
maintained I won't give the foot at all
in kind may issue. The best arrangement is
in kind - no kind of officers differently from those
Heaven - 3/10/92 H.G.

To present a gold Medal and

chain to Mr. C. Hamman in

to his meritorious and valuable

Services.

8/2/87 L.A. as per Mark.

28/8/89 h 27/9 - u

7/11/89 W. 93

4/8/88 2007-11-11

It seems true that this case falls within
the rule 5th prohibiting testimonials of
any kind - ^{nor on this one} ~~not~~ certainly definitely exception
worthy on part of Medical Officers. It was
so decided on 20 28 Aug '89 719.

26/7

Q. 8. c. u.

There is no objection to
a pecuniary recognition
of the medical officers
service, but the present
allocation of a medical - post
chair is inadmissible.

[illegible]

vide p. 5.

1107 26-8-87. (Reel 5)

641 g 25-6-88 -

561 2 1-10-88. -

185-2, 8-2-77

7/9 8 88-8-17.
7-11-88 ✓

873. 2 1-11 2/11

109-8	110-7	111-6	112-5
111-8	112-7	113-6	114-5

does not come
within the exception
it cannot be
entertained

I think the J C
ought simply to
state the proposition
and provide is
inadvisable

$$\frac{C}{\text{Ang } q^k} \quad \frac{q^v}{q^v}$$

Very well JG 11/8

It seems to me that
the rules of the points
of order are perfectly
plain -

All public meetings are
forbidden to receive
validating addresses
or testimonials

The exception to this is
in the case of Medical
officers who are permitted
to receive permission
recognition from
their commanding
officer

as this request

Pub. 573
13-8-90

C. No. 2086/90

Order : - 13 August/90 No. 573

The Memorialist
will be informed that
the presentation pro-
posed is not admissible

(from orders
of major)

12/8
Sey. be - 20 AUG 90
IN M.S.